Plasma radiation behavior approaching high-radiation scenarios in W7-X

D. Zhang¹, R. Burhenn¹, Y. Feng¹, B. Buttenschön¹, L. Giannone², R. König¹, F. Effenberg³, H. Thomsen¹, S. Kwak¹, J. Svensson¹, F. Reimold¹, P. Hacker¹, F. Penzel², J. Baldzuhn¹, C.D. Beidler¹, M. Beurskens¹, B. Blackwell⁴, S. Bozhenkov¹, K.J. Brunner¹, P. Drews⁵, M. Endler¹, G. Fuchert¹, Y. Gao¹, M. Jakubowski¹, J. Knauer¹, M. Krychowiak¹, H. Niemann¹, M. Otte¹, E. Pasch¹, N. Pablant³, K. Rahbarnia¹, L. Rudischhauser¹, E. Wang⁵, G. Weir¹, and the W7-X team

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, D-17491 Greifswald, Germany ²Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, D-85748 Garching, Germany ³Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA <u>⁴Australian National University, Canberra, Australia</u>

⁵ Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institut für Energie und Klimaforschung - Plasmaphysik, 52425 Jülich, Germany

*Daihong.Zhang@ipp.mpg.de

OUTCOME

ABSTRACT

- The present work first summarizes the radiation loss fraction f_{rad} achievable in the quasi-stationary state of the hydrogen plasma in the W7-X stellarator in both limiter and diverstr operational phases.
- Using representative discharge examples, the work shows how the impurity radiation behaves in these plasmas with different boundary conditions as density increases.
- Beneficial effects of the island divertor vs. limiter configuration
 Intensive radiation is located at the edge (r/a > 0.8) even at high radiation levels.
 - The plasma remains stable up to a radiation fraction of ~0.9-1.0 (with uncertainties of 10%).

Comparison between limiter and OP1.2b divertor discharges

Wendelstein 7-X

ID: EX/P6-9

- Beneficial effects (with respect to impurity radiation) of the magnetic island divertor are demonstrated:
 - the intense radiation is located at the edge (r/a > 0.8) even at high radiation;
 - the plasma remains stable up to f_{rad} approaching unity (with uncertainties of 10%), which normally signifies plasma detachment.
 - Moreover, beyond the critical density with $f_{rad} \sim 1$, the divertor plasma can maintain its stability with only a small reduction in the plasma stored energy.
- •After wall boronisation, impurity radiation profiles becomes narrow requiring higher plasma density (by a factor of \sim 3) for reaching the same f_{rad} value.

BACKGROUND

□ W7-X operational phases [1-7]

OP1.1 (Dec.2015- March 2016)

OP1.2a (2017; pre-boronisation) & OP1.2b (2018; wall-boronisation)

divertor





- Hydrogen plasma: mostly generated by ECR-heating with powers up to 6.5 MW;
- low-Z impurities: mainly carbon and oxygen, released from the graphite targets and plasma facing components; Wall-boronisation reduces impurity contents [15].

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

most of the steady-state limiter
 plasmas have a radiation loss fraction
 f_{rad} < 45%, where

 $f_{rad} = P_{rad} / P_{heat}$

with P_{rad} measured by bolometers [11].

At higher radiation fractions, the limiter plasmas are usually unstable and thermal instabilities occur.
 By contrast, the plasma in divertor configuration can stably maintain its energy at high radiation with f_{rad} ~1 accompanied by detachment [9-10].





Boronisation effect on the radiation distribution



CONCLUSION

•The island divertor concept at W7-X provides a large operating window up to high-density, high-radiation scenarios compared to the limiter configuration, and is already showing its beneficial effects in terms of power removal capability due to impurity radiation [8-9, 17-19].

REFERENCES

 RENNER, H., et al 2002 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44 1005
 PEDERSEN, T. Sunn, et al 2015 Nucl. Fusion 55 126001
 WOLF R., BEIDLER C., DINKLAGE A., HELANDER P., LAQUA H., SCHAUER F., PEDERSEN T. Sunn, WARMER F., IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science (2016)
 KLINGER, T., et al. Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 59 014018 (2017)

5. KLINGER, T., et al. Nucl. Fusion **59** 112004 (2019)

6. EFFENBERG, F., et al Nucl. Fusion 57 036021 (2017)

8. ZHANG, D., et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 025002 (2019)

9. SCHMITZ, O., et al, Nucl. Fusion 61 016026 (2020)

7. GEIGER J et al Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 57 014004 (2015)

ROBERTS, D.E. 1981 Nucl. Fusion 21, 215 (1981)
 ZHANG, D., et al Rev. Sci. Instrum. (2010)
 ANTON, M. et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 38 (1996) 1849
 ZHANG, D., et al, to be submitted in *Nucl. Fusion* (2021)
 FENG, Y., M Kobayashi, T Lunt and D Reiter Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 53 (2011) 024009)
 WAUTERS, T., et al Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 62 (2020)
 FUCHERT G. et al., Nucl. Fusion 60, 036020 (2020)
 FENG, Y., et al, to be published in *Nucl. Fusion* (2021)
 PEDERSEN, T.S., et al. IAEA-FEC 2020
 JAKUBOWSKI, M., et al IAEA-FEC 2020

• However, a deeper understanding of the experimental observations, such as the asymmetry in the radiation distribution and its influence on the edge plasma parameters as well as the plasma performance, requires further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement number 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.



