

Extended Bounce-Kinetic Model for Trapped Particle Mode Turbulence T.S. Hahm^{1*}, Y.J. Kim¹, Y.W. Cho², J.M. Kwon² and Lei Qi² ¹ Department of Nuclear Engineering, Seoul National University, Republic of Korea ² Korea Institute of Fusion Energy (KFE), Republic of Korea *tshahm@snu.ac.kr

ABSTRACT

•Bounce-kinetic model based on the modern nonlinear bounce-kinetic theory [B.Fong and T.S. Hahm, Phys. Plasmas 6, 188 (1999)] has been used for gKPSP gyrokinetic simulations before [J.M Kwon et al., Comp. Phys. Commun. 215, 81 (2017)]. This work reports on an extension including more accurate description of barely trapped particles, and its applications. Improvement of Collisionless Trapped Electron Mode behaviour at low magnetic shear is observed from the gyrokinetic simulations. In addition, the Rosenbluth-Hinton residual zonal flow for a bi-Maxwellian distribution



ID: 768

FIG. 4. Complex frequency of ITG instability at $\hat{s} = 0$ -0.1,0.1 for the existing model and the extended model. Overall trend is similar between two model, but the extended model better reflects the marginal change in growth rate, which is expected with very small change in \hat{s} from 0.1 to -0.1.

has been derived.

Extended Bounce-Kinetic Model for Simulations

Modern gyrokinetic (bounce-kinetic) formalism: use Lie-transform perturbation method to systematically reduce gyro-phase (bounce-phase) dependency while maintaining conserved quantities to relevant order.

- Particle coordinates (6D) \rightarrow Bounce-Gyrocenter coordinates (4D) (for $\omega \ll \omega_b \ll \omega_c$)
- Lagrangian 1-form and Hamiltonian[1] of bounce-guiding-center (before introducing turbulence) are $\Gamma = \frac{e}{c}Y_2 dY_1 + Jd\Psi H_0(Y_1, Y_2, \mu, J)dt$, $H_0 = \int \omega_b dJ$.
- Equation of motion: $\frac{dY_1}{dt} = \frac{c}{e} \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial Y_2} = 0$ $\frac{dY_2}{dt} = -\frac{c}{e} \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial Y_1}$
- Bounce action and bounce frequency for high aspect ratio circular tokamak:

$$J_b \simeq 2qR_0 \sqrt{m\epsilon\mu B_0} \left(\kappa^2 + \frac{\kappa^4}{8} + \cdots\right), \qquad J_b \simeq J_{b*} \left(1 - \frac{{\kappa'}^2}{4}\log\frac{16}{{\kappa'}^2}\right), \\ \omega_b \simeq \frac{1}{qR_0} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon\mu B_0}{m}} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa^2}{4} - \cdots\right). \qquad \kappa^2 \ll 1 \qquad \omega_b \simeq \frac{\omega_{b*}}{\log\frac{16}{{\kappa'}^2}}. \qquad \kappa'^2 \equiv 1 - \kappa^2 \ll 1$$

• Approximate forms of Hamiltonian as functions of actions (of J_b and μ) used in the extended model;

 $h_{\text{deeply}}(\mu, J_b) \simeq \mu B_{om} + \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon \mu B_0}}{q R_0 \sqrt{m}} J_b - \frac{1}{16m(q R_0)^2} J_b^2 + \dots$

Consistent with Kadomtsev and Pogutse[2] as:



FIG. 5. Mode structure using existing model (left) and mode structure using extended model (right) with $\hat{s} = 0.1$

Effect of Temperature Anisotropy on Residual Zonal Flow Level

- In the bounce-kinetic theory, $F_0 = F_0(J, \mu, \psi)$
- Anisotropic structure of bi-Maxwellian is most obvious in the (μ, κ) space

$$F_0(\mu,\kappa,\psi) = n_0 \left(\frac{m^3}{8\pi^3 T_{\parallel} T_{\perp}^2}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\mu B_e}{T_{\perp}} + \frac{2\epsilon\mu B_0\kappa}{T_{\parallel}}\right)\right]$$

• Two-dimensional distribution





- = : Used in existing gKPSP[3], $W_m(x)$ is the p=-1 branch of Lambert function: $W_p(x)^{W_p(x)} \equiv x[4]$.
- Connection formula used for gKPSP implementation: $\frac{\partial H_0}{\partial \psi} \simeq \left[1 \left(\frac{J_b}{J_{b*}}\right)^2\right] \frac{\partial h_{\text{deeply}}}{\partial \psi} + \left(\frac{J_b}{J_{b*}}\right)^2 \frac{\partial h_{\text{barely}}}{\partial \psi}$

GKPSP simulation using the extended bounce-kinetic model





- FIG. 6. Distribution of **a**) Isotropic Maxwellian **b**) Bi-Maxwellian with $T_{\perp}/T_{\parallel} = 1/2$ **c**) Bi-Maxwellian with $T_{\perp}/T_{\parallel} = 2$ Dashed line: Trapped-passing boundary for $\epsilon = 0.1$ i.e., $v_{\parallel} = \pm \sqrt{2\epsilon}v_{\perp}$
- Classical Polarization Density: $\frac{n_{cl}}{n_0} = k_r^2 \rho_{i\perp}^2 \frac{Z|e|\delta\phi}{T_\perp}$ • Neoclassical Polarization Density: $\frac{[n_{nc}]_{\psi}}{n_0} = 1.63\epsilon^{3/2} \left(\frac{T_\perp}{T_\parallel}\right)^{3/2} k_r^2 \rho_{i\theta}^2 \frac{Z|e|\delta\phi}{T_\perp}$
- Fraction of Trapped Particles:

$$FR = \frac{n_{tr}}{n_0} = \int_0^\infty d\mu \left(\frac{8\epsilon B_e^2 B_0}{\pi T_{\parallel} T_{\perp}^2}\right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\mu} exp\left(-\frac{\mu B_e}{T_{\perp}}\right) = \left(2\epsilon \frac{T_{\perp}}{T_{\parallel}} \frac{B_0}{B_e}\right)^{1/2} \simeq \left(2\epsilon \frac{T_{\perp}}{T_{\parallel}}\right)^{1/2}$$

• Residual Zonal Flow[6] Level in the longwave length, high aspect ratio limit:

$$R_{ZF} = \frac{n_{cl}}{n_{cl} + [n_{nc}]_{\psi}} = \frac{1}{1 + 1.63q^2\epsilon^{-1/2}(T_{\perp}/T_{\parallel})^{3/2}}$$

 \rightarrow Turbulence and transport are expected to get lower for $T_{\perp}/T_{\parallel} < 1$

and CTEM mode structure using extended model (right)



FIG. 3. Precession drift derived from existing model (blue) and extended model (red), compared with theory (dashed) [2].

→ Deeply trapped particles approximation used in the existing model does not accurately reflect precession reversal at $\hat{s} \sim 0$.

 \rightarrow In semi-quantitative agreement with H. Ren et al. [7]

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES

This research was supported by R&D
Program of "Development of
Electromagnetic Gyrokinetic Model
Describing Trapped Particles in Magnetic
Field(code No. IN2104)" through the
Korea Institute of Fusion Energy(KFE)
funded by the Government funds,
Republic of Korea. and by the Ministry of
Science and ICT under the KFE R&D
program (KFE-EN2141).

[1] B.H. Fong and T.S. Hahm, Phys. of Plasmas 6, 188 (1999)
[2] B.B. Kadomtsev and O.P. Pogutse, Soviet Physics JETP 24, 1172 (1967)
[3] J.M. Kwon et al., Comp. Phys. Comm. 215, 81 (2017)
[4] F.W.J. Olver et al., eds. NIST Handbook of Mathematical functions. Cambridge university press, 2010.
[5] G. Rewoldt et al., Comp. Phys. Comm. 177(10), 775 (2007)
[6] M.N. Rosenbluth and F.L. Hinton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 724 (1998)

[7] H. Ren, Phys. Plasmas 23, 064507 (2016)