



# Experimental validation of neutral beam current drive simulations in TJ-II plasmas

S. Mulas<sup>1</sup>, Á. Cappa<sup>1</sup>, D. López-Bruna<sup>1</sup>, J.L. Velasco<sup>1</sup>, I. Calvo<sup>1</sup>, T. Estrada<sup>1</sup>, J. Kontula<sup>2</sup>, T. Kurki-Suonio<sup>2</sup>, F. Parra<sup>3</sup>, M. J. Mantsinen<sup>4,5</sup>

and TJ-II team<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratorio Nacional de Fusión, CIEMAT <sup>2</sup>Aalto University <sup>3</sup>Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford <sup>4</sup>Barcelona supercomputing center, BSC <sup>5</sup>ICREA

#### Abstract

- The goal of this work is the validation of neutral-beam current-drive (NBCD) calculations against experimental results in NBI TJ-II plasmas.
- The current carried by fast ions has been calculated by means of the Monte Carlo code • ASCOT and the electron return current was obtained by solving the drift kinetic equation (DKE) for electrons in the low-collisionality regime and for v<sub>beam</sub><v<sub>Te</sub>. The

#### Motivation

- Precise knowledge of iota profile is needed in stellarator devices for studies such as the interaction of fast ions with Alfvén Eigenmodes (AEs) [1].
- Since no measurements of the iota profile are available, a detailed analysis of the plasma currents is mandatory to reconstruct the equilibrium and estimate it, especially in NBI scenarios where NBCD plays an important role.
- **DKES code is used to calculate the contribution of the bootstrap current to the total** measured plasma current.
- The computed current results are significantly higher than the measured ones.

#### • In NBI plamas, there are two sources of current: bootstrap, driven by the gradients of the kinetic profiles, and NBCD. In this work, we quantify both contributions and compare the results with the experimantal ones.

#### Experimental scenarios

Two NBI shots have been chosen for their relatively stable behaviour. The electron density and temperature profiles were measured using the Thomson scattering diagnostic. Ion temperatures have been estimated as in [2] and ion densities have been calculated from the electron densities assuming a flat profile of  $Z_{eff}$ =1.5 with a Z=5 impurity. The electric field has been taken from Doppler-reflectometer measurements [3] in scenarios with similar plasma profiles to the ones presented here. The NBI powers are 430kW and 380kW with E<sub>max</sub>=31.5 keV. 25621 *#*24000 - #24000 - #24000 (KA) I<sup>max</sup>=3.5 kA (KA Imax=1.8 kA (a)(a) #25621 **#**25621 #25621  $\overline{I_p(t)} = \overline{I_0} e^{-t/\tau_{LR}} + \overline{I_N}$  $I_{n}(t) = I_{0}e^{-t/\tau_{LR}} + I_{N}$ \_a (MM), (MM), I<sup>min</sup>=1.5 kA =1.6 kA <u></u> 두 1.00 -ECH -ECH NBI1 NBI1 P ECH, 1000 1250 1100 1150 1200 1250 1050 1100 1150 1200 1000 1050 time(ms) time(ms) **—** #24000 *#*24000, *#*25621 *#*24000 (10<sup>19</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>) - #25621 (10<sup>19</sup>m<sup>-</sup> #25621 (b) (b) TECE ECE (''') u.), 0.5 a.  $\mathbf{\sigma}$ ECE 1100 1000 1150 1200 1250 1100 1150 1250 1050 1050 1200 1000 0.8 0.4 time(ms) time(ms)

#### Simulations

•The ionization of NBI fast-neutrals is



## Neutral Beam Current Drive

• The NBCD is the result of modifying the fast-ion-beam current with the electron return current factor.

### Experimental validation of NBCD

•The experimental values are obtained fitting the time trace of the plasma current to an exponential in several time intervals. •The plasma current value is chosen as the average of the fits and their standard deviation as an error.

•Bootstrap current (BS) profile has been obtained with DKES [7]. The total BS at the edge is very low compared to NBCD. •NBCD is roughly a half of the fast-ion-beam current.



#24000

0.4

0.6

0.8

#### Conclusions

• The plasma current has been computed numerically for two NBI shots in TJ-II.

• The comparison against experimental results shows large discrepancies between theory and experiments. • Main sources of error thought to be the absence of CX reactions in the simulations and uncertainties in the experimental measurement of the plasma current, due to poor stability of plasma current. • Plasma impurities can be affecting the NBCD through the dependence of  $\langle A_{s} \rangle$  on  $Z_{eff}$ .

•The theoretical values of the plasma current  $(I_{NBCD}+I_{BS})$  are (kA) significantly higher than the experimental ones. •No charge exchange (CX) losses have been used in the simulations, which is a large source of error due to their importance in TJ-II (~50% ionized-power loss)



#### References

(kA)

-0.5

[1] Cappa, Á., , 45th EPS Conf. on Plasma Phys. (2018) P4.1040 [2] Fontdecaba, J.M. et al., Plasma Fusion Res., 5, (2010) S:2085 [3] Estrada, T. et al., Nucl. Fusion 59 (2019) 076021 [4] Asunta, O. et al., Comput. Phys. Comm. 188 (2015) 33-46 [5] Varje, J. et al, Submitted to Comput. Phys. Comm. (2019) (arXiv:1908.02482v1) [6] Nakakima, N., Okamoto, M., J. Phys. Soc. Japan 59 (1990) 3595-3601 [7] Velasco J.L. et al, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 53 (2011) 11



o.5 g

-1.5

0.2

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.