THE DUAL COOLANT LITHIUM LEAD BREEDING EUROfusion **BLANKET: STATUS AND PERSPECTIVES**

D. Rapisarda, I. Fernández-Berceruelo, A. García, J.M. García, B. Garcinuño, M. González, C. Moreno, I. Palermo, F.R. Urgorri, A. Ibarra CIEMAT, National Fusion Laboratory, Avda. Complutense 40, 28040 Madrid, Spain

INTRODUCTION

During the last years CIEMAT has been leading the activities to develop an integral breeding blanket with advanced performances to work in a realistic DEMO scenario. This blanket is the Dual Coolant Lithium Lead (DCLL) working at a limited temperature in order to allow the use of conventional materials and technologies. The design of this blanket was finished, including the definition of the tritium extraction system and tritium simulations. Then, determined by the selection of other two concepts as driver blankets for DEMO, the focus was put on developing a DCLL which can work at higher temperatures, thus increasing the plant net efficiency. In this work, a summary of the status of the DCLL is presented, together with some ideas for developing an advanced DCLL in the near future

DEMO as a Component Test Facility

□High-level objectives of the European strategy, which considered DEMO as the only step between ITER and a fusion plant:

DCLL: Single Module Segmentation vs Multi-Module Segmentation

□ From the point of view of the liquid metal, it seems favorable to have a segment consisting in an unique module (SMS)

- 1. To supply a net electricity production of a few hundred megawatts to the grid
- 2. To reproduce the amount of tritium needed to complete the fuel cycle in the reactor



- 3. To demonstrate the feasibility of all technologies for the construction of a commercial fusion plant, including an adequate level of availability
- □New strategy: DEMO as a 'Component Test Facility' for BB
 - > **Driver BB concept**: demonstrate T self-sufficiency and power extraction (80% of the segments)
 - > Advanced BB (ABB) concept: potentially attractive for commercial reactors

In particular the development of high temperatures structural materials to exploit more attractive concepts and make possible higher plant efficiency is recommended (WG TBM-BB)

The designs for the 'driver' and ABB have to be developed at the same level (excepting BoP)

- The SMS architecture presents several advantages:
 - >Less turns in planes perpendicular to B, therefore less pressure drop due to 3D MHD effects is expected
 - > FCI mostly necessary for poloidal channels, not quite complex configurations
 - > Higher TBR due to the lower amount of steel
- However, due to the use of EUROFER as structural material \rightarrow strong temperature limitation
 - \rightarrow Large route for the liquid metal, typically ~10 m
 - Temperatures: short operational window between 300 °C and 550 °C
 - \succ This implies higher PbLi velocities \rightarrow large corrosion rates, higher pressure drops (MHD) > Integration of heating systems? Structural integrity can be compromised



The adopted solution for the LT-DCLL was a MMS:

- A number of different blanket modules attached to a common Back Supporting Structure
- Disadvantages:
 - > More 3D complicated geometries, which can cause important pressure drop.
 - Lower TBR is expected

BUT:

- ✓ For the LT concept the outlet temperature is easily achieved at moderate velocities: around 2 cm/s in the poloidal channels
- ✓ Therefore MHD effects will not be quite important
- \checkmark Corrosion is not a 'killing' issue
- ✓ The present DCLL design suggests a parallel cooling of the different modules → pressure loss is reduced to that for one BB module

The LT-DCLL: present status

Neutronics calculations ◆ TBR = 1.173 ✤ M_F = 1.21 1. (left) Radial-poloidal distribution of the tritium generated 2. (right) radial-poloidal distribution the of nuclear heating Fernández-Berceruelo et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 155 (2020) 111559

MHD calculations for a channel with FCI:



a) Final sintered banana-shaped FCI; b) longitudinal alumina mock-ups produced by casting; c) prototype assembly of the squared sectioned alumina tube and the steel flange with a white ceramic cement





IMPLICATIONS OF A HT-DCLL:

□ Higher requirements to the BB, but also to the auxiliaries

□ Structural material? Usually, all the blanket designs consider the structural material as the container of the liquid metal \rightarrow new approach

CONCEPTUAL DESIGN BASED ON:

- Segment is a unique box, with just one coolant pass
- Ceramic box as liquid metal container _
- Steel box as structural-containment box \rightarrow no inbox-LOCA
- Inert gas (Ar, He) in between ceramics and steel box — Decoupled FW \rightarrow toroidal cooling, common manifold in the BSS

ADVANTAGES OF THE HT-DCLL

In some way the in-box LOCA, which pressurizes the external box, can be avoided

Much more simple route for the PbLi: less 3D-MHD effects

is needed

- Corrosion of materials: anti corrosion barriers are mandatory
- \Box High permeation problem due to large temperature gradients \rightarrow BUT high PbLi velovcity, lower tritium concentration
- □ TERS, which now should operate at higher temperature. Positive impact on the extraction efficiency?
- \Box The development of a heat exchanger for high temperature \rightarrow there are studies for SiC heat exchanger
- BSS acting as shielding _



- Tritium losses to the secondary coolant (He) are practically discarded, thanks to the separation between the external and internal boxes
- EUROFER corrosion due to liquid metal is now excluded \rightarrow requirements of the purification system are relaxed
- The FW could be mechanically and hydraulically decoupled from the segment, acting as a protection panel (to be studied)
- Issues related with the liquid metal velocity in IB segments are strongly reduced (much more radial space for allocating the breeder)

In case of He nucleation in PbLi, the exit of the bubbles is assured

References

[1] D. Rapisarda et al., "Conceptual design of the EU-DEMO Dual Coolant Lithium Lead equatorial module", Trans. Plasma Sci. 44 (2016) 1603–1612 [2] I. Palermo et al., "Neutronic assessments towards a comprehensive design of DEMO with DCLL breeding blanket", Fusion Eng. Des., 138 (2019) 217–22 [3] M. González and M. Kordac, "Electrical resistivity behaviour of alumina flow channel inserts in PbLi", Fusion Eng. Des., 159 (2020) 111761 – 111765 [4] I. Fernández-Berceruelo et al., "Alternatives for upgrading the EU DCLL breeding blanket from MMS to SMS", Fusion Eng. Des. 167 (2021) 112380 (10 pp)





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.