ID: TECH/P5-26

Low-resistance Joint Development for Segment-fabrication of High-temperature Superconducting Fusion Magnets Satoshi ITO^{1*}, Hitoshi TAMURA², Nagato YANAGI², Hidetoshi HASHIZUME¹ ¹Tohoku University, ²National Institute for Fusion Science *satoshi.ito.e3@tohoku.ac.jp τοнοκι

ABSTRACT

Two designs have been proposed as segment-fabrication of the HTS helical coils in FFHR-d1 helical fusion reactor, joint-winding of the HTS coils wound by connecting conductor segments, and the "remountable" HTS magnet (here "remountable" means being able to mounted and demounted repeatedly) assembled from coil segments with remountable joints. Bridge-type mechanical lap joint and mechanical edge joint are planned to be applied to those two designs, respectively.

This poster presents progress in electrical and mechanical performances of

3. MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE OF JOINT

The maximum

tensile strain

Outboard of the torus

appears here

Structural Analysis [5,9,10]

• Normal strain along the winding direction: The maximum tensile strain: 0.145% < Irreversible strain



the mechanical joints of high-temperature superconducting (HTS) conductors and methods to evaluate those quality for segment-fabrication of HTS helical coil. R&D of Joint performance have been progressed during this decade and it shows acceptable performance for the HTS helical coils. Contact-probing CTL method and X-ray CT scan are promising for quality assessment of the joints and HTS tapes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Two designs of **segment-fabrication of HTS helical coils**

(1) Joint-winding [1,2]

- Feature: Simplify construction
- Joint: Bridge-type mechanical lap joint

(2) Remountable magnet [3-5]

- Feature: Simplify construction Enable to replace failed segments
- Joint: Mechanical edge joint
- Indium foil is inserted between joint surfaces to increase real contact area.





Normal strain distribution along the winding direction of the Helical coils (HCs).

Tensile shear testing [5,9,11]

Inboard c

Distribution of in-plane shear strain in HCs (left figure) and distribution of xy component of shear strain in REBCO tape region (right figure).

26 MPa

0.079%

32 MPa



-0.077%

4. QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF JOINT

Evaluation of interface resistance of REBCO tape [12]



presence of the jackets.



The electric and mechanical performances of the joints and those quality **assessment** are important technical issues for the designs.

2. ELECTRIC PERFORMANCE OF JOINT

Bridge-type mechanical lap joint [5,6]







Schematic illustration of the contact-probing CTL method

REBCO tape can be sort out before conductor segment fabrication based on nondestructive interface resistance evaluation.

Evaluation of contact area based on X-ray CT scan [13,14]





Bridge-type mechanical lap joint of HTS conductors with "Joint piece"

All joints were fabricated with lowtemperature heat treatment



Relationship between contact area and contact resistance based on X-ray CT scan for three joint configurations

Range of contact resistance can be predicted from contact area using X-ray CT scan

5. CONCLUSION

Joint resistance for bridge-type mechanical lap joint and mechanical edge (i) joint have been reduced to be acceptable value for HTS helical coils in FFHR-d1 helical fusion reactor.

100-kA-class STARS conductor joint



Integrated joint piece + low-temp. heat treatment <3 hours for joining process, ~3 $p\Omega m^2$



Progress in development of joint for the last decade based on joint resistivity

he achieved joint resistivity satisfies the required performance.

- The bridge-type mechanical lap joint with indium insertion is preferable for use in joint-winding of the HTS helical coil because of its mechanical behavior.
- (iii) X-ray CT scan and contact-probing CTL method are promising to predict joint resistance, and quality control of the joints during fabrication process at room temperature before applying current.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Yanagi et al., Fusion Sci. Technol. 60 (2011) 648–652. [2] N. Yanagi, et al., Nucl. Fusion 55 (2014) 053021. [3] H. Hashizume, et al., J. Plasma Fusion Res. SERIES 5 (2002) 532–536. [4] H. Hashizume et al., Nucl. Fusion 58 (2017) 026014. [5] S. Ito et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 136 (2018) 239-246. [6] S. Ito et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 146 (2019) 590-593. [7] S. Ito et al., IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., 23 (2013), 4802408. [8] S. Sato et al., J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1559 (2020) 012110. [9] S. Ito et al., IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 25 (2015) 4201205. [10] H. Tamura et al., IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 26 (2016) 4202405. [11] L. Aparicio et al. Fusion Eng. Des. 136 (2018), 1196-1201. [12] R. Hayasaka et al., IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 29 (2019) 9000805. [13] W. Chen et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 148 (2019) 111284. [14] W. Chen et al., Plasma Fusion Res. 15 (2020) 2405014.