# Modeling snowflake divertors in MAST-U tokamak

A.I. Khrabry<sup>1</sup>, V.A. Soukhanovskii<sup>1</sup>, T.D. Rognlien<sup>1</sup>, M.V. Umansky<sup>1</sup>, D. Moulton<sup>2</sup>, J.R. Harrison<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory , <sup>2</sup>Culham Centre for Fusion Energy

khrabryi1@llnl.gov

# Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

**ID: 771** 

# ABSTRACT

- In a snowflake (SF) divertor, two magnetic field nulls are placed close to each other creating four strike points (SPs) cf. two in a standard X-point divertor.
- In preparation to MAST-U experiments, X-point and SF divertors with various locations and separation distances of the nulls were modeled using a 2D multi-fluid code UEDGE with a full plasma transport model featuring charge-state-resolved sputtered carbon impurities.
- The complex interplay of the SF plasma transport ("churning" mode) and magnetic configurations was comprehensively studied.

# BACKGROUND

• The performance of a snowflake divertor is an interplay of the magnetic field geometry and fast plasma mixing:



# RESULTS

### **EFFECT OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD GEOMETRY**

In this section, modeling results for X-point and SF divertors with no SF mixing (Dx=0) are presented to analyze solely the effect of magnetic field geometry on the divertor operation.

#### Plasma heat to all divertor targets (SP1 – SP4) in X-point and SF divertors:



- Each primary SP (SP1 and SP2) receives nearly same amount of heat in the X-point divertor, all SFplus divertors and SF-minus divertor with small  $d_{xx}$ . Secondary SPs receive a small fraction of power
- In SF-minus divertors with larger d<sub>xx</sub>, substantial fraction of the SOL power is directed towards SP4. Correspondingly, heat flux to SP2 is smaller.



#### SP2 SP2 SP1 SP1 SP3

**Potential advantages of snowflake configurations:** 

High flux expansion  $\rightarrow$  large region of small  $B_n \rightarrow$ "churning" mode instability

Increased connection length  $\rightarrow$ higher radiative energy loss and lower peak heat fluxes to the divertor plates.

Plasma rotation in the two-null region ("churning mode")  $\rightarrow$ heat/particle fluxes are directed to all divertor legs.

• Snowflake divertor experiments are planed on the upgraded MAST-U tokamak:

Spherical tokamak in Culham Center for Fusion Energy, Oxfordshire, UK:



- Research focus on divertor processes - Major radius R = 0.7 m
- Up to 5 MW NBI heating
- First wall material: Symmetry graphite plane
- Plasma current 2MA
- Magnetic field modeled using equilibrium solver FIESTA

Gingred\* code was substantially enhanced to create high-resolution field-aligned SF computational grids



Wall



\* O. Izacard and M.V. Umansky, arXiv:1705.05717 (2017)

#### Heat flux profile and peak value:



- Electron temperature at primary SPs is lower in SF divertors.
- A radiation front forms at SP1. It spreads towards the null region with the separatrix density increase.
- In the SFs, radiation volume is broader

#### **Plasma temperature at primary SPs:**



# **EFFECT OF FAST PLASMA MIXING ON THE PERFORMANCE OF SF DIVERTORS**

In this section, fast plasma mixing intensity (parameter  $D_x$  in Eq. (1)) is varied from 0 (no plasma mixing) to 490 m<sup>2</sup>/s. SF-plus and SF-minus divertors with smallest and largest  $d_{xx}$  are modelled.

Plasma heat to all divertor targets (SP1 – SP4) in SF divertors with and without mixing modeled:

SF-plus:





SP4

SP2



1e+19

SP2

3e+19

ni\_sep, [m^-3]

Peak heat fluxes at SPs are noticeably reduced in the SFs compared to the X-point divertor.

Heat flux profiles are substantially broadened and flattened out in the SFs due to higher magnetic flux expansion.

1e+19

#### **Plasma temperature at primary SPs:**

SP1

3e+19

ni\_sep, [m^-3]

# COMPUTATIONAL MODEL IN UEDGE

### **X-POINT AND SF CONFIGURATIONS IN MAST-U TOKAMAK**

SF-plus and SF-minus configurations with various null separation were modelled:



- **TRANSPORT MODEL IN UEDGE**
- Multi-fluid model
- Multi-component transport for D, D<sup>+</sup>, C, C<sup>+</sup>, C<sup>2+</sup>, C<sup>3+</sup>, C<sup>4+</sup>, C<sup>5+</sup>, C<sup>6+</sup>
- Fast plasma mixing in the two-null region of SF divertors was modelled by adding two Gaussian profiles\* centered at the PF nulls to transport coefficients (D<sub> $\perp$ </sub> and  $\chi_{\perp}$ )\*\*:



- Power load to primary SPs substantially reduces with the SF mixing in all SF divertors.
- In all SF-plus divertors and in the SF-minus divertor with smallest d<sub>xx</sub>, the heat reduction at SP2 is partially driven by the power redistribution towards secondary SPs.

#### Heat flux profile and peak value:



#### **2D profiles:**

-1.5 -

-16

With the SF mixing, electron temperature and ion density profiles become more uniform.

 $D_{y} = 490 \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ : D<sub>x</sub> = 0: **Total radiation:** D ion density, [m^-3] D ion density, [m^-3] lon density lon densit SF-plus n<sub>core</sub>=10<sup>20</sup>m<sup>-2</sup> 3e+19 ni\_sep, [m^-3] 0.5 0.7 0.9 0.4 0.8 [m<sup>-3</sup>] 0.5 0.7 Radiation (D+C), [W/m^3] Electron temperature, [eV] Electron temperature, [eV] Electron temperature Electron temp Radiation

- With the SF mixing, radiation volume becomes broader, and total radiated power increases.
  - Accordingly, total heat to the divertor

Heat transfer for electrons and heavy particles

#### **Boundary conditions**

- Symmetric conditions at the midplane (only bottom modeled)
- NBI heating 2.5 MW (1.25 MW for a bottom half of a tokamak).
- 98% ion recycling at the walls and divertor.
- 98% neutral albedo coefficient at side walls.
- 100% albedo at divertor targets.
- Davis-Haasz sputtering model for C sputtering

\*D. Moulton et al, Proc. 44th EPS Conf. (Belfast, UK, 2017)

## $D_{\perp,mix} = D_{\perp} + D_{add},$

# $D_{add} = D_x \left[ exp \left( -\left(\frac{r-r_1}{r^*}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{z-z_1}{r^*}\right)^2 \right) + exp \left( -\left(\frac{r-r_2}{r^*}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{z-z_2}{r^*}\right)^2 \right) \right]$

 $r^* = 0.81 a \left(\beta_p a/R\right)^{1/3} \approx 10 cm$  $D_x$ ,  $\chi_x$  were varied in a range 0 to 490 m<sup>2</sup>/s

#### $\chi_{\perp,mix}$ was treated similarly

#### Cross-field thermal conductivity $(\chi_{1})$ :



#### \*\*T.D. Rognlien, 56th APS-DPP Meeting (New Orleans, LA, 2014)

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the US DOE under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344 and the RCUK Energy Programme Grant Number EP/P012450/1 and EURATOM.



In general, the fast plasma mixing does not have a substantial effect on the plasma detachment at primary SPs, but it strongly affects heat distribution between the divertor legs and heat flux profiles.

# CONCLUSIONS

- In preparation to MAST-U experiments, numerical simulations of X-point and SF divertors were performed using a 2D multi-fluid code UEDGE with charge-state-resolved carbon impurities.
- For the first time, the complex interplay of the plasma transport and magnetic configurations with various relative locations and separations of the SF nulls was comprehensively studied.
- In all SF configurations, the heat flux profile is broadened and flattened at primary SPs as a result of higher magnetic flux expansion at the divertor targets. Accordingly, peak heat flux is reduced.
- Primary SPs in the SF configurations approach the plasma detachment conditions (the 1 eV threshold) earlier (at lower separatrix densities).
- Fast plasma mixing in SF divertors reduces total heat to primary SPs by a factor of two, broadens heat flux profiles and reduces peak heat fluxes to primary SPs by more than 3 times (on top of the SF geometry effect).