# Novel Approach To Estimate Plasma Current Density Profile With Magnetic Probes In Aditya-U

S. Aich<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, J. Ghosh<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Patel<sup>3</sup>, T. M. Macwan<sup>1,2</sup>, D. Kumavat<sup>1,2</sup>, R. Kumar<sup>1</sup>, R. L. Tanna<sup>1</sup>, D. Raju<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Jha<sup>1</sup>, P. K. Chattopadhyay<sup>1,2</sup>, P. Gautam<sup>1</sup>, Praveenlal E. V.<sup>1</sup>, K. A. Jadeja<sup>1</sup>, K. Patel<sup>1</sup>, K. Singh<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Dolui<sup>1,2</sup>, J. Thakkar<sup>4</sup>, and ADITYA-U team

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Plasma Research, Gandhinagar, Gujarat - 382428, India
 <sup>2</sup> Homi Bhabha National Institute Anushaktinagar, Mumbai, Maharashtra – 400094, India
 <sup>3</sup> Pandit Deendayal Petroleum University, Raisan, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India, 382007

 <sup>4</sup> St. Xeviers College, Gujarat, India
 \*Email: suman.aich@ipr.res.in

ID: #1323

magnetic field at Mirnov probes, at 63 ms

of discharge #34490.

#### ABSTRACT

- •Tokamak plasma shows a radial variation of current density J(r), which is responsible for several plasma phenomena, like several instabilities, plasma transports etc. [1,2]
- •For the better understanding of a tokamak plasma, estimation of current

Uniform		Filamentary						
Current	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Current
8.6471	8.4903	8.4312	8.3849	8.3643	8.3404	8.3319	8.3197	8.2409

## **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Magnetic fields for plasma column only, found at Mirnov probe locations

density profile is required

•This work first time attempts and successfully estimates the radial current density profile of Aditya-U plasma using spatial profile of magnetic fields

## ADITYA-U TOKAMAK

 $R_0 = 75 \text{ cm}; a = 25 \text{ cm};$ Loop voltage: 20-22 V; Toroidal Field: 1.3-1.5 T;  $I_P = 150-213 \text{ kA} \pm 10\%;$ Plasma duration ~ 300 - 400 ms; $T_e = 250-500 \text{ eV} \pm 30\%;$ 

 $n_e = 250-500 eV \pm 50\%;$  $n_e = 3-4 \times 10^{19} m^{-3} \pm 10\%.$ 

FIG 1: Schematic of Mirnov Garland 1 (left) and 2 (right).

# CHOICE OF MAGNETIC PROBES IN ADITYA-U: MIRNOV PROBES [3]

Two sets of Mirnov <sup>[2,3]</sup> garlands, as shown in Fig. 1.

M9

M1

EM Rack - 2

• Data are taken from Mirnov garland 1 only.





- during plasma flat-top, when column movement is almost zero
- Such a plot for a typical discharge given in Fig. 5, along with the related temporal profiles in Fig. 4
- Averaged experimental value of the fitting parameter  $w \sim 6.871$ , different from that in Table 1



FIG 4:Temporal profile of plasma and vertical field currents ( $I_P \& I_V$ ) and horizontal position (dX) for the plasma discharge #34490.

# **ESTIMATION OF ADDITIONAL MAGNETIC FIELDS**

- To discard the mismatch between experimental and expected *w*, unwanted eddy magnetic fields at probe locations found, and given in Table 2
  These eddy magnetic fields are present at plasma flat-top when the positional movement of the column is negligibly small
- The toroidal peaked current density profile generated using:

$$J(r) = J_0 \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{a^2}\right)^{\gamma},$$

where  $J_0$  = the density at the column centre, r = distance along minor radius, a

- = minor radius = 25 cm,  $\gamma$  = exponent
- Resultant density profiles plotted in Fig 2
- Corresponding spatial profile of magnetic field at Mirnov probe locations given in Fig 3 – only three profiles chosen for plot to avoid clumsiness



#### FIG 2. Toroidal current density profile,

file, FIG. 3. Spatial profile of magnetic field at all

TABLE 2. AVERAGED EDDY MAGNETIC FIELDS (G) AT DIFFERENT MIRNOV PROBES

1	2	3	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1.06	1.42	0.54	1.35	2.59	2.93	4.38	4.20	3.34	2.79	1.43	0.69	0.23	0.75
4	9	3	5	2	9	3	5	1	7	0	9	3	5

# ESTIMATION OF PLASMA CURRENT DENSITY PROFILE

- Magnetic field (numerically achieved) at Mirnov probe are corrected for eddy magnetic fields and fitted with Lorentzian function
- These fitting parameters are in good agreement with experimental one, as given in Table 3

#### TABLE 3. VALUE OF w FOR DIFFERENT TOROIDAL CURRENT PROFILES WITH EDDY CORRECTIONS

Uniform		Filamentary						
Current	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Current
6.9564	6.9071	6.8924	6.8761	6.8703	6.8618	6.8602	6.8571	6.8334

# CONCLUSIONS

18

#### •At plasma flat-top, with minimal movement of the plasma column, current

generated, for different values of γ, with total Mirnov probes due to 100 kA of toroidal current to be 100 kA.

## **DISTINGUISHING CURVES**

• To distinguish the peaked curves of Fig3, curves are fitted using Lorentzian function:

$$B(x) = B_0 + \frac{2A}{\pi} \frac{w}{4(x - x_c)^2 + w^2} ,$$

where  $B_0$  = offset value, A = the area under the curve, w = full width at half maximum,  $x_c$  = abscissa at which the peak appears

- Among these parameters, *w* found to be most appropriate to distinguish between two source current profiles
- Table 1 provides values of *w* for different current density profiles

density profile follows  $\gamma \sim 3-4$ .

•Contributions from unknown eddy currents to the Mirnov signals are successfully figured out.

## REFERENCES

WESSON, J., Tokamaks, Oxford, Clarendon, (1997).
 HUTCHINSON, I. H., Principles of Plasma Diagnostics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1987).
 MIRNOV, S. V., Plasma Physics (Journal of Nuclear Energy Part C) 7 (1965) 325.