

H-mode physics studies on TCV supported by the EUROfusion pedestal database

Wednesday 12 May 2021 18:25 (20 minutes)

Introduction – The H-mode confinement regime will be the main operational scenario on ITER and also the current foreseen scenario for fusion reactors. A continuous effort towards better predictive capabilities of H-mode confinement is being pursued on both experimental and theoretical fronts. The H-mode is characterised by the formation of a pedestal near the plasma edge and as the fusion power scales as p_{ped}^2 , it is advantageous to maintain a high pedestal pressure. This goal is challenged by the need to mitigate H-mode characteristic Type-I ELMs either by creating a highly radiating divertor using impurity injection [1] or via ELM-free or small ELMs regimes [2]. With a pedestal database, the H-mode physics studies performed on TCV are reviewed with emphasis on the comparison between the historically ECRH dominated scenario and the NBH H-mode regime explored more recently.

Pedestal database – The TCV pedestal database is one of several databases promoted by EUROfusion to stimulate the multi-machine comparisons, (JET, AUG, MAST-U and TCV), with common parameter definitions [3] and a common platform (IMAS: ITER integrated modelling and analysis suite). The pedestal structure is determined from the pre-ELM temperature and density profiles (75-99% of the ELM cycle) using TCV's Thomson Scattering [4]. Pedestal parameters are obtained using a mtanh fitting function [5]. To reduce uncertainties in the equilibrium reconstructions, temperature and density profiles were systematically shifted such that $T_{e,sep} = 50$ eV, estimated by using the two point model for the power balance at the separatrix. To enhance the overall pedestal data quality, entries were selected according to following rules: steady state intervals over at least 0.4s ($\sim 10\tau_E$) and a reduced R^2 for the fit in the region $0.8 < \psi_N < 1.05$ larger than 0.75. A new plasma equilibrium is computed using the CHEASE code from the fitted pedestal, accounting for the bootstrap current and, only then, is the pedestal stability analysed [6]. The TCV pedestal database currently contains ~ 350 entries for about 100 implemented parameters. For TCV's heating methods, (Ohmic, ECRH and NBH), the normalised average lost energy as a function of the ELM frequency is shown Fig.1-left with the pedestal temperature plotted against the pedestal density, Fig.1-right. The plasma shaping capabilities of TCV have been exploited in the range of parameters: $1.3 < \kappa < 1.8$, $0.2 < \delta_b < 0.8$ and $-0.3 < \delta_u < 0.8$. For similar H-mode plasmas except the top triangularity ($\delta_u \sim -0.2$ vs $\delta_u \sim 0.2$), the pedestal width is increased by 25% while its top pressure is reduced by a factor of 2 for the negative triangularity cases, in line with EPED predictions [6].

Indico rendering error

Could not include image: [403] Error fetching image

ECRH ELMy H-modes – H-mode plasmas with Type-III ELMs are achieved in TCV with Ohmic heating only for $q_{95} < 3$ (Fig.1 blue points). The central plasma density is too high for 2nd harmonic ECR heating, but central heating at third harmonic (X3) is possible. As the injected X3 power is increased ($0 < P_{X3} < 0.5$ MW), the type-III ELM frequency decreases to $f_{ELM} \sim 50$ Hz. Additional X3 power doesn't change the ELM frequency but increases significantly the normalised lost energy ($\Delta W/W \sim 17\%$). This is explained by some coupling of the ELM instability with the core MHD ($m/n=1/1$) that propagates the ELM crash to the plasma core region. Finally, for $P_{X3} > 0.8$ MW, the ELM frequency increases, signifying a Type-I ELM regime, with a decrease in the normalised ELM losses [7-8]. Typical pedestal values for an ELMy H-mode heated with 1 MW of ECRH are $T_{e,ped} \sim 0.8$ keV, $n_{e,ped} \sim 3 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$, $n_{e,sep} \sim 0.2n_{e,ped}$ and $T_e(0)/T_i(0) \sim 6$. Such hot plasmas at low densities for $\psi_N > 0.8$, including the pedestal, is still accessible to X2 heating and it was demonstrated that the ELM frequency can be controlled by ECRH modulation [9]. Interestingly, a steady state ELM free regime has been reached with 1.2 MW of X3 power with unfavourable ∇B configurations [10]. In today's tokamaks, pedestal collisionalities relevant for ITER ($\nu_{*,ped} \sim 0.1$) might be achievable with an ECRH H-mode operational regime but conditions for partial detachment, $n_{e,sep}/n_G \sim 0.4$ at the separatrix, are impossible.

NBH ELMy H-modes – The H-mode operational space extension towards high pedestal and larger separatrix densities has become possible with TCV's neutral beam injector (1MW, 30keV), in operation since 2015. Moreover, ELMy H-mode can be achieved at lower plasma current ($q_{95} > 3$) allowing the development of an ITER baseline scenario on TCV ($q_{95} \sim 3-3.6$, $\kappa=1.7$, $\delta=0.4$) [11]. A scenario at $q_{95} \sim 4.5$, $\delta=1.5$ is well established with accompanying Type-I ELMs ($f_{ELM} \sim 100$ Hz, $\Delta W/W \sim 10\%$) and typical pedestal parameters $T_{e,ped} \sim$

0.2 keV and $n_{e,ped} \sim 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$. The effects of D_2 fuelling and N_2 seeding on the pedestal stability and plasma confinement were investigated. Both induces an outward shift of the pedestal density relative to the pedestal temperature with a corresponding outward shift of the pedestal pressure that, in turn, reduces the peeling-ballooning stability, degrades the pedestal confinement and reduces the pedestal width [12], in line with AUG and JET results [13]. A small ELM regime with high confinement was achieved if, and only if, the separatrix plasma density was large enough ($n_{e,sep}/n_G \sim 0.3$) and the magnetic configuration was close to a double null ($\delta > 0.4$) [14]. The extension of the regime to $q_{95} < 4$ was recently achieved. A second neutral beam (1MW, 50keV), planned by the end of 2020, will open new possibilities, not only for H-mode physics at large β_N , but also for fast particle physics.

- [1] A. Kallenbach et al., “*Partial detachment of high power discharges in ASDEX Upgrade*” Nucl. Fusion 55 053026 (2015)
- [2] J. Stober, et al., “*Type II ELMy H-modes on ASDEX Upgrade with good confinement at high density*” Nucl. Fusion 41 1123–34 (2001)
- [3] <https://users.euro-fusion.org/iterphysicswiki/index.php/Database>
- [4] P. Blanchard et al., “*Thomson scattering measurements in the divertor region of the TCV Tokamak plasmas*” JINST 14 C10038 (2019)
- [5] R. Groebner et al., “*Progress in quantifying the edge physics of the H mode regime in DIII-D*”, Nucl. Fusion 41 1789 (2001)
- [6] A. Merle, et al., “*Pedestal properties of H-modes with negative triangularity using the EPED-CH model*”, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion, 59, 10, 104001, 2017.
- [7] A. Pitzschke, “*Pedestal Characteristics and MHD Stability of H-Mode Plasmas in TCV*”, PhD thesis, EPFL, no 4917 (2011)
- [8] A. Pitzschke et al., “*Electron temperature and density profile evolution during the ELM cycle in ohmic and EC-heated H-mode plasmas in TCV*”, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion, 54, 015007 (2012)
- [9] J.X. Rossel et al., “*Edge-localized mode control by electron cyclotron waves in a tokamak plasma*” Nucl. Fusion 52 032004 (2012)
- [10] L. Porte et al., “*Plasma dynamics with second and third-harmonic ECRH and access to quasi-stationary ELM-free H-mode on TCV*” Nucl. Fusion, 47, 8, 952–960 (2007)
- [11] O. Sauter et al., “*ITER baseline scenario investigations on TCV and comparison with AUG*”, this conference
- [12] U. A. Sheikh et al., “*Pedestal structure and energy confinement studies on TCV*” Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion, 61, 1, 014002 (2019)
- [13] L. Frassinetti et al., “*Role of the pedestal position on the pedestal performance in AUG, JET-ILW and TCV and implications for ITER*” Nucl. Fusion, 59, 7 076038 (2019)
- [14] B. Labit et al., “*Dependence on plasma shape and plasma fuelling for small edge-localized mode regimes in TCV and ASDEX Upgrade*” Nucl. Fusion, 59, 8 086020 (2019)

Country or International Organization

Switzerland

Affiliation

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

Author: LABIT, BENOIT (Swiss Plasma Center (SPC) EPFL SWITZERLAND)

Co-authors: CODA, Stefano (CRPP-EPFL); DUVAL, Basil (Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne –Swiss Plasma Center (SPC)); MERLE, Antoine (Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas (CRPP), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland); PORTE, Laurie (CRPP-EPFL); SAUTER, Olivier; SHEIKH, Umar; DUNNE, Mike (IPP-Garching); FRASSINETTI, Lorenzo (KTH, Royal Institute of Technology); SCANNELL, Rory (Association CCFE/Euratom)

Presenter: LABIT, BENOIT (Swiss Plasma Center (SPC) EPFL SWITZERLAND)

Session Classification: P4 Posters 4

Track Classification: Magnetic Fusion Experiments