

High Performance ITER-baseline discharges in deuterium with nitrogen and neon-seeding in the JET-ILW

Carine Giroud¹, S. Brezinsek², R. A. Pitts³, I.S. Carvalho⁴, A. Huber³, E. Kaveeva⁵, D. Keeling¹, J. Mailloux¹, V. Rozhansky⁵, S. Aleiferis¹, B. Chapman¹, S. Henderson¹, L. Garzotti¹, E. Lerche⁶, M. Marin⁷, M. Maslov¹, M. Brix¹, P. Carvalho⁴, I. Coffey⁸, J.M. Fontdecaba⁹, L. Horvath¹, I. Jepu¹⁰, J. Karhunen¹¹, E. Litherland-Smith¹, A. Meigs¹, R.B. Morales¹, T. Pereira⁴, D. Refy¹², Z. Stancar¹³, M. Sertoli¹, S. Silburn¹, G. Szepesi¹, A. Thorman¹, M. Tomes^{14,15}, I.Veselova⁵, B. Viola¹, S. Wiesen² and JET Contributors^{*}

EUROfusion Consortium, JET, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK

¹Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon OX14 3DB, UK ²Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institut für Energie-und Klimaforschung - Plasmaphysik, 52425 Jülich, Germany ³ITER Organization, Route de Vinon-sur-Verdon, CS 90 046, 13067 St Paul Lez Durance Cedex, France ⁴Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal ⁵ Peter the Great St.Petersburg Polytechnic University, Polytechnicheskaya 29, 195251 St.Petersburg, Russia ⁶ LPP-ERM-KMS, Association EUROFUSION-Belgian State, TEC partner, Brussels, Belgium ⁷ DIFFER - Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

⁸ Astrophysics Research Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University, Belfast, BT7 1NN

- ⁹ Laboratorio Nacional Fusion. CIEMAT. Av Complutense 40. 28040 Madrid. Spain
- ¹⁰ National Institute for Laser, Plasma and Radiation Physics, Magurele-Bucharest, Romania
- ¹¹ Aalto University, P.O.Box 14100, FIN-00076 Aalto, Finland
- ¹² Centre for Energy Research, 29-33 Konkoly Thege Miklós street 1121 Budapest, Hungary
- ¹³ Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ¹⁴ Institute of the Plasma Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic
- ¹⁵ Department of Surface and Plasma Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Czech republic

* See the author list of J. Mailloux et al to be published in Nucl. Fusion Special Issue: Overview and Summary Papers from the 28th Fusion Energy Conference (Nice, France, 10-15 May 2021)

Introduction

- ITER tritium plant is being designed to deal with both nitrogen (N) and neon (Ne) [1] but the use of N leads to the formation of tritium-containing ammonia \rightarrow time-costly high temperature regeneration \rightarrow reduction of plant duty cycle.
- On current devices with all-metal plasma-facing components, N generally provides the best performance with respect to a carbon dominated environment. [2,3,4]
- It would be beneficial for ITER to use Ne for divertor radiation
- New experiments at JET with a higher input power (30-33MW) have finally yielded a Ne-seeded scenario which behaves similarly to the high-performance seeded H-mode previously only achievable with N impurity.

Experimental details, performance of neon and nitrogen-seeded plasmas

Stationary Type I ELMy H-modes have been obtained with Ip=2.5MA, B_T =2.7T, P_{RF} =5MW, with high triangularity $(\delta=0.4)$, with the same ITER-like divertor (VV) configuration with both inner and outer strike points on the vertical divertor target [2].



Core modelling and improvement of confinement



QuaLiKiz simulation with j, T_e , T_i , n_e , n_i are predicted; impurity density and rotation are Boundary conditions taken at imposed. rho=0.85

- Both seeded and unseeded discharges, (from Fig1) are well reproduced as well as Rnt.
- ITG dominated at lower $k_{\theta}\rho_{s}$ (<1), while ETG weakly unstable for $k_{\theta}\rho_s \gtrsim 3$.

Components leading to the origin of the improvement are identified by starting from the unseeded simulation and adding step-by-step

- Rotation profile from Ne-seeded plasma
- Ne impurity content

• The pedestal electron density at rho=0.85

Pedestal electron and ion temperature

ExB shear, impurity content ad high ratio T_i/T_e, each plays a role in improving the core confinement and neutron rate but together comparable to the role of the pedestal. Similar simulations with N-seeding are on-going.

Pedestal stability, structure, first gyrokinetic analysis



Fig. 8: normalised pressure gradient for Ne-seeded plasmas (left) and j- α stability diagram nds show the Ne-gas rate and value of Paiu/Pa



- For both Ne and N-seeded plasmas, an increase in pedestal width is observed (mostly due to the Te width)
- For N, the OP is close to the Peeling-Ballooning boundary and in the ballooning region of the j- α diagram.
- For Ne, α_{max} decreases with increasing Ne-gas rate. For moderate Ne-gas rate (1.6x10²² el.s⁻¹), OP is within 20% of the PB stability boundary, but above this Ne-gas rate, the OP moves further away from the PB boundary.

Power load reduction, radiation and SOLPS-ITER benchmark for ITER

N 1.00 N 1.40 N 2.00 N 3.00 N 4.50 N 6.50

 P_{RAD} (MW.m²)

Ne 0.4e19 Ne 0.7e19 Ne 1.0e19 Ne 2.0e19 Ne 2.0e19 Ne 3.0e19 Ne 4.0e19 Ne 6.0e19 Ne 8.0e19 Ne 8.0e19 Ne 1.0e20 trace N





Fig.10: Power flux at outer target determined from Langmuir probes for increasing impurity seeding in Neon (left and N (right). Seeding gas-rate and value of ratio P_{div}/P_{main} indicated on the graph.



for N (top) and Ne (bottom) with contribution from core removed. Note the

horizontal channel 35 became saturated for N (highlighted with black blob).

Fig 11: SOLPS-ITER separatrix density with increased impurity concentration at separatrix (left) for Ne and N-seeded plasmas. (Right) Measured separatrix density with increased ratio Pdiv/Pmain proxy for increased radiation of Ne (blue) and N(green) seeded plasmas



(left) and Ne-seeded (right) discharges (filled symboldata, full line fit) and best match with SOLPS-ITER run (dashed line). Same color as used in Fig 12. and 13.

N-seeding can lead to fully detached plasmas in inter-ELM period, whereas Ne-seeding only reduce the power

bolometry channels simulated data for N (top) and Ne (bottom)

10 11 12 13 14 15 Channel



Unexpectedly, over the range of applied impurity-seeding rate, • the neon-seeded plasmas have the highest neutron rates, H_{98} and β_N values and energy confinement time (Fig 4), in comparison to N-seeded plasmas.

normalized confinement c) normalized pressure

- The ELMs are very different between Ne and N-seeded plasmas (Fig. 5 and 6) as the impurity seeding rate increases.
- At the highest Ne-seeding rate of 2.2x10²² el.s⁻¹, stationary plasmas are obtained with small ELMs/no ELM regime and impressive plasma performance, see Fig. 3.

Pedestal behaviour is different for Ne and N-seeded plasmas:

- Similar total pedestal pressure can be maintained (Fig.6)
- Ne-seeded plasmas have a higher pedestal T_i and lower pedestal electron density than N-seeded plasmas, but similar pedestal T_{e} . (Fig 6)
- load in the SP region so far (Fig10).
- N-radiation is more localised in the separatrix region than Ne (Fig.12)
- Benchmark with SOLPS-ITER of N and Ne-seeded plasmas on-going but already fairly good reproduction of radiation pattern, and saturation current (Fig 13 and 14) [6,7].
- Separatrix density decreases for both Ne and N as impurity content is increased in experiment, also observed in SOLPS-ITER (Fig. 11)

Conclusion

- JET has demonstrated for the first time that Ne-seeded plasmas are compatible with high-performance and can achieve higher normalized confinement and neutron rate than equivalent N-seeding plasmas.
- The decrease of electron pedestal density and rise in pedestal ion temperature is key in this improvement but improved core confinement also play a role via the increased ExB shearing rate, impurity content and higher ratio of T_i/T_e .
- Reduction of heat load is observed at the strike-point with neon; Full detachment obtained with N-seeding.
- ITER benchmark activities with SOLPS-ITER on Ne and N-seeded JET plasmas are underway [6,7].

References: [1] R.A. Pitts et al, Nuclear Materials and Energy 20 (2019) 100696 [2] C. Giroud et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 57 (2017) 035004 [3] C.Giroud EX/P5-25 IAEA 2014 [4] M. Bernert et al. Nuclear Materials and Energy 26 (2021) 100870 [5] M. Marin paper in [6] E. Kaveeva et al, PSI 2021 [7] V. Rozhansky IAEA 2021.



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.