

Disruption Thermal Load Mitigation With JET SPI

U. Sheikh¹, D. Shiraki², R. Sweeney³, P. Carvalho⁴, S. Jachmich⁵, E. Joffrin⁶, M. Lehnen⁵, J. Lovell³, E. Nardon⁶, S. Silburn⁴ and JET contributors⁷

1) Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), Switzerland

2) Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, USA

3) Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

4) CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

5) ITER Organization, route de Vinon sur Verdon, 13115 St Paul Lez Durance, France

6) CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance, France

7) See the author list of 'Overview of JET results for optimising ITER operation' by J. Mailloux et al to be published in Nuclear Fusion Special issue: Overview and Summary Papers from the 28th Fusion Energy Conference (Nice, France, 10-15 May 2021)





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

Motivation



- Disruption mitigation remains a critical, unresolved challenge for ITER
- Mitigation target is 90% of stored thermal energy (W_{th})
 - Need to validate SPI as viable ITER DMS
- Decreasing f_{rad} with increasing f_{th} observed on JET with MGI
 - This trend was not reproduced on AUG (Sheikh Nuc. Fusion 2020)
- Explored with JET SPI in this work

$$f_{rad} = \frac{W_{rad}}{W_{mag} + W_{th} - W_{coupled}}$$



$$f_{th} = \frac{W_{th}}{W_{mag} + W_{th} - W_{coupled}}$$

Diagnostics Overview



- Radiated energy measured with bolometers
 - Weighted integration method applied due to high asymmetries
- Infrared cameras and density measurements unusable during mitigated disruptions



Single Pellet Injection Results



Neon content varied in single pellet injections

PLASMA

- Current quench (CQ) duration and cooling time vary with neon particles injected
- Radiated energy (W_{rad}) saturates when total W_{th} is radiated (1e22+ inj. neon particles)
- Increased deuterium quantity at fixed Ne content doesn't impact mitigation efficiency

o Three pellet sizes with fixed neon content in red circles



Influence of Mechanical Punch



- Mechanical punch used to dislodge pellet reduces velocity
 - Leads to larger pellet fragments after shattering (GEBHART 2021)
- CQ duration reduced with punch -> higher impurity assimilation
 - Does not influence cooling time or radiated energy



Radiation Asymmetries



- Investigated with Emis3D (Sweeney, 2020 APS)
 - Toroidal peaking factor (TPF) = emission in SPI sector / toroidal mean
- Peak TPF up to 2.6, pre-CQ average of up to 1.9
 - ITER limit is 2.0
- Lower global TPF at low f_{th}
 - Higher fraction of total W_{rad} is radiated symmetrically during CQ at low f_{th}



Energy Balance



- Decrease in f_{rad} at high f_{th} observed when asymmetries ignored
- Accounting for asymmetries removes negative trend
 - Constant f_{rad} maintained with 100% neon pellets in 2.5MA scenario
 - Indicates SPI performance not reduced at high f_{th}
 - Large scatter attributed to the under-constrained Emis3D analysis



Multiple Pellets (Staggered Arrival)



- Two pellets launched from single injector
- Slower 8.1mm pellet fired first
- Scan limited by cooling time duration

	Composition			
	12.5mm pellet	8.1mm pellet	4.6mm pellet	Total neon injected
Larger pellets scan	2% Ne, 98% D	100% Ne		2.2 x 10 ²²
Smaller pellets scan		20% Ne, 80% D	100% Ne	7.3 x 10 ²¹



Multiple Pellets (Staggered Arrival)



- Arrival delay influences radiated energy, CQ duration and density increase
 - Dataset bound by single pellet references

PLASM/

- Larger pellets scan indicates deuterium can be assimilated from a pellet arriving after an initial neon pellet
 - Increase in plasma density during CQ measured



Pure Deuterium Pellets



- Long cooling times of up to 75ms possible
- Gradual decrease in W_{th} of up to 80% (2MJ through radiation)
- Cooling time appears to be limited by n=1 instability growth (Shiraki, this conf.)



Modelling Comparisons

PLASM/



- FEEQS simulations show good agreement for coupled magnetic energy estimates
- KPRAD captures cooling time and CQ duration at high W_{th}
 - Poor agreement for CQ duration at low W_{th} due to strong influence of sputtering, background impurities etc not accounted for by KPRAD



Conclusions



- CQ duration and cooling time vary with neon particles injected
 - Saturation of radiated energy indicates total W_{th} radiated if 1e22+ neon particles injected
 - Slower pellets (punched) have higher impurity assimilation
- Mitigation performance maintained at high f_{th} if asymmetries considered
 - Large uncertainties remain due to limited diagnostics
- Multiple pellet injection can be used to tailor mitigation
 - Deuterium pellets trailing neon pellets can still be assimilated
- Pure D pellets produce long cooling times with gradual reduction in $\rm W_{th}$
- KPRAD modelling successfully reproduces SPI shutdowns at high $\rm W_{th}$

