

Energetic Particle dynamics induced by off-axis neutral beam injection on ASDEX Upgrade, JT-60SA and ITER

PH. LAUBER¹, V.-A. POPA¹, G. PAPP¹, T. HAYWARD-SCHNEIDER¹, G. MENG¹, Z. LU¹, EUROFUSION ENR NAT² AND MET³ TEAMS, B. GEIGER⁴, L. GIL⁵, G. D. CONWAY¹, M. MARASCHEK¹, AND THE ASDEX UPGRADE TEAM, A. BIERWAGE⁶, K. SHINOHARA^{6,7}, M.SCHNEIDER⁸, S.D. PINCHES⁸

¹MPI für Plasmaphysik, IPP, Germany
² see http://www2.ipp.mpg.de/ pwl/NAT/ENR NAT.html
³ see https://www.afs.enea.it/zonca/METproject/
⁴ University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

⁵Instituto de Plasmas e Fusao Nuclear, IST, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal
⁶ National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological Science and Technology, Naka Fusion Institute, Ibaraki311-0193, Japan
⁷ The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561, Japan
⁸ ITER Organization, Route de Vinon-sur-Verdon, CS90046, 13067 St Paul-lez-Durance, France

motivation & main results

•off-axis NB heating is crucial for current profile control, particularly in ramp-up phases

•ASDEX Upgrade (AUG) scenarios with exclusive off-axis heating brings $E_{NB}/T_{thermal}$ and $\beta_{fast}/\beta_{termal}$ closer to future experiments

•what is the effect of the observed EP instabilities on the background profiles? First evidence for core ion heating due to inwards redistributed EPs

validate stability and transport tools on extended AUG data base, including experimental isotope studies: different βs, H,L-modes, non-linear EGAM dynamics
 start to investigate scenario projections of JT-60SA and ITER pre-fusion plasmas, focus on anisotropic distribution functions

•use IMAS to develop and validate an automated EP stability workflow, finalise preparations for the implementation of reduced EP transport models

Isotope studies onASDEX Upgrade

investigation of strongly non-linear EP dynamics on AUG is possible [1]:

• with sub-Alfvénic beams (2.5-5MW)

in current flat-top with stationary plasma conditions

Off-axis NB heating scenarios on JT-60SA & ITER pre-fusion plasma

iter





- compatible with tungsten wall
- for EP physics relevant parameters: $\beta_{EP}/\beta_{thermal} \sim 1$, $E_{NBI}/T_{i,e} \approx 100$ • for different isotope mixes: deuterium (D) and hydrogen (H)



with substantially different phase space structures

UROfusion

ipfn

NSTITUTO DE PLAS

ingrade

- new interface between MEGA, ITER H&CD workflow, TRANSP/NUBEAM and LIGKA/HAGIS has been developed: bin, smooth, project to COM space
- left: beam β's for the three experiments; for ITER the three possible geometries of the two beam lines (on-axis/onaxis, off/on, off/off) are shown
- selected resonance lines for co (blue) and counter (orange/ beige) propagating n=2 TAEs have been added; green: GAM/BAE resonance at s=0.4: no intersection of counterpropagating resonances with energetic phase space region prevent low-n TAEs to be

mode number analysis: EGAMs and k-BAEs with different non-linear chirping dynamics are found:

hook-like up-chirping, symmetric chirping, down-chirping, steady state



chirping type not directly related to GAM continuum - given by combination of EPs' phase space gradient and damping (mainly q-dependent)





excited. EAEs likely to be unstable

hierarchical workflow (WF) embedded in LIGKA has been applied for JT-60SA: local estimates, global properties: fast overview runs give clear picture about gaps, frequencies, local damping and mode structures

 AE sensitivity during ramp-up motivates time-dependent analysis as first step to reduced transport models (RTM)
 LIGKA/HAGIS has been ported to ITER-IMAS

 an EP stability python WF has been created, combining different levels of fidelity and speed, including the possibility to run non-linear HAGIS simulations for non-linear EP relaxation



- L-mode profiles facilitate diagnostics coverage: location of reflectometry cut-off layer allows radial mode localisation (s=0.5-0.6) for the EGAMs, BAEs shown above
 EP redistribution directly measured (FIDASIM) [1]
- EP transport seems to affect background profiles: Ti and Te profiles in different phases of the discharges (#36267 at 4.09s)
- in mode-quiescent phase (#36267 at 1.57s): Te~Ti, interpretative classical TRANSP profiles match Ti as measured during beam-blips
- ongoing (challenging!) linear analysis with various codes: HAGIS/ LIGKA, MEGA, HYMAGYK, ORB5 ([3], G. Vlad at this conference) as preparation for non-linear modelling



References:

[1] Ph Lauber et al, 2018 EX/1-1 Proc. 27th IAEA FEC, 22-27 October 2018, Gandhinagar(Ahmedabad) Gujarat, India.
 [2] Bierwage A, Toma M and Shinohara K 2017 *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* 59125008
 [3] G Vlad et al, 2021 TH/P1-3 Proc. 28th IAEA FEC 10-15 May 2021, Nice, France

Acknowledgements:

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053 and IO Contract No. IO/18/CT/ 4300001657. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. Part of this work has been supported by the following Enabling Research projects: CfP-AWP17-ENR-MPG-01 (P. Zonca), ENR-MFE19.MPG-01 (G. Conway). ITER is the Nuclear Facility INB no. 174. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those.

- regions without AEs (q≥1) in steep EP gradient regions can be predicted and traced
- global calculations differ in many cases from local estimates for damping, drive and existence: example of two branches of odd TAEs, comparing damping rate and mode existence
- although local models can give first insight and overview, global models are needed for reliable prediction (linearly and non-linearly)
- confirmed by recent successful comparison of HAGIS/LIGKA with global GK ORB5 simulations of ITER ITPA 15 MA case (#131018,40) [see poster T. Hayward-Schneider TH/P1-14]