FAST ION RELAXATION IN ITER MEDIATED BY ALFVÉN INSTABILITIES USING REDUCED MODELS

N.N. Gorelenkov in collaboration with

V.N. Duarte, M.V. Gorelenkova, M. Podestà (PPPL)

Z. Lin (UC Irvine), S. Pinches (ITER organization)

International Atomic Energy Agency Fusion Energy Conference October 16-21th, 2023, London UK

This work is supported in part by ISEP SciDAC, and DoE contract No. DE-AC02-09CH11466



(日) (同) (三) (三)

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Classical α -particle confinement & Coulomb collisions may result in weak Alfvénic mode driven α -transport in burning plasmas



 Recent ITER Physics Basis and US DOE FY22 Theory Performance Target milestone studies indicate that classically confined α-particles & 1*MeV* NBI ions will not lead to appreciable losses. Self consistent QL approach (Gorelenkov et al., PLA'21, Duarte et al., PRL'23) is underappreciated for future fusion devices planning.

Our studies show that anomalous pitch angle scattering due to microturbulence (i) is required to drive AEs to high amplitudes for dangerous losses (ii) is compatible with QL approach.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Berk-Breizman-Ye nonlinear scenarios envisioned 3 decades ago are (QL) confirmed now



QL model is applicable to a SINGLE AEI: ion motion near the island is diffusive due to pitch angle scattering \Rightarrow multiple broadened resonances justify QL theory.

Resonance-Broadened Quasi-Linear code (RBQ) is built

RBQ is a post- (NOVA/NOVA-C) processor to compute EP dynamics in the presence of Alfvénic modes.

- RBQ includes:
 - (slow varying) eigenmode structures (NOVA or other solvers);
 - finds AE amplitude evolution and EP distribution function in time;
 - QL model is based on diffusion in Constants of Motion space ⇒ oscillations/intermittences!!
- RBQ is connected with TRANSP WDM to compute EP diffusion and EP distribution function (Gorelenkov et al., NF'18, PoP'19, Gorelenkova et al., APS'22).
- Extensively verified and validated against developed analytic cases (Gorelenkov et al., APS'18, PoP'19, Duarte et al. NF'19,'17).
- Verified against kick model & BOT Vlasov solver (Gorelenkov & Duarte PLA 21).
- RBQ2D is build with selfconsistent model for EP diffusion near the resonance region (Duarte AAPPS, APS'20).

RBQ aims at single/multiple AE instabilities in BP conditions & is numerically efficient.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Quasi-Linear equations include 3 time scales: γ_L , γ_d , and v_{eff}

Action-angle formalism using flux variables include γ_L^{-1} , γ_d^{-1} , and v_{eff}^{-1} in equations for EP DF: (Kaufman,PhFl'72, Berk NF'95, adapted for RBQ Duarte PhD'17, Gorelenkov NF'18, APS'18, Duarte PRL'23)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f = \pi \sum_{\mathbf{l},k} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\varphi}} C_{k}^{2} \mathscr{E}^{2} \frac{G_{m'p}^{*}G_{mp}}{\left|\partial \Omega_{\mathbf{l}}/\partial P_{\varphi}\right|_{res}} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbf{l}}[\Omega] \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\varphi}} f + v_{eff}^{3} \left|\frac{\partial \Omega_{\mathbf{l}}}{\partial P_{\varphi}}\right|^{-2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial P_{\varphi}^{2}} \left(f - f_{0}\right),$$

where distribution f is evolved due to scattering terms on the RHS and AE driven Constant Of Motion (COM) diffusion.

AE amplitudes evolve due to explicit equations involving $\gamma_L(t; f)$, γ_d damping is fixed:

$$C_k(t) \sim e^{(\gamma_L + \gamma_d)t} \Rightarrow \frac{dC_k^2}{dt} = 2[\gamma_L(t; f) + \gamma_d]C_k^2.$$

Critical for RBQ multi-mode cases (Dupree'66, Berk'95, White'18) is the resonant frequency and its broadening (nonlinear bounce ω_{bNL} and effective scattering v_{eff}): (V. Duarte APS'20 inv. talk, PRL'23)

$$\delta\left(\Omega=\omega+n\dot{\phi}-m\dot{\theta}-I\omega_{b}\right)\rightarrow\textit{window function},\mathscr{F}_{\mathsf{I}}\left[\Omega\right]$$

RBQ computes EP diffusion rates for TRANSP/NUBEAM whole device modeling to evolve EP distribution function & evolve it during the run within the code. Self-consistent diffusion near the resonance region (Duarte AAPPS, APS'20, PRL'23) is critical.

RBQ workflow for n = 4 Reversed Shear Alfvén Eigenmode (near q_{min})



ORBIT on importance of χ -scattering for WPI resonances (White et al., PoP'19)



- vary collisionality from 0 to 22Hz; δf saturates at strong collisionality;
- the broader the resonance \Rightarrow more free energy is available for instability;
- both examples demonstrate that χ -scattering dominates the broadening in P_{φ} at small AE amplitudes;
- near threshold island vanishes, but broadened region remains (analytic broadening is formulated Duarte et al., PoP'19).

(日) (同) (三) (三)

RBQ 2D upgrade involves more sophisticated wind. function (Duarte PoP'19)

A QL theory is revisited for near threshold regimes basing on near threshold WF: $0.3 \lesssim v_{eff} / (\gamma_L - \gamma_d) < 1, \ \gamma_d / \gamma_L > 0.35.$



- QL methodology accounts for resonant dynamics selfconsistently.
- Measurable interplay of growth/damping rate scales is important for experiments.
- Energy slowing down is weak and is included in recent work: Duarte et al., PRL'23.

3

RBQ captures interplay of three time scales for one mode



- Interplay between 3 time scales explains amplitude oscillations with the flat top broadening:
 - Linear growing phase: $1/(\gamma_L + \gamma_d)$, Damped phase, γ_d^{-1} & Recovering phase, v_{scatt}^{-1} .
- Periodicity (or oscillatory time evolution) is due to Coulomb scattering effective source of resonance ions.

Coulomb collisions are insufficient for periodic, intermittent oscillations. Theory requires additional scattering, expected to be \gg Coulomb collisions.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

RBQ+near threshold window function & Bump-on-tail (BOT) agree qualitatively

RBQ (Quasi-linear): fixed boundary conditions (BC) at the axis, fixed (zero) at the edge. BOT (fully nonlinear Vlasov kinetic equation solver): Ω , γ_L , γ_d , v_{eff} , fixed BC at infinity.



Nominal case for n = 3, f = 75 kHz: $\gamma_d = -0.75 \gamma_L = -2.075\%$ at $v_{Coul} = 8.9 sec^{-1}$ or $v_{eff} = 8.017 \times 10^3 sec^{-1}$.

In RBQ the recovery time (repetition rate) scales for nominal DIII-D case (Collins et al., PRL'16) as $\Delta t (\simeq v_{eff}^{-1}) = 19 msec$. In BOT it has close value $\sim 30 msec$. (Gorelenkov & Duarte, PLA'21)

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Contour map of oscillatory behavior: RBQ vs BOT - fully nonlinear Vlasov solver



RBQ does a good job near marginality, $0.35 < \gamma_d/\gamma_{L0} < 1$ at wider range of v_{eff}/γ_{L0} .

Theory is presented at AAPPS'20 and APS'20 invited talks by Duarte & PRL'23, IAEA'20 and IAEA'23 by Gorelenkov on ITER EP relaxation.

TRANSP/NUBEAM with RBQ diffusion result in similar DF as kick model's



- Co-going passing beam ions are strongly redistributed (Collins et al., PRL'16, Heidbrink et al., NF'17).
- Within kick, RBQ models amplitudes are kept constant throughout observed times (Gorelenkov et al., APS'18, PoP'19).
- Neutron rate includes radial and energy dependence within TRANSP simulations.
- Rotation is ignored!! It can be significant and could lead to EP energy shift ~ E₀/2 in DIII-D.

ITER Steady-State plasma #131041 is analyzed



3.52*MeV* α -particles with $v_{\alpha 0}/v_A = 1.86$ and 1*MeV* NBI D fast ions at $v_{b0}/v_A = 1.43$ are investigated.

AE linear stability properties of US theory FY2022 milestone are favorably compared with Pinches et al., PoP'15 of baseline scenario.

Steady-State plasma dampings shape EP profile relaxation

Comparison of linear stability properties with earlier studies by Pinches et al., PoP'15 of baseline scenario.

- Damping mechanisms (NOVA-C):
 - thermal ion Landau damping - core
 - trapped electron collisional damping - edge
 - radiative damping is also important.
 - continuum damping is ignored near the center and q_{min} region where most unstable TAE/EAE are found (Rosenbluth et al.,PRL'92, PhFI.'92).



A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

→

Beam ion and α -particle distribution functions include finite orbit width

Realistic FP distribution use TRANSP pressure profiles, injection/birth energy are used

- Beam ions are injected into the most unstable COM location.
- D-ions are injected copassing. •
- Fusion α -particle isotropically born have natural anisotropy which is captured by NOVA-C code.



beam ion DF

comprehensive linear AE stability has been assessed using NOVA-C



• AEs with *n* spanning from 0 to 40 are in focus.

• But unlike Pinches et al., PoP'15 (baseline $\beta_{0beam} = 0.08\%$, $\beta_{0\alpha} = 1.2\%$), steady state NBI ions ($\beta_{0beam} = 1.35\%$, $\beta_{0\alpha} = 2.2\%$) are dominant for AE excitation.

- 42 unstable/marginally stable AEs were found.
- WPI {v_{dr} · E_⊥} matrices in COM, {P_φ, ℰ, λ = μB₀/ℰ}, space are prepared for subsequent RBQ calculations.
- TRANSP projects χ_{i,e} matrices to help RBQ evaluate effective pitch angle scattering rate.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

RBQ2D is applied with Coulomb EP scattering



- No anomalous pitch angle scattering used in RBQ simulations
- Passing and trapped ions have strong diffusion near q_{min} location, $D_{rr} \lesssim 10^2 m^2/sec$.
- Microturbulence in ITER BL & SS leads to little EP redistribution, but could drive large thermal transport and affect AE & fishbones, which can drive larger EP transport.
- Integrated simulation of cross-scale coupling of MHD mode, AE, and microturbulence is needed to reliably predict EP confinement in ITER. Or reliable reduced models for ZF, ZS.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Summary

- Various AE saturates with n = 1 ÷ 42 and frequencies in BAE-TAE-EAE gaps, expected to be unstable in ITER steady state scenario. A strong but local EP transport is driven by AEs ⇒ modest flattening of EP profiles.
 - $\bullet~\sim$ 42 unstable, marginally stable AE modes could oscillate in time.
 - effective pitch-angle scattering could be important to determine the limit of tolerance for the BP devices \Rightarrow additional quantities need to be provided.
- Interface of SciDAC codes with WDM IMAS-like frameworks and efficient reduced EP models ready for integration for ITER/FPP predictions are needed.
- At the moment 2D version of RBQ is developed within ISEP SciDAC
 - Integration into WDM frameworks is planned.
 - needs to be numerically optimized.
- NOVA-C needs further upgrades: rotation, EP anisotropy & orbit width effects through equilibrium; ESC (HPE) already includes those effects.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト