Turbulence Flow Dynamics and Mode Structure Impacts on the L-H Transition

Z. Yan¹, G. McKee¹, D.M. Kriete¹, L. Schmitz², P. Gohil³,
 C. Holland⁴, S.R. Haskey⁵, B.A. Grierson⁵, R. Wilcox⁶,
 T. Rhodes², C. Petty³ and DIII-D Team

¹University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
 ²University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA
 ³General Atomics, San Diego, California, USA
 ⁴University of California, San Diego, CA, USA
 ⁵Princeton Plasmas Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, USA
 ⁶Oak Ridge National Iab, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831, USA

Presented at the 28th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference (FEC 2020)

10-15 May, 2021





Overview of Results

- L-H transition power threshold has dependences on multiple hidden parameters
 - Safety factor, Grad-B drift, and Resonant magnetic perturbation (RMP)
- Unifying observations of multimode turbulence structure in plasmas with lower P_{LH}
 - Associated with larger Reynolds stress and mode velocity shear
 - Individual mode velocity does not match EXB velocity from CER measurements
- Reynolds stress drive continuously increases as plasma moves from unfavorable towards favorable configuration
 - No changes in plasma profiles
 - Increase of Reynolds and turbulence velocity approaching the transition
- Application of RMPs reduces Reynolds stress and diminishes shear suppression of turbulence
 - Increases turbulence decorrelation rate
 - Reduces velocity shear
 - Reduces transient Reynolds stress drive at time of L-H transition



Understanding L-H Power Threshold Dependence on Multiple Parameters is Critical for ITER to Access H-mode

 Empirical L-H transition power threshold (P_{LH}) scaling developed from multi-machine database:

 $P_{LH} = 0.049 \times B_{t}^{0.8} \times n_{e}^{0.72} \times S^{0.94}$ [1]

- Experiments demonstrate significant departures from scaling law, undermining confidence in predicted P_{IH} in ITER
 - Low density branch, Safety factor, Isotope, Rotation, ion ∇B direction, RMP
- Understanding physics behind the L-H transition trigger and power threshold scaling is necessary for developing a predictive model for ITER



AUG



[1] Y. Martin, J. Phys. Conf. 2008



[Ryter, NF, 2013]

A Set of L-H Transition Experiments Performed at DIII-D with Varying Density, Safety Factor, ion $\forall B$ Drift Direction and Application of RMP

- ITER similar shape (ISS) with pure NBI heating
- Low torque via balance cocounter current neutral beam injection
- Multiple parameters are varied:
 - Density
 - Safety factor
 - Ion ∇B drift direction
 - RMP application
- Comprehensive edge plasma diagnostics providing detailed turbulence and flow dynamics measurements





q₉₅ dependence of L-H power threshold



Plasma Current Scanned in ITER Similar Shape Plasmas with Balanced NBI Heating



L-H Transition Power Threshold Reduces with Increasing q₉₅ near Density Minimum

- ISS with balance beam injection
- Vary I_p with constant B_t and n_e
- At power threshold density minimal, P_{LH} reduces with higher q₉₅
- At lower density little dependence of P_{LH} on q₉₅





Dual Turbulence Modes Observed at Higher q₉₅ (Lower Power Threshold) inside the Separatrix



Turbulent Velocity Fluctuation Measured from Imagebased Velocimetry

- Vector-matching frame by frame to infer short time scale velocity fluctuation
 - Orthogonal Dynamic
 Programming ^[1]
- With measured \tilde{V}_r and \tilde{V}_{θ} - Infer instantaneous Reynolds
 - Infer instantaneous Reynolds stress (~100 μ s) < $\tilde{V_r}\tilde{V_{\theta}}$ >







[1] G. McKee, et al., RSI, 75, 3490, 2004

Larger Reynolds Stress near the Transition when Both Modes are Included



Qualitatively consistent with observation of lower ٠ power threshold when dual mode is present



Poloidal Velocity of Dual Bands Do Not Individually Match EXB Velocity

 Measured turbulence velocity is a sum of the equilibrium E×B and mode intrinsic velocity

 $V_{\theta,turbulence}$ = Equilibrium V_{EXB} + drift wave intrinsic V_{ph}

- Mode intrinsic velocity is different with the equilibrium E×B velocity
- Intrinsic diamagnetic drift wave phase velocity shear becomes important to turbulence stabilization ^[1]



[1] R. Waltz, et al., PoP, 2011



V_d~2 km/s

Ion ∇B drift direction impacts on the L-H power threshold



Dedicated Experiment Investigating L-H Transition at Favorable v.s. Unfavorable Magnetic Geometry

Favor.

LH

✓ drSEP~3cm

Unfav.

0.06 - drsep 142316 (EFITO

drSEP

0.04

- Slow drSEP scan from unfavorable to favorable geometry
 - Input power in between the two P_{LH}



Three Phases of Turbulence Flow Dynamics Observed approaching the L-H Transition as drSEP is Reduced



Z. Yan / IAEA FEC / May 2021

14

Temperature and Density Profiles are nearly the Same as Ion VB Drift Changes from Unfav. Towards Favor. Direction

- Plasma n_e, T_e, and T_i profiles do not change approaching the L-H transition
- **Equilibrium radial** electric field (CER) increases approaching the L-H transition





Turbulence Amplitude Reduces as Ion VB Drift Changes from Unfavorable towards Favorable at Constant Input Power

- Stronger turbulence suppression approaching the transition
- Reduction in turbulence is not from changes in gradient drive
 - Constant input power
 - Profiles at mid-plane nearly no changes
- Maybe related to changes in flow dynamics





Stronger Turbulence Flow Dynamics Observed during the Changes in Ion $\forall B$ Drift Direction approaching the L-H Transition





- Turbulence Reynolds stress largely increases approaching the transition
- Turbulence poloidal velocity field increases and rapidly changes between IDD and EDD



Changes in Flow Dynamics is mainly in Plasma Edge Region



- At $\psi \sim 0.95$ -1.0, V_{θ , turb.} propagates in IDD direction
- Starts to see V_{θ , turb.} changes to EDD direction a few times
- $V_{\theta, turb.}$ periodically changes direction between IDD and EDD

15.00



Stronger Flow Shear at the Edge Occurs approaching to the Transition





Turbulence Decorrelation Rate Increases as Ion $\forall B$ Drift Moves in the direction from Unfav. to Favor. Configuration

 Increasing flow shear approaching the transition exceeding the decorrelation rate





RMPs impacts on the L-H power threshold



Fluctuations and Flows Measured Across L-H Transitions in ITER-Similar Shape, Low-Rotation Plasmas with Applied n=3 RMPs

- **Balanced NBI** ٠
- q_{95} =3.6 (ELM suppression conditions)

RMPs from internal coils





 $k_{\mu}\rho_{s} = 0.5 - 2$



L-H Transitions Occurred as RMP Amplitude was Reduced from Maximum to a Lower Value at Constant Input Power

- Plasma was initially in L-mode with maximum RMP amplitude
- $\delta B_r/B_r = 4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ with I-coil current of 5.4kA
- Two transitions at different ECH level



D.M. Kriete, et al., PoP, 2020



RMPs Alter Turbulence Properties and Reduce Flow Shear Leading up to the L-H Transition

- RMPs raise turbulence decorrelation rates
- RMPs reduce Reynolds stress drive for poloidal flow over edge region
- Reduction of Reynolds stress consistent with the reduced flow shear





D.M. Kriete, et al., PoP, 2020

Summary

- Experimental observations of P_{LH} dependence on multiple parameters:
 - q_{95} : lower P_{LH} at higher q_{95}
 - Ion VB drift direction: Reynolds stress drive and flow shear significantly increased as the ion VB drift changes direction from unfavorable to favorable
 - RMP application: raises the turbulence decorrelation rates and reduces Reynolds stress drive for flow and flow shear, which increases P_{LH}
- The unifying observations demonstrate the significance of turbulence and turbulence driven flow in lowering the L-H transition power threshold



