### Disruptive Neoclassical Tearing Mode Seeding in DIII-D with Implications for ITER

R.J. La Haye<sup>1</sup>, J.D. Callen<sup>2</sup>,
C. Chrystal<sup>1</sup>, C.C. Hegna<sup>2</sup>,
E.C. Howell<sup>3</sup>, M. Okabayashi<sup>4</sup>,
E.J. Strait<sup>1</sup>, and R.S. Wilcox<sup>5</sup>

#### <sup>1</sup>General Atomics, <sup>2</sup>UW-Madison, <sup>3</sup>Tech-X, <sup>4</sup>PPPL, <sup>5</sup>ORNL

- Motivation: a rotating 2/1 tearing mode can robustly grow, lock to the resistive wall, the H-mode is lost, disruption follows
- This new work: gives experimental and theoretical insights, as well as novel benchmarked toroidal-theorybased modeling, to a longstanding uncertainty in projecting how NTMs are seeded, for scaling to ITER





#### OUTLINE:

- MOTIVATION: [growing NTM slows down, locks to the wall, H-mode is lost, disruption follows: NTMs are a (the) major cause of disruptions]
- DIII-D ITER baseline scenario (IBS) discharges compared to those predicted for ITER (q95~3, β<sub>N</sub>~1.8)
- Case studies of an ELM and a sawtooth (ST) that produce robustly growing m/n=2/1 NTMs in the DIII-D IBS
- Physics of NTM stability: Modified Rutherford Equation (MRE) with a coupled rotation relation for the NTM "gate": also NIMROD
- Extrapolating to ITER from the DIII-D IBS
- How ELM control could, and ST control can, reduce the magnetic perturbations that seed/excite robustly growing NTMs
- Conclusions and future work



# MOTIVATION: Modeling from DIII-D Predicts How Growing m/n=2/1 NTM Islands Will Slow Down and Lock in ITER

 NTMs that grow and lock are the largest cause of tokamak disruptions (except for human error)

[P.C. DeVries et. al.,"Survey of disruption causes in JET," Nucl. Fusion 51, 053018 (2010)]

- Island width w(t) found by integrating MRE benchmarked to DIII-D IBS discharges and with f<sub>m</sub>(t) from integrating an equation for rotation with w(t) input
  - Initial ITER q = 2 plasma rotation taken here as 420 Hz (A.R. Polevoi, et.al., PPCF 2006)

[R.J. La Haye, C. Paz-Soldan and Y.Q. Liu, "Effect of thick blanket modules on neoclassical tearing mode locking in ITER," Nuclear Fusion 57, 01004 (2017]



#### DIII-D IBS & ITER have Similar Current Density j and Safety Factor q profiles (& Classical Stability?)

- $\Delta$ 'r<sub>0</sub>=-0.1 is near marginal classical stability in DIII-D (Thanks to Zhiuri Wang (PPPL) for resistive DCON on kinetic EFIT by Bob Wilcox)
  - assume same for ITER
  - $-\Delta$ '=-0.002cm<sup>-1</sup> DIII-D, -0.0005cm<sup>-1</sup> ITER (negligible effects in both)
- ITER is 3.7X DIII-D IBS in size, 2.65X in field, similar in shape, aspect ratio and ion banana width, lower resistive diffusivity and rotation frequency but higher Lundquist number S=  $\tau_R/\tau_A$  at q=2





## NTMs are Classically Stable, Non-linearly Unstable and to Grow Robustly Must be Seeded by a Critical $\delta B$ From Another MHD Event

- Critical δB set by induced helical polarization currents J<sub>pol</sub> that arise from finite island rotation in the plasma ExB rotation frame; (not considered here is the transport threshold effect w<sub>d</sub>)
  - helical polarization current from ion inertia and quasi-neutrality sets a critical island width that is sign & rotation dependent

$$\mathbf{w}_{pol} \approx (3L_q/L_{pe})^{1/2} \epsilon^{1/2} \rho_{\theta i} \mathbf{x2} \left[ \frac{\omega \omega_i^* - \omega^2}{\omega_e^{*2}} \right]^{1/2}$$

which is a product of the order of the ion banana width and a function  $F(\omega)$  of the rotation (shown on the right) with  $\omega = \omega_{island} = \omega_{ExB}$ - stabilizing for  $\omega_{i*} > \omega > 0$ 





n<sup>th</sup> ELM at 3396 msec in DIII-D Seeds Robustly Growing 2/1 NTM That Has Previously Been "Stalled" After n-2<sup>th</sup>, n-1<sup>th</sup> ELMs at 3335, 3363

Each ELM lowers n=1 mode frequency  $f_m$  *towards*  $f_E$ , transiently opening the otherwise stabilizing gate and eventually the gate stays open ( $f_m \sim f_E$ ) at larger mode amplitude (F~1 goes to F~0)

- Fourier Analysis over running 4 msec interval every 2 msec for  $f_m$ ,  $B_{rms}$
- CER every 1 msec for both toroidal and poloidal rotations for  $\rm f_{E}$



#### n<sup>th</sup> Sawteeth Crash at 2792 msec in DIII-D Seeds Robustly Growing 2/1 NTM That Has Been Previously "Driven" by 1/1 ST Precursor From 2788

Before crash stabilizing gate is closed ( $f_t > f_m > f_E$ ), after  $f_m$  goes to  $f_E$  & gate is opened for mode to grow (F~1 goes to F~0)

- as in 174446, Fourier Analysis over running 4 msec interval every 2 msec
- as in 174446, CER
   every 1 msec

1/1 & 2/1 by Fourier frequency bands to isolate modes

1/1 ST precursor starts growing ~2750, crashes at 2792 msec

2/1 identified and grows robustly from 2788 msec; crash at 2792 msec



#### MHD Events That Seed Robustly Growing 2/1 NTMs Have Durations Much Shorter Than Visco-Resistive Tearing Time $\tau_R^{5/6} \tau_A^{1/3} \tau_V^{-1/6} \sim 36$ msec @q=2



Sawteeth precursor and crash turns driven 2/1 mode into lower frequency robustly growing NTM





2793.2

2796

PROBES (G)

2794

EVEm

2792

0. BMSEC

PROBES (G)

2792.8

2790

2792

2792.4

#### The Modified Rutherford Equation (MRE) has the Physics Elements to Describe the NTM Stability as Functions of Both Island Size and Rotation

#### NTM Modified Rutherford Equation (MRE) Is Nonlinear

- Lowest order usual MRE incorporating low A toroidal effects is  $\frac{d \mathbf{w}}{dt} = \overline{D}_{\eta} \left[ \Delta' + \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{NTM}}}{\mathbf{w}} - \frac{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{pol}}^2}{\mathbf{w}^3} F(f_{\mathrm{m}}) \right], \quad \text{in which } \mathbf{w} \equiv 4 \left[ \frac{L_{\mathrm{sh}} B_{\mathrm{res}}}{k_{\theta} |B_{\mathrm{tol}}|} \right]^{1/2} \simeq 3 \sqrt{B_{\mathrm{rms}}} \text{ cm.}$
- Parameters at q = 2/1 in DIII-D discharge 174446 at 3390 ms are:  $\overline{D}_{\eta} \simeq 1020 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ , effective magnetic field diffusivity  $\equiv \langle |\vec{\nabla}\rho|^2 \rangle \eta_{\parallel}^{\text{nc}}/\mu_0$ ,  $\Delta' \simeq -0.002 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , classical tearing mode stability parameter (stable, small),  $d_{\text{NTM}} \simeq 3.1 j_{\text{boot}}/\langle j_{\parallel} \rangle \simeq 0.49$ , dimensionless and destabilizing NTM drive,  $w_{\text{pol}} \simeq 2.7 \text{ cm} \simeq 2.1 \times \text{ion banana width for polarization current J}_{\text{pol}}$ , stabilizing,  $F(f_{\text{m}}) \leq 1.0$ , "gate function" for J}\_{\text{pol}} effects, depends on 2/1 mode-freq.  $f_{\text{m}}$ .
- To compare with DIII-D data write MRE in terms of the rootmean-square (rms) n=1 Mirnov magnetic perturbation  $B_{\rm rms}(G)$ :  $\frac{dB_{\rm rms}}{dB_{\rm rms}} = \frac{2\overline{D}_{\eta}}{D_{\eta}}$  [ dense I as  $\pm W \Delta'$ ] = I as  $\pm \frac{W \Delta'}{D_{\rm rms}} = \frac{W^2_{\rm pol}}{F(f_{\rm rms})}$

$$\frac{dB_{\rm rms}}{dt} = \frac{2B\eta}{\partial w^2 / \partial B_{\rm rms}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} d_{\rm NTM} - J_{\rm pol} + w\Delta' \end{array} \right], \quad J_{\rm pol} \equiv \frac{w_{\rm pol}}{\partial w^2 / \partial B_{\rm rms}} \frac{F(D)}{B_{\rm rms}} \\ \implies \frac{dB_{\rm rms}}{dt} \simeq \frac{diffusion}{220} \left[ \begin{array}{c} {}^{\rm NTM\,drive} \\ 0.5 - 0.8 \frac{F(f_{\rm m})}{B_{\rm rms}} - \frac{classical}{B_{\rm rms}} \sqrt{B_{\rm rms}} \right] \frac{G}{\rm s}. \end{array}$$

• Numbers are at 3390 ms in 174446; neglect small w $\Delta' \sim -0.01$ .



# Growth Rate of $B_{rms}$ Depends in Part on the Gate Function F( $f_m$ ) which in turn Depends on the Island Rotation $f_m$ with Respect to $f_E$

DIII-D Discharge 174446 MRE Is Analyzed For  $B_{\rm rms}$ ,  $\overline{f}_{\rm m}$ 

• Keeping dominant terms, lowest order MRE neglecting  $\Delta', \cdots$  is

$$rac{d\,m{B}_{
m rms}}{dt} = \, 220 \! \left( \!\!\!\! \begin{array}{c} {}^{
m NTM\,drive}_{
m 0.5} - \!\!\!\! \begin{array}{c} {}^{
m J_{
m pol}\,gate}_{
m R}}_{
m B_{
m rms}} F(m{f}_{
m m}) \!\!\!\! \end{array} \!\!\! 
ight) \, rac{
m G}{
m s}$$

$$egin{aligned} &< 0 & ext{for} \ B_{ ext{rms}} < 0.8 \ F(f_{ ext{m}})/ ext{d}_{ ext{NTM}}, \ &\geq 0 & ext{for} \ B_{ ext{rms}} \geq 0.8 \ F(f_{ ext{m}})/ ext{d}_{ ext{NTM}}, \ &\simeq 110 & ext{for} \ B_{ ext{rms}} \gg 0.8 \ F(f_{ ext{m}})/ ext{d}_{ ext{NTM}}. \end{aligned}$$

- The "gate function"  $F(f_{\rm m}) \leq 1.0$  for ion polarization  $J_{\rm pol}$  effects is  $F(f_{\rm m}) \equiv -4 \frac{(f_{\rm m}-f_E)(f_{\rm m}-f_E-f_{*i})}{f_{*i}^2}, \quad f_{*i} \equiv -\frac{\Omega_{*i}}{2\pi}$  is diamagnetic mode freq.
- If no MHD transients, the theoretical<sup>th</sup> equilibrium flux-surfaceaverage (FSA) toroidal n = -1 mode frequency  $\langle (R^2/R_0^2) \vec{V_i} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \zeta \rangle$  is  $\overline{f}_{\rm m}^{\rm th} = f_E - f_{*i} + \overline{f}_{\rm pol} \simeq f_{\rm tor} (R_{\rm CER}/R_0)$ , i.e.,  $\simeq$  CER-measured toroidal flow.
- $d\overline{f}_{\rm m}/dt$  equation with 2/1 MHD transients (for  $\delta t \gtrsim \tau_{\rm MHD} \simeq 0.4$  ms) is  $\frac{d\overline{f}_{\rm m}}{dt} = -\frac{\overline{f}_{\rm m} - \overline{f}_{\rm tor}}{\tau_{\zeta}} - \frac{\overline{|\delta J_{\parallel} \delta B_{\rm res}|}}{R_0 \rho_m} \left[\frac{\tau_{\rm MHD}}{\delta t}\right]^{1/2} - \frac{\overline{f}_{\rm m} - \overline{f}_{\rm offset}}{\tau_{\rm isl}} \frac{w}{r_{2/1}} - \left[\frac{w}{a}\right]^4 \frac{C_{\#}}{(2\pi\tau_{\rm A})^2 \overline{f}_{\rm m} \tau_{\rm V}}.$ NBI-ITG relaxation,  $\tau_{\zeta} \sim 0.1$  s  $\tau_{\rm MHD}$  MHD transient  $\operatorname{diag}, \tau_{\rm isl} \sim 0.015$  s  $\operatorname{small}$  at seeding



#### NIMROD Modeling of ELM Induced NTM DIII-D Discharge 174446 Yields Similar Features as MRE, i.e. Linearly Stable, Non-linearly Unstable

- NIMROD code simulates NTMs seeded by an externally imposed 1 ms MHD magnetic pulse (stable without pulse)
  - Realistic equilibrium: DIII-D with Lundquist number (q=2) S=2.5E6
     [E. Howell et al., "NIMROD Modeling of Transient-Induced NTM," APS-DPP meeting 2020]



#### DIII-D and ITER are in similar regimes for island rotation $f_m$ wrt plasma toroidal $f_t$ and $f_E = E_r/2\pi RB_{pol}$ rotations at q=2

- Solving  $df_m/dt = 0$  of Slide 10, absent the transient torque from an MHD perturbation, the island rotations both fall within the stabilizing frequency band with gate function F~1, i.e. closed, at critical island width  $w_0$  for onset
- Predicted island growth rate (for gate nearly open or for closed) in ITER is slower than DIII-D due to its much smaller magnetic field diffusivity but shifted to smaller  $w_0$  and very much smaller (0.17X) relative size  $w_0/r_0$



### ELM Control in ITER Could Reduce the Seeding Magnetic Perturbations so as to be Below the Critical Level for Robust Growth?

- ELM control by Resonant Magnetic Perturbations (RMP) in the low torque low q<sub>95</sub> DIII-D IBS awaits full success; instead we contrast a major ELM and an in-between minor ELM for the size of the n=1 magnetic perturbations (neither of these excites the NTM)
  - Two-point scaling of peak odd n (here 3 pairs) is  $\delta B \sim 1.4 (\delta D_{\alpha}/D_{\alpha})^{1/2}$ and all else being equal,  $w_{seed} \sim (\delta B)^{1/2} \sim (\delta D_{\alpha}/D_{\alpha})^{1/4}$  so a factor of two smaller seed island requires a factor of 1/16 in  $\delta D_{\alpha}/D_{\alpha}$



#### Sawteeth Control by ECCD inside q=1 in ITER Could Reduce Magnetic Seeding Perturbations so as to Be Below the Critical Level?

- Co-ECCD inside q=1 can destabilize sawteeth making them occur more frequently [I.T. Chapman, et al., "Sawtooth control using electron cyclotron current drive in ITER demonstration plasmas in DIII-D," Nucl. Fusion 52 (2012) 063006]
  - As shown below  $j_{eccd}/j_{tot} \sim 0.2 at \rho \sim 0.2$  in DIII-D halves the ST period T as well as the peak n=1 magnetic perturbation at the crash
  - Scaling of peak odd n is  $\delta B \sim T$  and  $w_{seed} \sim (\delta B)^{1/2} \sim T^{1/2}$  all else being equal; factor of 4 reduction in T needed for seed island width a factor of 2



Conclusions, Questions and Future Work: ITER is predicted to be more sensitive to seeding of disruptive NTMs than DIII-D by a factor of 1/6 in  $w_0/r_0$  (F=1);  $\delta B\sim 0.1$  G which will make early detection problematic

- MHD transients in ITER are much more likely than in DIII-D to destabilize the most problematic, robustly growing 2/1 NTMs that ultimately lead to locked modes and disruptions
  - ELMs and sawtooth (ST) crashes identified as causes
- How much and for how long will the transient torque  $\delta j_{11} \delta B_{res}$ during an ELM or ST crash drive down the mode rotation in ITER?
  - recovery (gate opens & closes) or not (gate stays open)
- Will ELM suppression by RMP and ST control by ECCD reduce the transient magnetic perturbations enough so seeds are too small for tearing mode excitation?
  - DIII-D data suggests these techniques can be effective

• How to scale to ITER? Can NIMROD test?

# Robustly Growing 2/1 NTMs Lead to Disruptions, Particularly at low q95; MHD Transients Open $J_{pol}$ Gate to Growing 2/1 NTMs





#### Acknowledgements

**Acknowledgement:** This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, using the DIII-D National Fusion Facility, a DOE Office of Science user facility, under Award(s) DE-FC02-04ER54698, DE-FG02-86ER53218, DE-AC05-00OR22725, DE-AC02-09CH11466 and DE-SC0018313.

**Disclaimer:** This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

