ENGINEERING

A Validated Multi-Physics Modeling Approach to Predicting impurity Erosion, Re-deposition & Gas Retention in Tokamak Divertors

A. Lasa et al.







A Validated Multi-Physics Modeling Approach to Predicting impurity Erosion, Re-deposition & Gas Retention in Tokamak Divertors

With valuable contributions from:

D.E. Bernholdt, S. Blondel, J.M. Canik, M. Cianciosa, D. Curreli, R.P. Doerner, J. Drobny, W. Elwasif, D.L. Green, J. Lore, D. Nishijima, P.C. Roth, G. Shaw, T. Younkin and B.D. Wirth









This material is based upon work supported by the U. S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences and Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research through the Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing (SciDAC) project on Plasma-Surface Interactions. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC), a DOE Office of Science User Facility operated under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231.



Plasma-material interactions (PMI) impact plasma and material's performance

- PMI compromise both material and plasma performance
 - Mutually degrade
 - Erosion, fuel trapping, morphology changes, etc.
- Critical for future fusion reactors, their wall design and material choice



Plasma-material interactions (PMI) are multi-physics and multi-scale in nature

- PMI compromise both material and plasma performance
 - Mutually degrade
 - Erosion, fuel trapping, morphology changes, etc.
- Critical for future fusion reactors, their wall design and material choice
- It's a multi-scale physics problem
 - We address it by integrating multiple, high-fidelity models



Wirth et al., MRS Bulletin 36 (2011)



The resulting integrated model is applied to simulate PISCES & ITER plasma exposures



OUTLINE

- The model was benchmarked against PISCES experiments
- We applied it to predict the evolution of the ITER divertor under He operation and burning D-T plasmas
- Take a deeper look at the effect of He on hydrogenic retention

Model validation

Benchmark against PISCES experiments

The integrated model was benchmarked against PISCES experiments

- We performed an extensive comparison of impurity transport (GITR+ F-TRIDYN) and sub-surface evolution (F-TRIDYN+XolotI) predictions to experiments, across a range in
 - Flux: 0.5-5.4·10²² /m²s
 - Biasing voltage: 75V, 250V
 - Plasma composition: 100% He,10%He-90%D
- Langmuir probe measurements provided the background plasma $\rm n_{e}, \, T_{e}$
- Two sets of experiments
 - W I spectroscopy, target mass loss, tower mass gain to measure erosion and transport (GITR)
 - Removable W targets to analyze with LIBS and LAMS (Xolotl)





Xolotl and GITR show good agreement with experimental measurements



	Mass Loss [g]	%Eroded Material Returned to Target
Experiment	0.079533	-
GITR flat profiles	0.0563 - 0.0737	70 - 77
GITR +/- Te	0.0475 - 0.0888	65 - 81
GITR +/- ne	0.0475 - 0.0888	65 - 81

- GITR reproduces experimentally measured mass loss, W I lines and tower mass gains
- Experimentally measured He & D concentrations are fairly reproduced by Xolotl



Model application

Predicting the evolution of the ITER divertor under He plasma and burning plasma operations

SOLPS predicts a partially detached divertor during He and burning plasma ops

- These are standard strongly radiating, partially-detached scenarios
 - Very low temperature (~1 eV), high flux near separatrix strike point
 - Higher temperature, lower density and flux away from strike point
- During BPO, nearly 75% of power is radiated, mainly by Ne in the divertor; peak heat flux of ~7 MW/m²





Heavy impurities dominate sputtering when present, with contributions from the highenergy tail of light species

hPIC shows that

- while much of the impact energy-angle distribution (IEAD) for light ions is below energy threshold for W sputtering,
- the high-energy tail extends well above sputtering threshold



Heavy impurities dominate sputtering when present, with contributions from the highenergy tail of light species

Accounting for sputtering and reflection rates provided by F-TRIDYN, integrated impurity transport calculations predict

- He²⁺ is the main impurity source during He plasma operations
- heavy impurities dominate sputtering during BPO





GITR predicts strong local re-deposition, with net deposition around the strike point

Impurity transport calculations of GITR predict

- strong (>95%) prompt or local re-deposition of the eroded W
 - strong drag forces that push impurities back to the surface
- net deposition around the strike point
 - transport by local E fields
 - higher deposition rate at lower T_i
- net erosion further along the target





Surface height in Xolotl is similar to GITR, with enhanced, He-induced surface growth

- The same surface height pattern is predicted by GITR and Xolotl
- Differences arise around the strike point (T_i~eV) from shallow gas implantation, He-induced trap mutation and surface growth
- These processes affect less the locations with high impact energies (T_i~40eV, further up the target)





During He ops, He accumulation & retention are a balance between implantation rate & energy

- He accumulation largely follows the flux profile, with larger retention where T_i is high, even though flux is ~10x less than at its peak value
 - Shallow implantation at low T_i leads to higher outgassing rates and more frequent, small bursts; thus lower He retention
 - Deeper implantation at high T_i leads to less outgassing and larger, less frequent bursts; thus higher He retention





During BPO, heat fluxes increase the surface temperature by up to ~200K

- For $P_{in, SOL}$ = 100 MW discharge, T_{surf} increases up to ~200K
 - While this is no threat of melting or recrystallization (no transients included)
 - It does affect gas dynamics

- The thermal coupling between locations is negligible
 - We model multiple, independent 1D locations





Differences in gas diffusion correlated with the local heat flux

 Tritium diffuses faster with increasing surface temperature (T_{surf}), mainly outgassing



 The peak in hydrogen concentration takes the value expected for T_{surf}=T_{surf}(t)



Gaining insight into the effect of He on hydrogenic retention

How pre-existing damage drives D&T content, as well as their depth-distribution

We now evaluate sequential exposure to He plasmas & ITER Burning Plasma Ops

- For each of the 3 substrate compositions (He-V clusters), resulting from exposure to:
 - 100s of He plasma in PISCES, at V_{bias} ~ 75 V
 - 100s of He plasma in PISCES, at V_{bias} ~250 V
 - 10s of early ITER He ops

Higher He fluence

Realistic He spectrum

- We use XolotI to model the subsequent exposure to 100s of full power BPO, in 5 locations:
 - Peak in particle flux (R-R_{sep}~0.025m)
 - Peak in heat flux (R-R_{sep}~ 0.05m)
 - Peak in plasma temperature (R-R_{sep}~ 0.1m)
 - 2nd peak in He flux (R-R_{sep}~0.2m)
 - Further upstream (R-R_{sep}~ 1m)



Gas content saturation depends on both vacancy content & their depth distribution

- In substrates pre-exposed in PISCES, T content stabilizes quickly, within fluences O(10²² ion/m²)
 - quick increase in D-T content due to localized near-surface V content
- Continues to grow in substrates pre-exposed to ITER He ops or initially undamaged
 - ITER He+BPO: larger increase in H on the long scale because of higher V concentration between 100 and 1000 nm





Pre-existing damage sets the saturation level of hydrogenic retention

- The amount of T contained in the PISCES pre-exposed material stabilizes at a fixed value for each V_{bias}
 - 1.4·10²⁰ at/m² at 250 V, 1·10²⁰ at/m² at 75 V
- These values are maintained over a wide range of parameters, although can be slightly altered e.g., by large presence of bursting





He implantation in pre-existing vacancies leads to bursting





Substrates with pre-existing damage show a reduced temperature sensitivity

 Heat-flux induced temperature variations (<200K) are insufficient to de-trap T from He-V clusters (present in all pre-damaged substrates)





He-induced damage modifies the depthdistribution of hydrogen species

- We observe 3 depth-ranges for gas accumulation:
 - a) near-surface, present in all pre-exposed substrates & driven by He damage





T remains closer to the surface in predamaged substrates

• We observe 3 depth-ranges for gas accumulation:

- a) near-surface, present in all pre-exposed substrates & driven by He damage
- b) mid-range, where the deepest post-PISCES exposure vacancies existed





T remains closer to the surface in predamaged substrates, while bulk content is higher for initially pristine ones

- We observe 3 depth-ranges for gas accumulation:
 - a) near-surface, present in all pre-exposed substrates & driven by He damage,
 & mid-range, where the deepest post-PISCES exposure vacancies existed
 - c) deeper in the bulk (diffusion); consistently higher in initially pristine substrates





Even small concentrations of He can induce near-surface T trapping in the long term

- the surface grows because of net W re-deposition (x3 the sputtering rate)
- modified trap mutation (TM) creates He-V clusters near the surface, which move as the surface grows
- when the surface moves up, the He gets implanted where the HeV had been created (implantation at 1-5 nm), trapping directly with the HeV clusters and generating bubbles large enough to burst
- after bursting, the He outgasses and V's remain; the cavity is refilled with D-T, the main plasma species, which saturate the bubble





Summary

- We've integrated and successfully validated our multi-physics PMI model
- Our predictions of ITER simulations reveal that:
 - The edge plasmas are representative of partially detached divertors
 - Heavy impurities dominate erosion when present, with contributions from light ions due to the high-energy tail of IEADs
 - 80-90% of eroded W is locally or promptly re-deposited
 - sub-surface gas dynamics leads to additional surface growth in areas of low T_i
 - High heat flux decreases near surface T concentration
- Subsequent exposures to He plasmas and BPO reveal that D-T interact & bind with He-V clusters, modifying retention & permeation
 - Gas content stabilizes in substrates pre-exposed in PISCES, at levels set by pre-existing V's, while continues to grow in substrates initially pristine or preexposed ITER He plasmas
 - Bursting occurs when gases implanted in pre-existing vacancies
 - T remains closer to the surface in pre-damage substrates, while the bulk content is higher for initially pristine cases



Outlook

- Experimentally verify hydrogenic retention in growing W layers
- Evaluate the impact of pre-damage beyond plasma ops, e.g. in maintenance phases (baking temperature and duration)
 - Need for further parametrization of the H-He-V system for mainly hydrogenic, non-over-pressurized bubbles

- We are experimentally validating our PMI model in all-metal devices (WEST), and expanding usage of our models to understand erosion-redeposition experiments (DIII-D)
- Extend the models to self-consistently treat seeded impurities (e.g., neon), the effects of mixed materials (W-Be) and evolving thermo-mechanical properties
- Transition into dynamic simulations; e.g., to model ELMs

