

Integrated scenario development at JET for DT operation and ITER risk mitigation

J. Garcia

CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France.







This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

Contributors



F. J. Casson², C. Challis², A. Field², L. Garzotti², H.-T. Kim², J. Mailloux², F. Rimini², M. Sertoli², G. Szepesi², D. Van Eester³, E. Lerche³, D. Frigione⁴, J. Hobirk⁵, A. Kappatou⁵, S. Mazzi^{1,6}, E. de la Luna⁷, Z.Stancar⁸, M. Poradziński⁹, F. Auriemma¹⁰, R. Lorenzini¹⁰, J. Citrin¹¹, M. Marin¹¹, J. Ferreira¹², E. Fransson¹³, P. Strand¹³, R. Gatto¹⁴, V. K. Zotta¹⁴, L. Frasinetti¹⁵ and JET contributors^{*}

1 CEA, IRFM Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France. Email: Jeronimo.garcia@cea.fr

2 UNITED KINGDOM ATOMIC ENERGY AUTHORITY, CULHAM CENTRE FOR FUSION ENERGY, CULHAM SCIENCE CENTRE Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK

3 LPP-ERM/KMS, EUROFUSION CONSORTIUM MEMBER - TRILATERAL EUREGIO CLUSTER Brussels, Belgium

4 NATIONAL AGENCY FOR NEW TECHNOLOGIES, ENERGY AND SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, ENEA C.R. Frascati, Roma, Italy

5 MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PLASMAPHYSIK Boltzmannstraße 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany

6 AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS PIIM, UMR 7345 Marseille, France

7 LABORATORIO NACIONAL DE FUSION, CIEMAT 28040 Madrid, Spain

8 JOZEF STEFAN INSTITUTE Jamova cesta 39, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

9 INSTITUTE OF PLASMA PHYSICS AND LASER MICROFUSION Hery Str. 23, 01-497 Warsaw, Poland

10 CONSORZIO RFX- CNR, ENEA, INFN, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, CNR-ISTP Corso Stati Uniti 4, 35127 Padova, Italy, Acciaierie Venete SpA, Padova, Italy

11 DIFFER - DUTCH INSTITUTE FOR FUNDAMENTAL ENERGY RESEARCH Eindhoven, the Netherlands

12 INSTITUTO DE PLASMAS E FUSAO NUCLEAR, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TECNICO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

13 CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

14 DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA ASTRONAUTICA, ELETTRICA ED ENERGETICA, SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA Via Eudossiana 18, 00184 Roma, Italy

15 DIVISION OF FUSION PLASMA PHYSICS, KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, Stockholm, Sweden

* See the author list of 'Overview of JET results for optimising ITER operation' by J. Mailloux et al. to be published in Nuclear Fusion Special issue: Overview and Summary Papers from the 28th Fusion Energy Conference (Nice, France, 10-15 May 2021)

JET is designed for fusion power studies in support of ITER



DT scenarios with high enough alpha power production are essential to demonstrate that efficient electrical power generation is possible



[E. Joffrin NF2019] [J. Mailloux OV/1-2]



JET is designed for fusion power studies in support of ITER



DT scenarios with high enough alpha power production are essential to demonstrate that efficient electrical power generation is possible



[E. Joffrin NF2019] [J. Mailloux OV/1-2]

- JET can provide unique information in ITER relevant DT scenarios
 - Core and edge confinement
 - Isotope effects
 - Impact of alpha particles
 - Techniques for power exhaust
 - Impurity sources and accumulation control in a metallic wall environment
 - RTCC techniques
 - PWI

2019-2020: significant improvement



JET has developed D scenarios for fusion power maximization in preparation of DTE2



During 2019-2020, D scenarios have significantly improved:

- High NBI (30MW) and ICRH power
- Low or absent high Z impurity accumulation
- High thermal confinement
- Improved stability and control
- Record peak and 5s average DD fusion



2019-2020: significant improvement



JET has developed D scenarios for fusion power maximization in preparation of DTE2



During 2019-2020, D scenarios have significantly improved:

- High NBI (30MW) and ICRH power
- Low or absent high Z impurity accumulation
- High thermal confinement
- Improved stability and control
- Record peak and 5s average DD fusion

Results obtained in a wide operational space → Confidence on ITER extrapolabitlity

Outline



- Develop D-T scenarios: baseline
- Develop D-T scenarios: hybrid
- Predict first: towards DT predictions
- Conclusions



Baseline scenario: improved performance in 2019-2020



- Baseline scenario at JET is characterized:
 - high toroidal current $I_p \ge 3.0 MA$
 - q₉₅~3
 - β_p <1 and β_N ~2
- Improvement of confinement during 2019-2020 campaigns [L. Garzotti NF2018]
- H₉₈(y,2)≥1 at 3.0MA≤I_p≤3.5MA
- Significant improvement at I_p>3.5MA but not fully optimized yet



Stationary Scenarios for the DT phase achieved: baseline route



Baseline: 3 MA/2.8 T (q₉₅=3.1)

- $H_{98}(y,2)$ = 1.05, β_N = 2.2, β_p = 0.9, f_{GLD} ~ 0.7
- Improvement wrt Type-I ELMs plasmas
 - Reduced gas puff + Pellets (45Hz): high confinement
 - Inclusion of small Ne quantities provides stability [C. Giroud IFE/P4-12]
- Long phases with high frequency (200-400Hz) small ELMs
- Core radiated power stable
- Divertor in 'attached' conditions



J. Garcia | IAEA FEC Nice, France | remotely held | 10-15 May 2021 | Page 9

Differences between small and Type-I ELMs plasmas at same input power





- Compared to type-I ELMs, small ELMs pulses are characterized by:
 - Higher density peaking
 - Wider pedestal at the same pedestal top pressure
 - T_i/T_e >1 including the pedestal top
 - Higher rotation and rotation shear [H-T Kim NF 2018]
 - Higher DD neutron rate

JET

Baseline plasmas: Impurity accumulation avoided



96731 with pellets without Ne



96994 with pellets with Ne



- In previous campaigns:
 - High confinement plasmas increase W neoclassical inward pinch→ core W accumulation [F J Casson PPCF 2014, NF 2020]
- In new campaigns:
 - Radiated power located in the low field side for baseline discharges at high confinement
- Ne shifts radiation blob to the divertor region

Baseline plasmas: Impurity accumulation avoided

•



Impurity densities



W Neoclassical pinch (NEO^{*})



• In previous campaigns:

- High confinement plasmas increase W neoclassical inward pinch→ core W accumulation [F J Casson PPCF 2014, NF 2020]
- In new campaigns:
 - Radiated power located in the low field side for baseline discharges at high confinement
 - Ne shifts radiation blob to the divertor region
- Ni, W density profile is hollow: blocked in the outer plasma
- Neoclassical W convection outward due to strong rotation [J. Garcia submitted to PRL]

Three mechanisms for improved core confinement



- Gyrokinetic simulations performed with the GENE code [F. Jenko POP 2000]
- Ion heat transport reduction by:
 - Edge impurity concentration dilution (including Ne)
 - $T_i/T_e \sim 1.6$ starting at the pedestal top
 - Strong edge ExB shearing



Outline



- Develop D-T scenarios: baseline
- Develop D-T scenarios: hybrid
- Predict first: towards DT predictions
- Conclusions



Stationary Scenarios for the DT phase achieved: hybrid route



- **Hybrid:** 2.3 MA/3.4 T (q₉₅=4.9)
- Hybrid scenario at JET is characterized:
 - 2.2MA \leq I_p \leq 2.5MA, Bt=3.4T
 - 4.5≤q₉₅≤5.0
 - $\beta_p \ge 1$ and $\beta_N \sim 2$
 - Type-I ELMs
- Strong increase of stability and duration in 2019-2020
- Core radiated power stable
- Record peak and average neutron rate at JET
- New entry to H-mode: initial ELM free phase
- Disruption rate reduced to ~5-9% with RTCC techniques



Strong impact of new H-mode entry

Former gas fuelled Hmode entry

New low gas ELM free entry



- Strong differences with new H-mode entry:
 - Ti>>Te including the pedestal
 - Increased rotation and rotation shear
 - No impurity accumulation during ELM free phase
 - Similar density with type-I ELMs



J. Garcia | IAEA FEC Nice, France | remotely held | 10-15 May 2021 | Page 16

Hybrid scenario: impurity accumulation avoidance





W neoclassical pinch proxy:

 $\varsigma_{NC} = R/2L_T - R/L_n$ (ς_{NC} >0 outward)

- New H-mode entry legacy during the flat-top
 - Low periphery radiated power
 - ς_{NC} largely positive at the edge and the pedestal top
 - High T_i/T_e including the pedestal

Evidence of W screening at pedestal as predicted for ITER [R. Dux PPCF 2014]

J. Garcia | IAEA FEC Nice, France | remotely held | 10-15 May 2021 | Page 17

[A. Field in preparation]

Benefitial role of low v_{ped}^*





- New pedestal characteristics play an essential role in JET scenarios
- v_{ped}^* at JET approaching ITER
- T_i/T_e >1 even at Ip=4.0MA
- ITER might benefit from good transport properties







- Develop D-T scenarios: baseline
- Develop D-T scenarios: hybrid
- Predict first: towards DT predictions
- Conclusions



"Predict first": essential activity performed before DT





- Strong JET "Predict first" activity has been helpful for:
- Identify physics that boost alpha power generation
- Identify differences between DD and DT plasmas
- Guide DD experiments and asses DT fusion power

- Validated transport and pedestal models with D and H plasmas
- $P_{\text{fus}} \sim$ 12-16MW for hybrid and baseline
- In JET-DT, extensive studies on the role of alpha particles in ITER relevant confined plasmas are possible.

[G. Staebler OV/2-5] [J. Citrin TH/5-2]





- Develop D-T scenarios: baseline
- Develop D-T scenarios: hybrid
- Predict first: towards DT predictions
- Conclusions



Highlights of the scenario preparation for D-T



- Strong improvement of performance and stability during 2019-2020
- Simultaneous high confinement and impurity accumulation avoidance
- □ High confinement small ELMs plasmas obtained at high current
- Pedestal characteristics at ITER collisionality are essential to explain results
- □ Predict first activity shows that ~15MW of fusion power in the JET-ILW is possible
- □ Before DT, TT-campaign in 2021 is contributing to DT plasmas preparation

