

Overview of the COMPASS results

M. HRON, for the COMPASS Team^{*}

Institute of Plasma Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic

corresponding author: hron@ipp.cas.cz

*For the COMPASS Team list see Attachment 1 of the related IAEA FEC paper preprint https://conferences.iaea.org/event/214/contributions/17018/

COMPASS TOKAMAK MAIN FEATURES (2009 - 2021)

- □ ITER-like geometry (1:10)
- Ohmic & NBI-assisted H-mode
- Neutral beam injection (NBI) heating system
- Diagnostics focused on the edge plasma

0.0	
COMPASS parameters	
Major radius	0.56 m
Minor radius	0.20 m
Magnetic field	0.9 - 2.1 T
Plasma current	0.4 MA
NBI heating power	0.6 MW
Plasma volume	2 m ³
Discharge length	0.3 – 1 s
Electron and ion temperature	1 keV

ELM FILAMENTS IN THE SCRAPE-OFF LAYER

> close to the pedestal temperature

Fast measurem Fitting function

To du m

Low energy transfer from electrons to ions observed ➢ no enhancement of ELM ion energy →

ants (BPP-LP)

Left: Left: example of the radial profile of Right: T_ maxima during ELM vs.

Successfully resolved ELM filaments □ Representative maximum T_e at divertor obtained

T_a measurement with high temporal resolution during ELMs on the divertor

 \rightarrow no physical sputtering of divertor material expected



EDGE and SOL: TOMOGRAPHIC RECONSTRUCTION OF EDGE TURBULENCE Idea of tomography with a single camera

Assuming helicoidal symmetry → reduce the problem to 2D Structure detection and tracking



Representation of a field line Tomography results in the visible camera view

Poloidal planes (separatrix in red) ve & one negative blobs can be followed One

Velocity histogram single detection & tracking of structures in the pink rectangle

PLASMA – MATERIAL INTERACTION: Liquid Metal Divertor (LMD) experiments





First experiments in a tokamak divertor using a CPS LMD

No damage of CPS mesh + good power handling capabilities

(both LM) up to $q_{dep} = 12 \text{ MW/m}^2 \& \epsilon^{\text{ELM}} 15 \text{ kJ.m}^2$ No droplet directly ejected from CPS surf. ; No efficient vapor

shielding ; No contamination by Sn of core/SOL plasmas

450 [∑]⊢

module in ELMy H-mode conditions

#20054, 1500 m

IR camera image of the RE

impacting the calorimetry head



based on the CPS technology Modules with mesh made of Mo wires with Ø = 100 um and pore radius = 75 µm

Li module: 18 L-mode & 9 ELMy H-mode discharges: Limodele: 10 L-mode \Rightarrow g_{dep} = 0.4 – 12 MW/m² L-mode \Rightarrow q_{dep} = 0.5 – 18 MW/m² H-mode \Rightarrow q_{dep} = 0.5 – 18 MW/m² LiSn module: 25 ELMy H-mode discharges:

average q_{dep} = 1 – 12 MW/m² & ELM relative energy~3%

RUNAWAY ELECTRONS (RE)

outer divertor target.

L-H TRANSITION

divertor

error fields

Dependence on the X-point height

P_{LH} increases linearly by 30-40 kW (~18%)

per 1 cm of the X-point height above the

larger by 50 kW, i.e. 30% increase in PIL

around q95~3, likely related to intrinsic

Te maxima during a single ELM on the

25

□ Experimental & modelling studies of the RE generation, mitigation and suppression □ New diagnostics equipment obtained:

- V-ECE heterodyne radiometer (monitoring of the early RE population phase) semiconductor pixel detectors (bremstrahlung)
 - X-ray cameras (low energies)
 - HXR shielded photoneutrons and photodetectors (~100 keV ~10 MeV)
 - room temperature solid state pellet injector (dynamics of RE losses)
- calorimetry head in LFS protection limiter
- Effects of various mitigation strategies and control techniques studied
- > a) room temperature pellet injector; b) active RE radial position control

 \rightarrow Critical E_r in the SOL at

which the L-H transition occurs (right).

Several outliers are observed for q₉₅ ~ 3

MA

- Average RE energy detected by the calorimetry head spread from hundreds of Joules up to 15 kJ
- Active RE radial position control → average impact energy lowered by 40% (compared to RE drifting toward LFS) New attitude to combination of the RE beam position control, followed by a mitigation, were successfully tested > massive gas injection / impurity seeding / external magnetic perturbations / low-power elmg. waves

corresponding pedestal temperature



- L-H transitions with residual EF: NBI-assisted - disruption rate ~ 50%
- Discharges with q_{95} ~3 base value of P_{LH} Critical parameter: low plasma rotation during ohmic L-H transitions in COMPASS
 - sufficient to prevent the disruption. \leftarrow Density-normalized P_{LH} (|X-div|) as a function of the X-point height (left). 3.6 3.5 3.4 3.3 3.2 ⁹³⁵3.1 3.0 2.9 2.8



DISRUPTIONS

- 4 new MEMS accelerometers at 4 orthogonal positions (N, E, S, W) on equatorial ports of COMPASS
- 2 displacement sensors (West-East). → Non-axisymmetric sideway
- disruption forces scaling for ITER



Current flows towards the divertor during VDEs at COMPASS 2 special divertor tiles with gaps → eddy currents path Tile segments measure: Halo current Part of the eddy current (flows along the divertor and through the gaps according to ATEC mode



COMPASS tokamak was originally operated in CCFE (UK), reinstalled at IPP in Prague and scientifically exploited there since 2009

□ COMPASS will be shutdown in 2nd Q/2021

- Exploitation period contributions to a number of "hot" topics in fusion research improvement of understanding of various phenomena occurring in fusion plasmas
 - contribution to the design of ITER
- □ The knowledge gained at COMPASS exploited in construction of COMPASS-U $\rm B_T \le 5$ T, $\rm I_p \le 2$ MA, R = 0.89 m, $\rm t_{pulse} \le 5$ s, Metallic first wall, high-temperature operation
 - presently in final design phase
 - first plasma planned for 2023

□ More about COMPASS and COMPASS-U at this conference: posters by M. Komm (power exhaust), V. Yanovskiy (disruption forces), and G. Zadvitskiy (NBI modelling)



- INSTITUTE OF PLASMA PHYSICS COMPASS IPP OF THE CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

MGAČR

> ohmic - disruptions were inevitable





LARGE RESEARCH

