

ASDEX Upgrade experiments for a physics basis of ITER operation and DEMO scenarios

Ulrich Stroth for the AUG team and the MST1 team Max-Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, Garching, Germany

Fusion Energy Conference 2021







This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.



ASDEX Upgrade



Total heating power of 27 MW

- NBI: 16 MW
- ECRH: 6.0 MW
- ICRH: 5 MW

Versatile discharge control system

- Enhanced real-time capabilities
- Increasing number of real time sensors
- Coupled with discharge ,flight simulator'

Continuously improved diagnostics

- Imaging Motional Stark Effect (IMSE)
- Microwave fluctuation diag. (DR, CECE)
- He beam for the SOL/edge dynamics
- Divertor Thomson scattering
- Imaging heavy ion beam probe



Ultimate goal: physics based predictive modelling



Present status: workflow for predictive profile modelling of AUG discharges

- Separatrix parameters from a empirical model using gas puff and 2-point model
- Pedestal from peeling-ballooning stability with a critical temperature gradient model
- Core plasma from pedestal top inwards is modelled with TGLF



Outperforms scaling laws in predicting AUG energy confinement

[T. Luda et al., NF 2020]

→ poster by G. Tardini

Outline



- Core transport and confinement
- ELM free discharges scenarios
- Importance of the outer plasma edge
- Elements of power exhaust



Plasma current redistribution by dynamo effect



• Current redistribution at high β_N related to (1,1) mode can lead to q(0) > 1

[I. Krebs et al., PoP 2017]

ASDEX

Upgrade

Experimental confirmation of sawtooth suppression at high β_N

- At high β_{N} sawteeth vanish
- On-axis co-ECCD makes them reappear
- At high β_N more ECCD power can be tolerated without sawteeth

 \rightarrow talk by A. Burckhart, Thu. 14:00



Enables central ECCD in reactor plasmas at high efficiency and flat q profiles

Core transport: isotope effect in H-mode plasmas



Matched edge profiles (by shaping) keeping the source profiles similar

- Low NBI power: core profiles are also similar (no isotope effect)
- High NBI power: reduced ion heat transport in D plasmas



[P. A. Schneider et al., NF 2021]

- Interpretation by nonlinear GENE simulations: stabilizing effect of fast ions on turbulence transport and higher fast-ion content in D plasmas (slowing-down time)
- Fast ion effects are also important to explain low-Z impurity transport (B, He)

Edge isotope effect \rightarrow poster by P.A. Schneider (P4.1084)

[A. Kappatou et al., NF 2018; R. McDermott et al., NF 2020; Manas et al., NF 2020]

Comprehensive study of core turbulence characteristics



Experimental data to compare with global gyro-kinetic simulations (GENE)

- Density fluctuation k spectra
- Electron temperature fluctuation $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ spectra
- Radial correlation lengths
- *n*-*T* cross phases
- Turbulent phase velocity
- Zonal flow structure ...



Example: poloidal flow symmetry



- Doppler reflectometer measures poloidally symmetric *ExB* flows
- Flows agree with CXRS measurements

[K. Höfler et al., NF 2021]



Important for ITER operation in H, He and D-T



- Small helium content in D plasmas doesn't change H-mode power threshold
- Continuous increase of the power threshold with H concentration in D plasmas
- Different from JET results and consistent with the critical ExB shear criterion

[U. Plank et al., NF 2020 & Ph.D.]

Outline



- Core transport and confinement
- Type-I ELM-free discharge scenarios
- Importance of the outer plasma edge
- Elements of power exhaust



ELM suppression by RMP fields



RMP field correlates with 3D pattern in turbulence and transport to the divertor



- Edge turbulence causes "density pump out" which reduces edge density leading to peeling-ballooning stability
- RMP field induced island at pedestal top is not observed

t [s]





β-feedback controlled NBI power ramp

 I-mode operational window is determined by edge pressure



- Pedestal relaxations close to H-mode are harmful for the divertor
- N seeding detaches inner divertor
- Theoretical description [P. Manz et al., NF 2020]

Power window and detachment remain challenges

[D. Silvagni et al., NF 2020 & Ph.D., T. Happel et al., FEC 2018 & NF 2021]







Discharge with full ELM suppression

- Stationary $H_{98} > 1$, $n/n_{GW} = 0.9$, $\beta_{N} = 2$
- Low tungsten concentration < 10⁻⁵
- Edge transport by QCM
- N seeding effective for detachment

Power window and low q_s remain challenges

[L. Gil et al., NF 2020 & Ph.D, A. Kallenbach et al., NF 2020]





Strong gas puff

Closeness to double null configuration



Stability calculations indicate

- Reduced high-*n* ballooning stability at $\rho \approx 0.99$
- Related transport changes pedestal and stabilizes peeling-ballooning mode

[G. Harrer et al., NF 2018, B. Labit et al., NF 2019]

QCE: high-power discharge without any large ELM





An integrated scenario at DEMO relevant separatrix parameters

- High power (15 MW), partially detached divertor, close to H-mode confinement
- Quasi continuous transport by small (type II) ELMs
- Power fall-off length 4 times larger than between ELMs in H-Mode

 \rightarrow poster by M. Faitsch et al.

[M. Faitsch et al. NME 2020]



Outline



- Core transport and confinement
- Naturally type-I ELM free discharges
- Importance of the outer plasma edge
- Elements of power exhaust





Edge density fluctuations from a new helium beam diagnostics



[M. Griener et al., PSI 2021, NME 2020]

Separatrix parameters set operational boundaries



Boundaries from interchange-drift-Alfvén turbulence without any free parameter



- Density limit at transition from electrostatic to em resistive ballooning turbulence
- L-H transition: turbulence suppression by neoclassical ExB flow shear

Separatrix parameters set operational boundaries



Boundaries from interchange-drift-Alfvén turbulence without any free parameter



- Density limit at transition from electrostatic to em resistive ballooning turbulence
- L-H mode: turbulence suppression by neoclassical ExB flow shear

Outline



- Core transport and confinement
- Naturally type-I ELM free discharges
- Importance of the outer plasma edge
- Elements of power exhaust





Dense, cold, and strongly radiating plasma above the X-point on AUG and JET



- ELM-free phase: 90 % radiative fraction; f_{GW} = 0.8; H_{98} = 0.95
- Potential for detachment control and marfe avoidance

[M. Bernert, NME 2017, S. Glöggler, NF 2020]

→ talk by M. Bernert

New upper divertor will be installed in 2022/23



Allows for continuum of configurations between single null and snow-flake



First SOLPS-ITER simulations including drifts of a snowflake divertor plasma

- Increased flux expansion facilitates detachment with nitrogen seeding
- Drifts bring power to additional strike point and increase power on primary strike point

[T. Lunt et al., NME 2019]

[Ou Pan et al., PPCF 2018 & 2020]

Summary



- Progress in physics understanding core and edge transport for improved modelling of future tokamak plasmas
- Importance of fast particles for turbulent core transport
- Integrated scenarios for naturally ELM-free plasmas. The QCE regime is a promising candidate, combining high power, high confinement, high density with detachment
- Plasma parameters close to the separatrix play key role for the operational space and the access to small-ELM regimes
- Studies on AUG will be extended to alternative divertor configurations in 2023

Thanks to the AUG and MST1 teams and all external collaborators