## KINETICS & MECHANISM OF CRACK INITIATION OF LME 2<sup>nd</sup> level testing - the EAC understanding

Anna Hojná, Patricie Halodová, Lucia Rozumová, Fosca Di Gabriele, Michal Chocholoušek

October 15 -17, 2019 IAEA Technical Meeting on Structural Materials for Heavy Liquid Metal Cooled Fast Reactors, Vienna







#### **Motivation**



Material degradation in HLM:  $\rightarrow$  **Dissolution** <u>Corrosion</u> If stress is applied  $\rightarrow$  Environmentally Assisted <u>Cracking</u> (EAC) \*

Present selection of candidate structural materials for internal components of LFR:

**15-15Ti**: good corrosion, but local effects; resistant to EAC; no DBTT, low swelling

**T91**: small corrosion, **sensitivity to EAC**, very good behavior under irradiation (no swelling, little DBTT) The phenomenon called Liquid Metal Embrittlement (LME) is had been studied for 40 years.



Performance of T91 in HLM had been studied in several EC projects – TECLA, EUROTRANS, ... MATTER...etc..





#### **Motivation: Cracking in HLM**



Cracking in HLM

- Occurrence close to T<sub>M</sub> (LBE: 200-350 °C; Pb: 350-400 °C)
- Crack growth >> Diffusion
- Adsorption based models (AIDE, etc.)



History: **Ductility trough** Ambiguous explanation: Embrittlement (LME) or EAC?



Johnson, K.L. in Environmental degradation of engineering materials, 1977, Blacksburg, Virginia Ex: AISI 4145/Pb





CVŘ Centrum výzkumu

#### Introduction

The phenomenon of cracking in HLM had been studied for many years, but the conditions for the crack initiation were not determined yet.

To fully verify material performance in HLM under stress

#### Two-level experimenting is needed

1<sup>st</sup> Level: Sensitivity to cracking to be tested

**2<sup>nd</sup> Level**: More understanding  $\rightarrow$  characteristics of the degradation to be investigated

 $\checkmark$ 

The paper aims to summarize and to sort new and previous data of the two candidate materials.





#### **Materials**

**T91** (Grade 91 Class 2/S50460): Ferriticmartensitic steel - Industeel, ArcelorMittal group - the EUROTRANS/DEMETRA

- 8.895%Cr, 0.889Mo, 0.401Mn, 0.235Si, 0.121Ni, 0.202V, 0.080Cu, 0.048N, 0.019P, 0.010Al, 0.102C, bal. Fe







#### 15-15Ti (1.4970)

Austenitic Ti-stabilized stainless steel

- 15.95%Cr, 15.40Ni, 1.20Mo, 1.49Mn, 0.52Si, 0.44Ti, 0.026Cu, 0.036V, 0.023Al, 0.1C, bal. Fe
- a cylindrical billet of 12cm, after solution annealing.
- non-uniform grain size, from 19 to 67  $\mu m.$



# 1<sup>st</sup> Level testing – Sensitivity to LME/EAC cracking in HLM





### **Experimental**

#### Specimens

Smooth round bar (Ø4 × 20 mm) Notched round bar (Ø4 × 20 mm)

#### Test technique

Slow Strain Rate (SSRT) test

- Strain rates
  - R0, 1×10<sup>-2</sup> 1/s
  - R1, 1×10<sup>-4</sup> 1/s
  - R2, 1×10<sup>-6</sup> 1/s



#### Environment

HLM: Liquid Lead-Bismuth Eutectic (LBE) T: 300, 500 °C  $O \approx 4-100 \times 10^{-8}$  wt. % Liquid Lead (Pb) T: "300", 350, 400, 450 °C  $O \approx 1-10 \times 10^{-7}$  wt. %

Post-test evaluation

Microscopy observation: SEM + EDS,

Chemically cleaning in a solution of  $H_2O_2$ ,  $CH_3COOH$  and  $CH_3CH_2OH$  (1:1:1)



#### **T91 & liquid LBE**

300 °C



500 °C





Di Gabriele, F.; Doubkova, A.; Hojna, A. Investigation of the sensitivity to EAC of steel T91 in contact with liquid LBE, J. Nucl. Mater. 376 (2008) 307–311





#### **Special observation: Interrupted SSRT**





350 °C

Hojna, A.; Di Gabriele, F.; Klecka, J.; Burda, J. J. Nucl. Mater. 466 (2015) 292–301. Di Gabriele, F.; Hojná, A.; Chocholousek, M.; Klecka, J. Metals 7 (2017) 342-356.





# 2<sup>nd</sup> Level testing – **Conditions for LME/EAC** cracking in HLM: Initiation





### **Experimental**

#### Specimens

Flat coupons (1 × 5 × 22 mm)

Flat tapered platelet  $(3 \times 4-6.4 \times 20 \text{ mm})$ 

Liquid

metal

#### Test technique

- Three-Point Bend Exposure Test coupons
  - Pre-stressed to 80-110%YS
  - Exposed for 500, 1000 and 2000 hours
- Constant Extension Rate (CERT)
  - Displacement & strain rates
    - R0, 2×10<sup>-4</sup> m/s ≈1×10<sup>-2</sup> 1/s
    - R1, 2×10<sup>-6</sup> m/s ≈ 1×10<sup>-4</sup> 1/s
    - R2, 2×10<sup>-8</sup> m/s ≈ 1×10<sup>-6</sup> 1/s



#### Post-test evaluation

Microscopy observation: SEM + EDS, FIB, TEM

Chemically cleaning in a solution of  $H_2O_2$ ,  $CH_3COOH$  and  $CH_3CH_2OH$  (1:1:1)





#### **CERTs** 800 $2 \times 10^{-8} \, \text{m/s}$ **T91** 700 (MPa) LBE, 300°C 15-15**T**i Aır Comparison of stress 600 levels of the three Air b. 400°C **Engineering stress** 500 systems LBE, 300°C • T91&LBE 400 • T91&Pb 300 • 15-15Ti&LBE 200 ••••• 15-15Ti-Air 300 C •••• T91-Air 400 C ---T91-Air 300 C 100 **—**T91-Pb (8\*10^-7) -15-15Ti-LBE (1\*10^-6) = T91-LBE (1\*10^-6) 0 2.0 3.0 3.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.5

**Displacement (mm)** 

CVŘ Centrum výzkumu Řež



### **T91 & liquid LBE**

After CERTs,

LME/EAC crack initiation observed in 3 of 5 specimens loaded by R2 - each one had a single crack on the flat surface at minimum cross section



No cracks

Hojna, A.; Hadraba, H; Di Gabriele, F.; Husak, R. Corros. Sci. 131 (2018) 264-277. Hojna, A.; Di Gabriele, F.; Chocholousek, M.; Halodova, P.; Lorincik, J J. Nucl. Mater. 511 (2018) 459-472. Halodová, P.; Lorinčík, J.; Hojná, A. Materials 12 (2019) 38.





**Tapered specimen** 

#### After TPBs in static HLM

• No cracks

After CERTs,

- No LME/EAC crack initiation observed
- 2 specimens loaded by R2



Hojná, A.; Di Gabriele, F.; Chocholoušek, M.; Rozumová, L.; Vít, J. Materials 11 (2018) 1-17





#### CERT: R0 > R1 > R2









17

#### **RR test: TR11 (**0.12mm/min = 10<sup>-4</sup>/s**)**



### 15-15Ti in liquid LBE

After CERTs,

600

• No LME/EAC crack in 3 specimens loaded by R2







Hojná, A., Di Gabriele, F., Chocholoušek, M., Špirit, Z., Rozumová, L., Journal of Nuclear Engineering and Radiation Science 5 (2019) 1-8.





### 15-15Ti in liquid Pb

After TPBs in static HLM

• No cracks







### Initiation of LME/EAC of T91 in HLM - summary

**Stress** of LME/EAC initiation is: Smooth:

Nominal stress

Notched:

 Nominal stress × Stress concentration

Tapered:

Nominal stress at crack site



21



# 2<sup>nd</sup> Level testing -Conditions for LME/EAC cracking in HLM: Kinetics





### **Experimental**

#### Specimens

RCT (thickness 6, 8; Ø15.5 mm)

CT (thickness 12.5 × width 25 mm)



Test technique

Fracture resistance (J integral)pre-cracked in air and HLM

#### Environment HLM: Liquid Lead-Bismuth Eutectic (LBE) T: 350 °C $O \approx 4-100 \times 10^{-8}$ wt. %

Post-test evaluation Microscopy observation: SEM + EDS Chemically cleaning in a solution of  $H_2O_2$ , CH<sub>3</sub>COOH and CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH (1:1:1)





### **Fracture resistance of T91 in liquid LBE**

Fracture resistance tests (MATTER) using CT with various pre-cracks

- Mixed ductile-cleavage like
  - Fatigue in air  $\rightarrow$  pre-cracking in LBE
- Cleavage-like
  - Exposed to low O LBE at 450 °C  $\rightarrow$  pre-cracking in LBE



Hojna, A.; Di Gabriele, F.; Klecka, J. J. Nucl. Mater. 472 (2016) 163–170. Magielsen, L. et alDeliverable 3.5: "Guidelines, results and evaluations for fracture toughness tests", MATTER (Materials Testing And Rules), 269706 (2015).





### Crack Growth Rate of T91 in LBE

Average crack growth rate measured in experiments with various specimens.

For fully developed crack:

Average crack growth rate (crack extension/test time)

in LBE = max 5× in air







Lynch, S.; SCC: Theory and practice, Woodhead, 2011





### Conclusions

Two level assessment of degradation in HLM under stress: LME ---> LME/EAC

- Because the HLM atoms do not penetrate into the steels, it is proposed to requalify the degradation mode from LME to EAC.
- Adsorption-induced dislocation-emission mechanism was found to be the best way to describe the observed degradation.
- **15-15Ti**: Initiation testing verified the **immunity to LME/EAC** in LBE & 300°C +Pb & 400°C.

#### T91 steel showed sensitivity to LME/EAC

- LBE &300°C + Pb & 350-400°C: above UTS and high plastic deformation; only with stable failure process.
- Based on the new and past research experience T91 steel is sensitive to LME/EAC in low flow HLM, but only in beyond design loads of Gen IV system's components.





## Thank you for your attention

The research leading to these results is partly funded by the EC H2020 under **GA 755269** (GEMMA). This work has been realized within the SUSEN Project (established in the framework of the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) in project **CZ.1.05/2.1.00/03.0108** and of the European Strategy Forum on Research Infrastructures (ESFRI) in the project **CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/15** 008/0000293, which is financially supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports - project **LM2015093** Infrastructure SUSEN.

