Recent progress in understanding the outer divertor heat flux dynamics during the ELM-crash-suppression by RMP on KSTAR
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Introduction – RMPs & Div. IRTV

Divertor IRTV measuring the outer divertor heat flux (on the central divertor target)

\[
n = 1, +90 \text{ phasing}
\]

\[
I_p, B_T
\]

in \((r, \theta, \phi)\) coordinates
Observations

KSTAR #19211 (I_p = 530 kA, P_{NBI} = 3.1 MW)

The heat flux profile measurement clearly captured the effects of 3D RMPs on the divertor heat load. Interestingly, it has been observed that the peak heat flux is much higher in ELM-supp. Regime than those in the w/o RMPs and ELM-mitigation regimes.
ITER-like 3-row RMPs have broadened the divertor heat flux during ELM-suppression at the near SOL, which cannot be seen with 2-rows.

**Phasing (= phase difference between rows)**

0. Reference (default, $\phi_{UM} = \phi_{ML} = 90^\circ$)

A. 3-rows

I. “distorted” ($\phi_{UM} \neq \phi_{ML}$)
II. “away” ($\phi_{UM} = \phi_{ML} > 90^\circ$)
III. “toward” ($\phi_{UM} = \phi_{ML} < 90^\circ$)

B. 2-rows
Upper/Lower only (without mid-row)

[Y. In et al, 2019 NF]
Control – ELM suppression + detachment

High density ELM-crash-suppression has been accomplished for n=2 RMPs with substantial reduction of divertor heat flux, despite no detachment yet (#19279)

[J. W. Ahn et al, APS-DPP (2017)]
Understanding – striation pattern

It has been realized that the main 3D structure feature follows the field line tracing calculation† although details of the calculation can be slightly different according to plasma response models

† K. Kim PoP 24 052506 (2017)

[Y. In et al, APS-DPP (2019)]
Understanding – heat flux increase by RMPs

It seems that divertor heat flux is increased when the plasma goes to the attached regime from the (partially) detached regime by the significant density reduction due to the application of RMPs.

?? partial detachment → RMPs → density ↓ → attachment + SOL radiation ↑ → $q_{\text{target}}$ ↑ ??

IR bolometer measurement indicates that the radiation power at lower divertor region decreases during RMP-ELM suppressed regime.
It seems that Ideal MHD + field line tracing is not sufficient to explain the broadened heat flux profiles, based on a simple modeling.

**Sheath-limited heat flux**

\[
q_{\text{div}}(R) = \frac{n(R) T(R)^{3/2}}{n_{\text{sep}} T_{\text{sep}}^{3/2}}
\]

**Conduction-limited heat flux**

\[
q_{\text{div}}(R) = \frac{T(R)^{7/2}}{T_{\text{sep}}^{7/2}}
\]

where

\[
T(R) = T_{\text{sep}} + \frac{T_{\text{ped}} - T_{\text{sep}}}{n_{\text{ped}} / n_{\text{sep}} (R_{\text{sep}} - R)}
\]

\[
n(R) = n_{\text{sep}} + \frac{n_{\text{ped}} / \Delta}{n_{\text{sep}} (R_{\text{sep}} - R)}
\]

Although conduction-limited heat flux model appears better than sheath model, no feature of broadening has been properly modeled.

Further investigation of the details is under way.
EMC3-EIRENE is being implanted and optimized for KSTAR.

It has been realized that the expected divertor heat flux by NRMPs is much different from that by RMPs.
Understanding – numerical modeling

Measurement of the divertor heat flux profiles under the NRMPs is expected to be better for the validation work of the modeling result since it could avoid the complexity of the plasma response to RMPs (planned to be done in the middle of December on KSTAR)
Summary and Future works

• In KSTAR, the outer divertor heat flux dynamics is being comprehensively investigated especially during the ELM-crash-suppression regime by RMPs

• It is suspected that the radiation power decrease due to the density decrease by RMPs results in the increase in the divertor peak heat flux

• The ITER-like intentionally misaligned configuration has been successfully demonstrated to be not only compatible with ELM-crash-suppression, but also promising in broadening the divertor heat fluxes in a wider area. But, the underlying physics is still unresolved

• EMC3-EIRENE is being prepared for KSTAR. It will be actively applied to understand the divertor heat flux dynamics under the application of RMPs