### Radiative Power Exhaust Research at DIII-D – From Divertor Science to Core-Edge Integration of High Performance Plasmas

A.E. Jaervinen, B.A. Grierson, A. Garofalo, T.W. Petrie,
P.B. Snyder, F. Turco, L. Wang, X. Gong, S.L. Allen,
J.M. Canik, D. Eldon, M.E. Fenstermacher, M. Groth,
M. Knolker, C. Lasnier, A.W. Leonard, J.D. Lore,
A.G. McLean, G.D. Porter, T.D. Rognlien, C.M. Samuell,
J.G. Watkins, H. Wang, T.M. Wilks, and the DIII-D and EAST
Teams

Third IAEA Technical Meeting on Divertor Concepts 4 – 7 November 2019 IAEA Headquarters, Vienna Austria



Prepared by LLNL under DE-FC02-04ER54698, DE-AC52-07NA27344, and LLNL LDRD project 17-ER0-020. This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express of implied, or assumes any legal liability of responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparetus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infininge privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement , recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

A.E. Jaervinen / Third IAEA Technical Meeting on Divertor Concepts



### Fusion Reactors Need to Integrate Radiative Mantle with High Plasma Pressure Core and Detached Divertor with Low Collisionality Pedestal

- P<sub>SOL</sub> **EU-DEMO** calculations 0.5 Core (b) 0.4 3 10<sup>-3</sup> Kr, 315 MW rad. dens. / MWm<sup>-3</sup> 70 001 PFUSION Mantle 1.7 10<sup>-2</sup> Ar, 307 MW 5 10<sup>-5</sup> W, 80 MW 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 pol PPCF 2013 A. Kallenbach.
  - $P_{FUSION} \propto \beta_N^2 I_P^2 B^2 R(1+\kappa)$ 
    - $\blacktriangleright$  High B<sub>T</sub> and  $\beta_N$  desired for fusion performance
      - Mantle and core radiation required to reduce P<sub>SOL</sub> down to manageable level of 1.2 to 3 times P<sub>IH</sub>
        - Impurities needed, but tension with
          - Fuel dilution
          - Profile and P<sub>RAD</sub> Control
          - Core MHD stability

### Fusion Reactors Need to Integrate Radiative Mantle with High Plasma Pressure Core and Detached Divertor with Low Collisionality Pedestal



- High p<sub>PED</sub> with high B<sub>T</sub>B<sub>POL</sub>
- Reduction of natural density with machine size ~ I<sub>P</sub>/a<sup>2</sup>
- Pedestal v\* ∝ n<sub>e</sub>/T<sub>e</sub><sup>2</sup> ~ n<sub>e</sub><sup>3</sup>/p<sub>e</sub><sup>2</sup> ⇒ high B<sub>T</sub>, B<sub>P</sub> and strong shaping expected to reduce ped. collisionality
- Low v\* pedestal expected to be peeling mode limited
  - ▶ Peeling  $\Rightarrow$  p<sub>ped</sub> increases with n<sub>e</sub>
  - ▶ Ballooning  $\Rightarrow$  p<sub>ped</sub> reduces with n<sub>e</sub>

P.B. Snyder, et al. Nucl. Fusion **51** (2011) 103016 M. Greenwald, Plasma Phys. Cont. Fusion **44** (2002) R27

### Fusion Reactors Need to Integrate Radiative Mantle with High Plasma Pressure Core and Detached Divertor with Low Collisionality Pedestal



- Divertor detachment is often correlated with pedestal performance reduction in present day tokamaks
  - > Present day small, low  $B_T$  facilities operate at high  $v^*$  (ballooning) pedestals in detachment experiments
  - $\Rightarrow$  Performance reduction with density is expected!
  - ⇒ How to investigate pedestal-divertor integration physics that extrapolate to low ν\* (peeling) pedestals in reactors?





- Strong shaping opens access to peeling limited pedestals at high density, including super H-mode
- AT program enables studies of mantle radiation physics with DEMO or pilot plant relevant normalized core parameters
- Studies of high  $\beta_P$  scenario with an ITB can obtain a feedback controlled detached conditions without core degradation
- New diagnostic tools in DIII-D divertor constrain concentrations of emitting impurities, enabling validation of divertor radiation scalings



- Strong shaping opens access to peeling limited pedestals at high density, including super H-mode
- AT program enables studies of mantle radiation physics with DEMO or pilot plant relevant normalized core parameters
- Studies of high  $\beta_P$  scenario with an ITB can obtain a feedback controlled detached conditions without core degradation
- New diagnostic tools in DIII-D divertor constrain concentrations of emitting impurities, enabling validation of divertor radiation scalings



### Super H-mode Regime Enables Peeling Limited Pedestal Studies at High Density in DIII-D



Access to High Performance Super H-Mode Regime on DIII-D

- EPED predicts a bifurcation for highly shaped plasmas
- The 2<sup>nd</sup> branch can be accessed by using a specific density trajectory
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Higher  $p_{e,\text{PED}}$  at the same  $n_{e,\text{PED}}$
  - > Peeling vs. ballooning limited

P.B. Snyder, et al. Nucl. Fusion 55 (2015) 083026
W. Solomon, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 135001
P.B. Snyder, et al. Nucl. Fusion 59 (2019) 086017



# Controlling Pedestal Density with 3D Fields, $n_{e,SEP}$ can be Increased Close to 50% of $n_{e,PED}$ without Compromising $p_{e,PED}$



- Pedestal density is controlled with 3D field feedback to retain near super H-mode in a D<sub>2</sub> gas puff scan
- Pedestal pressures of 20 25 kPa maintained while increasing n<sub>e,SEP</sub> from ~30% of n<sub>e,PED</sub> to > 50% of n<sub>e,PED</sub>
- Greenwald fraction of these plasmas is fairly low (~50 – 60%) as I<sub>p</sub> is high (1.95 MA) and pedestal and core density is controlled with 3D fields

P.B. Snyder, et al. Nucl. Fusion 59 (2019) 086017

# With Nitrogen Seeding, Radiative Divertor with $T_e \sim 15 \text{ eV}$ can be Obtained without Pedestal Reduction



- Radiation feedback controlled nitrogen gas puff
- 3D fields feedback control for pedestal density
- Divertor cooling to low temperatures less than 15 eV without reduction of p<sub>e,PED</sub> or W<sub>MHD</sub>
- Radiated power fraction increased from 40% to 65%

### Divertor Thomson Scattering and Langmuir Probes show Clear Divertor Cooling with the Nitrogen gas Puff



m

- Clear Cooling of Divertor Conditions with the nitrogen gas puff
- High recycling conditions indicated by the DTS and Langmuir probe measurements

# Strong Detachment ( $f_{RAD} \sim 85\%$ ) Leads to the Pedestal Dropping out of Super-H $\Rightarrow$ p<sub>PED</sub> Reduced by x2.5



- Strong detachment with f<sub>RAD</sub> ~ 85 90% observed to lead to radiation front peaking at the X-point and a factor of 2.5 reduction of p<sub>e,PED</sub>
  - Operational space near the pedestal collapse not fully explored yet
     Further studies needed to understand the limits of the high p<sub>e,PED</sub>



# Recent DIII-D Experiments Indicate Access to Super Hmode in JET Shape



- The higher triangularity (and aspect ratio) shape predicted to reach Super-H
- β<sub>N</sub> beam feedback program to tailor trajectory along EPED channel prediction
- Large p<sub>PED</sub> difference confirms deep Super-H access at marginal conditions



- Strong shaping opens access to peeling limited pedestals at high density, including super H-mode
- AT program enables studies of mantle radiation physics with DEMO or pilot plant relevant normalized core parameters
- Studies of high  $\beta_P$  scenario with an ITB can obtain a feedback controlled detached conditions without core degradation
- New diagnostic tools in DIII-D divertor constrain concentrations of emitting impurities, enabling validation of divertor radiation scalings



# Mantle and Divertor Radiation Studied in Highly Reproducible High $\beta_N$ Hybrid Plasmas



A.E. Jaervinen / Third IAEA Technical Meeting on Divertor Concepts

# Both Ne and Ar Injection can Reduce Divertor Heat Flux through Mantle and Divertor Radiation



- P<sub>HEAT</sub> ~ 11.5 NBI +
   3.5 ECH MW
- $P_{RAD, CORE}$ 1.4  $\Rightarrow$  2.8 MW
- $P_{RAD,TOT}$ 3.5  $\Rightarrow$  6.8 MW

# Both Ne and Ar Injection can Reduce Divertor Heat Flux through Mantle and Divertor Radiation









## **Tearing Mode Activity Followed by the Mantle Formation** Compromises the Scenario at High $\beta_N$



Future studies will explore more central ECH heating for profile control and higher main plasma densities



observed in

lower  $\beta_N$ 

T.W. Petrie, et al. Nucl. Fusion 59 (2019) 086053

T.W. Petrie, et al. J. Nucl. Mat. 363-365 (2007) 416-420

scenarios

## For a Closed Divertor, A Modest Change in R<sub>OSP</sub> Inside the Slot Increased Argon Leakage Out of the Divertor



A.E. Jaervinen / Third IAEA Technical Meeting on Divertor Concepts

- Strong shaping opens access to peeling limited pedestals at high density, including super H-mode
- AT program enables studies of mantle radiation physics with DEMO or pilot plant relevant normalized core parameters
- Studies of high  $\beta_P$  scenario with an ITB can obtain a feedback controlled detached conditions without core degradation
- New diagnostic tools in DIII-D divertor constrain concentrations of emitting impurities, enabling validation of divertor radiation scalings



High- $\beta_p$  Scenario with an Internal Transport Barrier can Obtain Feedback Controlled Detached Conditions without Reducing Core Performance



- Strong shaping opens access to peeling limited pedestals at high density, including super H-mode
- AT program enables studies of mantle radiation physics with DEMO or pilot plant relevant normalized core parameters
- Studies of high  $\beta_P$  scenario with an ITB can obtain a feedback controlled detached conditions without core degradation
- New diagnostic tools in DIII-D divertor constrain concentrations of emitting impurities, enabling validation of divertor radiation scalings



### Radiative Divertor Scaling based on Simple SOL Models Predict High SOL Impurity Concentrations for Reactor Scale Devices

	C-Mod	ASDEX-U	JET	ITER	FNSF $(A = 4)$	EU Demo1
Psep	3.83	10.7	14	100	96	154.7
B <sub>t</sub>	5.47	2.5	2.5	5.3	7.0	5.7
$R_0$	0.7	1.6	2.9	6.2	4.5	9.1
$P_{\rm sep}/R$	5.5	6.7	4.8	16.1	21.3	17.0
$P_{\rm sep}B_{\rm t}/R$	29.9	16.7	12.1	85.5	149.3	96.9
Ip	0.82	1.2	2.5	15	7.5	20
a	0.22	0.52	0.90	2.00	1.13	2.94
К95	1.51	1.63	1.73	1.80	2.10	1.70
$\langle B_{\rm p} \rangle$	0.58	0.34	0.39	1.03	0.81	0.98
q <sub>cyl</sub>	3.78	3.16	2.79	2.42	3.55	2.62
n <sub>GW</sub>	5.39E + 20	1.44E + 20	9.82E + 19	1.19E + 20	1.89E + 20	7.39E + 19
Projected $c_{\rm N}$ for detachment	1.0%	4.0%	4.1%	10.1%	8.6%	18.8%

R.J. Goldston, et al. Plasma Phys. Cont. Fusion 59 (2017) 055015

#### Compatibility challenge with pedestal and core!



### Radiative Divertor Scaling based on Simple SOL Models Predict High SOL Impurity Concentrations for Reactor Scale Devices

		C-Mod	ASDEX-U	JET	ITER	FNSF $(A = 4)$	EU Demo1			
Psep		3.83	10.7	14	100	96	154.7			
$B_{t}$ $R_{0}$ $P_{sep}/R$ $P_{sep}B_{t}/R$ $I_{p}$ $a$ $\kappa_{95}$ $\langle B_{p} \rangle$ $q_{cy1}$ $n_{GW}$ Projected $c_{N}$ for	But thes To val diver conc	e predic idate im rtor, we i entration	tions are be SOI purity conc need capa n in the rele	ased on I L model. centration bility to n vant loco	engyel in scalings neasure th ation (radi	tegral, simpl in radiative in purity ation front) NEXT TALK)	$\begin{array}{c} 5.7\\ 9.1\\ 7.0\\ 20\\ 2.94\\ 1.70\\ 0.98\\ 2.62\\ E+19\\ 8.8\%\\ 055015\end{array}$			
Compatibility challenge with pedestal and core!										



### Divertor Thomson Scattering and SPRED enable Measurement of Concentrations of the Dominant Emitting Species in the Radiative Front



- Strong shaping opens access to peeling limited pedestals at high density, including super H-mode
  - Promising resilience of the super-H pedestal to divertor cooling
- AT program enables studies of mantle radiation physics with DEMO or pilot plant relevant normalized core parameters
  - $\rm P_{SOL}$  can be controlled by mantle radiation, but core tearing modes emerge at high  $\beta_{\rm N}$
- Studies of high  $\beta_P$  scenario with an ITB can obtain a feedback controlled detached conditions without core degradation
  - If the scenario does not depend on a strong pedestal, detaching the divertor is not as challenging for the integration
- New diagnostic tools in DIII-D divertor constrain concentrations of emitting impurities, enabling validation of divertor radiation scalings

