## Scoping study of dissipative divertor scenarios for SPARC

M.V. Umansky<sup>1</sup>, S. Ballinger<sup>2</sup>, D. Brunner<sup>3</sup>, M. Greenwald<sup>2</sup>, A. Kuang<sup>2</sup>, B. LaBombard<sup>2</sup>, J. Terry<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lawrence, Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA <sup>2</sup>MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA <sup>3</sup>Commonwealth Fusion Systems, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Presented at 3<sup>rd</sup> IAEA Technical Meeting on Divertor Concepts, 4-7 November 2019, Vienna, Austria



This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344, and supported by Commonwealth Fusion Systems

LLNL-POST-796122

### What is SPARC?

- DT-burning tokamak designed to demonstrate net fusion energy production
  - Q>2
  - 50 MW fusion power
  - R<sub>maj</sub>=1.8 m
  - $B_{tor} = 12 T$
- Based on newly developed high temperature superconductor technology
- Under design by Commonwealth Fusion Systems (CFS), MIT, and collaborators





### **Comparison of high-field tokamak designs**

ADX  $R_{maj}=0.73 \text{ m}$   $R_{min}=0.2 \text{ m}$  B = 6.5 TMission: Divertor testing





 $\frac{\text{SPARC}}{\text{R}_{\text{maj}}=1.78 \text{ m}}$  $\text{R}_{\text{min}}=0.55 \text{ m}$ B=12.5 TMission: Q>2

 $\frac{ARC}{R_{maj}}=3.3 \text{ m}$   $R_{min}=1.1 \text{ m}$  B = 9.2 TMission: Fusion reactor



- P<sub>sol</sub> ~ 20 MW
- Narrow SOL
  - λ<sub>q</sub> = 0.16 mm [1]
  - $\lambda_q = 0.34 \text{ mm} [2]$
  - $\lambda_q = 0.34 \text{ mm} [3]$
- Relatively long pulse but not steady-state operation, τ~10 s
- Divertor design ongoing, exploring
  - Single-null and double-null
  - Tightly baffled long vertical leg
  - Radially extended divertor leg
  - Seeded impurity radiation
  - Strike points sweeping



T. Eich, et al., NF 53.9 (2013): 093031.
 D. Brunner, et al., NF 58.9 (2018): 094002.
 R.J. Goldston, et al., NF 52.1 (2011): 013009.



### **UEDGE setup for SPARC divertor**

Matching projected parameters of SPARC for setting up UEDGE:

- Geometry, input power based on the design
- Midplane plasma profiles, based on empirical scaling



(ERTICAL POSITION (m)

- Modeling lower half of up-down symmetric domain
- Standard collisional plasma model
- Fluid neutrals model
- Initially no drifts included
- Boundary conditions at core interface set to match input power and midplane plasma density at separatrix
- Ad-hoc anomalous transport coefficients set to match projected profiles and in-out power asymmetry



# Anomalous transport profiles for UEDGE SPARC model used as knobs for matching design projections



For matching plasma profiles and in-out power asymmetry, using:

- Radial profile of D (could use V instead as transport model)
- Transport barrier in Chi
- Levels of D and Chi on HFS



## Why use $D_{eff}$ increasing radially in SOL?

- Overwhelming evidence for enhanced radial transport in far SOL upstream
- Interchange drive responsible for enhanced plasma transport in far SOL
- Based on experiments and modeling, this mechanism apparently exists in the divertor as well

#### MAST<sup>1</sup>



NSTX<sup>2</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Harrison et al., *Journal of Nuclear Materials* 463, 757–760 (2015) <sup>2</sup>Scotti *et al., Nucl. Fusion* 58, 126028 (2018)



### **Comparing four UEDGE cases for SPARC V1C**

Case UE1a	Case UE1a_0.1C
<ul> <li>Low transport everywhere on HFS</li> <li>No impurity radiation</li> <li>Peak power flux on divertor plates</li> <li>outer = 1.34038D+02</li> <li>inner = 1.42862D+02</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low transport everywhere on HFS</li> <li>Impurity radiation for 0.1% C</li> <li>Peak power flux on divertor plates</li> <li>outer = 1.35118D+02 MW/m<sup>2</sup></li> <li>inner = 1.10163D+02 MW/m<sup>2</sup></li> </ul>
Case UE1b	Case UE1b_0.1C
<ul> <li>Low transport everywhere on HFS except inner leg region</li> <li>No impurity radiation</li> <li>Peak power flux on divertor plates</li> <li>outer =1.31711D+02</li> <li>inner =9.41024D+01</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low transport everywhere on HFS except inner leg region</li> <li>Impurity radiation for 0.1% C</li> <li>Peak power flux on divertor plates</li> <li>outer = 1.31700D+02</li> <li>inner = 8.23039D+01</li> </ul>

### All use 10 MW of input power into the lower-half domain



### UEDGE radial transport coefficients for cases UE1a and UE1a\_0.1C





### UEDGE radial transport coefficients for cases UE1b and UE1b\_0.1C





# UEDGE finds steady-state plasma profiles, consistent with SPARC design projections



•  $n_{sepx} = 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$ 

• 
$$\lambda_q = 0.16 \text{ mm}$$

For otherwise identically same parameters, overall similar plasma profiles with or without altering transport in inner leg or adding 0.1%C radiation



# Plasma profiles upstream are weakly sensitive to altering inner leg transport or adding impurity radiation



0.0

-0.005

0.000

 $R_{omp} - R_{sep}$  [m]

0.005

Fusion Energy

-0.005

0.000

 $R_{omp} - R_{sep}$  [m]

0.005

0.0

Umansky et al., IAEA TM 2019 – Chart 12

0.005

0.000

 $R_{omp} - R_{sep}$  [m]

0.0

-0.005

0.000

 $R_{omp} - R_{sep}$  [m]

0.005

0.0

-0.005

# Plasma profiles upstream are weakly sensitive to altering inner leg transport or adding impurity radiation





# Plasma profiles on target plates are more sensitive to altering inner leg transport and adding impurity radiation

#### UE1a

UE1a\_0.1C



#### UE1b













# Plasma profiles on target plates are more sensitive to altering inner leg transport and adding impurity radiation



Fusion Energy

# UEDGE impurity radiation for cases UE1a\_0.1C (left) and UE1b\_0.1C (right)



- Peak heat flux on the plate ~100 MW/m<sup>2</sup>
- Radiation localized near the plates?

1.750E+00

2.625E+00

3,062E+00

3.500E+00

3 938E+00

4.375E+00

4,812E+00

5.250E+00

5 688E+00

6.125E+00

6.562E+00

## Summary

- SPARC divertor will have challenging peak heat flux due to high exhaust power and narrow SOL width
- UEDGE has been set up for SPARC to guide solutions for divertor design
- Initial UEDGE solutions have been obtained for SPARC, consistent with known empirical scalings and projections
- Sensitivity to unknown transport in divertor leg region is probed
- Impurity radiation with fixed-fraction 0.1% C results in radiation loss of ~15% of P<sub>SOL</sub>
- A larger impurity fraction is needed to reduce significantly the peak heat flux (core plasma can tolerate up to 5% C impurity)
- Search for radiating impurity species and fraction ongoing with UEDGE to help find partially or fully detached divertor solutions for SPARC

