# ΕΡΕ

## Investigation of detachment in Double-Null configurations in the TCV tokamak

#### O. Février<sup>1</sup>, S. Coda<sup>1</sup>, C. Theiler<sup>1</sup>, B. P. Duval<sup>1</sup>, B. Labit<sup>1</sup>, R. Maurizio<sup>1</sup>, H. de Oliveira<sup>1</sup>, H. Reimerdes<sup>1</sup>, A. Thornton<sup>2</sup> and the TCV Team<sup>a</sup> and the EUROfusion MST1 Team<sup>b</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland <sup>2</sup> CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, United Kingdom
- <sup>a</sup> See author list of S. Coda et al 2019 Nucl. Fusion **59** 112023
- <sup>b</sup> See author list of B. Labit et al 2019 Nucl. Fusion **59** 086020

#### Introduction & Motivation

Divertor detachment associated with low target temperature & heat fluxes [1-2]

 $\rightarrow$  **Attractive regime** for fusion devices

Alternative divertor configurations can provide improved detachment characteristics at outer leg (lower threshold, increased controllability...) ([2] and references therein) but risk to aggravate conditions at inner leg

#### **Double-nulls (DN)** are a promising candidate for an exhaust solution :

 $\rightarrow$  Majority of power shared between **two outer legs.** [3,4,5]

#### Target measurements

 $\rightarrow$  Total ion flux reaching floor + ceiling shows saturation & (small) rollover (behavior seen at low fx in TCV [2]) → This happens at **lower threshold** than for equivalent LSN (<n<sub>e</sub>>≈8.5x10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> vs 10<sup>20</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>)  $\rightarrow$  Initial target ion flux higher than for LSN

### olivier.fevrier@epfl.ch

PI 56



Third IAEA Technical Meeting on Divertor Concepts - 4 – 7 Nov. 2019, IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria

- $\rightarrow$  Advanced geometries can be applied to both active legs [6]
- $\rightarrow$  Possibly increase of radiated fraction

#### Experimental setup



Wide range of DN configurations Typical scenario :

- $\rightarrow < n_{e} > -ramp$
- $\rightarrow$  L-Mode, Ohmic only, I<sub>P</sub>=300 kA
- $\rightarrow$  Inner/outer gaps ~ 2.5cm

Main diagnostics used: Langmuir Probes [7], IR camera, Bolometry, eq. reconstruction, Multi-spectra imaging (CIII)

discharges

 $\rightarrow$  Discharges performed in Fav./Unfav. grad B<sub>t</sub> (always w.r.t. lower X-Point)

 $\rightarrow$  DN configurations compared with **equivalent** LSN configurations

#### Magnetic balance

**Magnetic balance** (distance between the two separatrices) is a **critical parameter** for Double-Null experiments.

 $(\delta R_{sep}$ [distance between the two separatrix mapped upstream])

 $\rightarrow$  Balance assessed using LIUQE (equi. reconstruction)



#### <n $_{\rm e}$ > [m $^{-3}$ ] ×10 '

 $\rightarrow$  Integrated J<sub>sat</sub> shows that both legs detach at similar <n<sub>e</sub>>  $(< n_{2} > \approx 8.5 \times 10^{19} \, \text{m}^{-3})$ 

Detachment of both outer legs at similar line-averaged densities, lower than equivalent LSN

 $\rightarrow$  Integrated target ion flux show no difference vs outer target major radius [in line with previous TCV results in LSN]

 $\rightarrow$  Infrared thermography measurements show lower power going to lower outer divertor in **DN than LSN**, and **continuous decay as <n\_>** is increased, as expected.

 $\rightarrow$  From LP and IR, most of the flux does go to OSPs, with lower power on each OSP.



#### **CIII** radiation front



Position of CIII front along outer (lower) divertor leg :

LIUQE (MATLAB

 $\rightarrow$  Typical within [-3mm, 3mm],  $\leq \lambda_{\alpha}$  (~5mm from IR)

In **TCV**, diagnostic coverage of *all* strike points not possible



#### In unfavourable $\nabla B$ :

- $\rightarrow$  50/50 power sharing for dR<sub>sep</sub> =0 mm
- $\rightarrow$  Outer leg activates earlier than inner leg
- $\rightarrow$  With higher density, power seems to go preferentially to outer legs

Data in favourable ∇B suggest asymmetry between inner/outer leg (not shown)

#### $\rightarrow$ Sensibility of results to magnetic configuration tested in dedicated <n > $\approx$ 8 $\times$ 10 <sup>19</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> Total power to lower divertor **~** 0.8 ٩ 0.6 Lower inner lec ower outer led 20 0 10 [mm]

 $\rightarrow$  Results must be interpreted in light

of possible magnetic unbalance

 $\rightarrow$  Taken as indicator for detachment [1,8]

Repeating shots in fav/unfav. gradB, reconstruct CIII movement along both outer legs

 $\rightarrow$  Both legs detach at approx. same time, in agreement with LP.

→ Movement of CIII front earlier in DN **configurations** (lower threshold)

Threshold similar for different R<sub>1</sub>: • Opposite to 2PM expectations Consistent with previous TCV exp.

Confirms that the non-observation of R<sub>t</sub> –effect [2] is **not** due to a change of power sharing between inner/outer targets

12

#### Conclusions

First results of detachment physics in Double-Null in TCV show :

#### Radiation



- Higher radiated fraction for a given  $\langle n_{e} \rangle$  (between 10% 35% higher) than in LSN
- Access to detached regime of both legs at similar <n<sub>e</sub>>, lower threshold than in LSN (~ 20% difference)
- As in previous LSN studies, no clear evidence of a R<sub>t</sub>-effect for the detachment onset.
- $\rightarrow$  Power sharing between inner/outer leg not responsible for this effect in LSN

<ul> <li>[1] A. W. Leonard <i>et al</i> 2018 <i>Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion</i> <b>60</b></li> <li>[2] C. Theiler <i>et al</i> 2017 <i>Nucl. Fusion</i> <b>57</b> 072008</li> <li>[3] Petrie <i>et al</i> 2001 <i>J. Nucl. Mater.</i> <b>290</b></li> <li>[4] G.De Temmerman <i>et al</i> 2011 <i>J. Nucl. Mater.</i> <b>415</b></li> </ul>	5] D. Brunner et al 2018 <i>Nucl. Fusion</i> <b>58</b> 076010 6] G. Fishpool et al 2013 <i>J. Nucl. Mater.</i> <b>428</b> 7] De Oliveira <i>et al</i> 2019 <i>Rev. Sci. Instrum</i> <b>90</b> , 083502 8] J. R. Harrison <i>et al</i> 2016 <i>Nucl. Mater. Energy</i> <b>12</b>	This work was supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation.
--	--	---





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

