Validation and verification of the LOCUST-GPU fast ion code

ID:P2-31-107

samuel.ward@york.ac.uk



Samuel Ward¹²³, Rob Akers², Emmi Tholerus², Michael Van Zeeland⁴, Simon Pinches³, Roddy Vann¹

1 - York Plasma Institute, Department of Physics, University of York, UK
 2 - Culham Centre For Fusion Energy, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, UK
 3 - ITER Organization, Route de Vinon-sur-Verdon, CS 90 046, 13067
 St Paul Lez Durance Cedex, France
 4 - General Atomics, San Diego, California, USA

SUMMARY

- RMPs \rightarrow phase-space-dependent fast ion (FI) redistribution + power loads
- LOCUST quickly generates high-stats FI distributions for long spatio-temporal scales
- Verification/validation for 2-3D cases with ASCOT, TRANSP and SPIRAL codes
- Deployable as modular rapid fast ion solver in ITER IMAS → more comprehensive investigations newly available e.g. detailed RMP loss mechanism studies

BENCHMARK RESULTS

- Predictive capacity \rightarrow must verify and validate
- Cover spherical/conventional devices, 2D & 3D fields, multiple plasma states against many similar codes (summarised in table at bottom)
- $E_r = \omega = 0$, $Z_{eff} = 1$, no beam-beam interactions



BACKGROUND - How do 3D RMP fields redistribute fast ions?

- RMPs are enforced 3D field perturbations used to prevent ELMs
- RMPs interfere with fast ion confinement especially NBI due to edge injection
- ITER fast ion heating power = 150MW (~33MW NBI >> 2MWm⁻² PFC tolerance)

000e-03 0.01 0.02 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.5 1.000e+00



QUESTIONS

- What are loss mechanisms?
 Islands or stochastic regions? (right)
- Can we quantify RMP structure-dependent
 FI losses?
- Can we optimise RMP
 operation (Fl confinement + ELM) mitigation?

CHALLENGES

Computed losses are RMP

model dependent

(left top/bottom: PFC power loads calculated without/with plasma response to RMP field)

DIII-D

Typical

RMP field

featuring islands

& stochastic regions

- Right Fl density in R-Z (top)
 & energy-pitch space (bottom)
- Single full-energy 80keV counter-current NBI
- NUBEAM deposition (GC)
- Artificial wall (black) imposed close to LCFS (pink) and 2D magnetic field
- Moving wall away from plasma
 → only TRANSP diverges





MAST guiding centre & full orbit

- Left FI density for NUBEAM (top) & BBNBI (bottom) co-current depositions
 Small difference between GC & FO
 - 62keV full-energy NBI
 - 2D magnetic field



et al 2016 IAEA Fusion Energy Conference TH/4-1

ITER has huge spatio-temporal domain

→ need high stats for resolving localised power loads

METHOD - LOCUST-GPU = Desktop HPC Kinetic Solver

- Assume independent FIs
- → track Monte Carlo FI markers with parallel threads
- → off-shelf GPGPUs (£3000/¥400,000 per 2k logical threads, low-power, compact) openMP → launch multiple (8-16) GPUs (K80/P100)
- Static topology (temperature, density, magnetic field, CAD wall + rotating RMP)
 → non-blocking sum markers in time (below)
- \rightarrow 2M markers (10¹³ equivalent particles) to thermalisation in 10 hours (full-orbit)



DIII-D n=3 RMP

- Right SPIRAL (top) LOCUST (bottom)
 FI density loss in energy-pitch
 space
- Field calculated by M3D-C1 nonlinear MHD code
- 3D wall, co and counter-current
 NBI injection with all 3 beam
 energy components



Case	core T _i , core T _e [keV]	core N _e	Codes
DIII-D #157418 - 2D	9.4, 4.1	5.9 x 10 ¹⁹	ASCOT, TRANSP
MAST #29034 - 2D	1.5, 1.1	3.7 x 10 ¹⁹	ASCOT, TRANSP
DIII-D #157418 - 3D	9.4.4.1	5.9×10^{19}	SPIRAL

Efficient Poincaré map

generator

- Adapted to IMAS integrated modelling platform
- → couple community codes via data schema
- LOCUST_IO Python
 wrapper
 → plotting, automation

and data conversion



CONCLUSION & FUTURE

Now LOCUST-GPU tested and available with Python wrapper in IMAS
 Next → leverage linear RMP codes to generate expansive high fidelity RMP dataset
 Optimise ITER RMP operation - rotation, toroidal harmonic, coil current
 Parametrise phase-space dependent losses according to 3D field structure
 → infer losses and footprint from input data only - no simulations required

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053 and from EPSRC grant (EP/L01663X/1). The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the ITER Organization.



UNIVERSITY of fork



