

Exploring spectroscopic and morphological data as new signatures for uranium ore concentrates

<u>D. M. L. Ho</u>, D. Manara, Z. Varga, L. Fongaro, A. Nicholl, M. Ernstberger, A. Berlizov, P. Lindqvist-Reis, T. Fanghänel and K. Mayer



www.jrc.ec.europa.eu

IAEA Nuclear forensics conference (7-10 July 2014)

Serving society Stimulating innovation Supporting legislation





Methods



1. Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy (Bulk analysis)











A laser (U_0) is used to excite the molecules to virtual states. As a result of the interaction with molecules, incident photons are scattered *inelastically* (only 1 out of 10⁸ photons) \rightarrow Raman effect (U_R)





Applicability of Raman spectroscopy to nuclear forensics



Raman bands relating to:

- 1. Uranium compound
- 2. Process related impurities
- 3. Electronic transitions (non-Raman effects)

D.M.L. Ho, D. Manara, Zs Varga, A.Berlizov, Th. Fanghänel and K. Mayer, Radiochimica Acta 12 (2013) 779–784.





Comparison of 3 different dispersive Raman spectrometers



D.M.L. Ho, D. Manara, P. Lindqvist-Reis, Th. Fanghänel and K. Mayer, Vibrational Spectroscopy 73 (2014) 102-110.





Comparison of 3 different dispersive Raman spectrometers



D.M.L. Ho, D. Manara, P. Lindqvist-Reis, Th. Fanghänel and K. Mayer, Vibrational Spectroscopy 73 (2014) 102-110.





Unsupervised classifications of UOCs + other uranium compounds using principal component analysis (PCA)



D.M.L. Ho, Z. Varga, L. Fongaro, P. Lindqvist-Reis, Th. Fanghänel and K. Mayer, Forensic Science International, -to be submitted for publication (together with AWE/Liverpool)



Methods



2. Image analysis of UOC



Sizes & shapes

Image texture analysis



Results: Image Analysis



Commission

Research Centre

Morphology

Sample name Area (µm2) Diameter (µm) Perimeter (µm) Aspect AusALL xUH 15.16±0.53 3.38±0.08 11.88±0.32 1.44±0 AusQueU 308 5.56±0 2.25±0 7.48±0 1.5±0 CanEID ADU 7.15±0 2.32±0 7.85±0 1.53±0 CanESI ADU 13.82±0.06 11.35±0.08 1.51±0.03 3.16±0.02 CanKeLU 308 9.35±0.67 2.67±0.09 9.54±0.4 1.58±0.02 CanRal xUP 5.21±0 2.13±0 7.83±0 1.87±0 ChiHeY_UO2 158.4±84.86 7.22±1.86 25.75±6.92 1.51±0.06 10.23±0.48 9.93±0.23 1.42±0.02 ConBel xUH 3.01±0.07 NiaRosU 308 5.36±0.59 2.08±0.07 6.63±0.26 1.49±0.02 17.4±26.55 3.01±2.13 9.44±6.26 1.47±0.01 NigSom SDU RusTec Mix 15.37±4.89 3.13±0.32 10.4±1.32 1.42±0.02 SweRan SDU 8.17±0.77 2.49±0.12 8.22±0.51 1.46±0.02 **USAChH Mix** 11.91±1.22 2.76±0.12 9.69±0.55 1.51±0.02 10.48±0.42 USADak Mix 12.98±2.03 3.12±0.09 1.44 ± 0.01 USAESI ADU 7.32±2.27 2.29±0.18 7.36±0.73 1.47±0.01 USAMul ADU 6.91±0.96 2.31±0.1 7.67±0.5 1.51±0.03 USAUnN_ADU 18.97±1.66 3.54±0.15 12.02±0.5 1.4±0.03





Results: Image Analysis



Morphology





Results: Image texture analysis



Scale



K.H. Esbensen, K.H. Hjelmen and K. Kvaal, 'The AMT Approach in Chemometrics-First Forays', Journal of Chemometrics 10 (1996) 569-590.

12

Results: Image texture analysis



Joint Research Centre

European



Acknowledgements



• Dieter Schild

(Institute for Nuclear Waste Disposal, Karlsruhe)

• International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna

(for hand-held Raman and UOC samples)

• Springfields, UK for the UOC samples

