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# Abstract

Recently, a new class of multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometers (MC-ICPMS) has been introduced commercially that includes detector arrays purpose-built for actinide measurements. These detector arrays significantly enhance the data quality possible for applications encountered in nuclear forensics. Two such instruments are described in this paper, the Neptune*Plus*TM, developed by Thermo-Fisher (Bremen, Germany), and the NuPlasma2, developed by Nu Instruments (Wrexham, UK). Research results are presented that have been obtained by the authors using the first commercial Neptune*Plus*TM. This paper also presents performance characteristics and results for traditional liquid introduction, including a means for ultra-trace detection *via* electrochemical separation prior to solution nebulization, as well as solid sample introduction with femtosecond-laser ablation. We also discuss the advantages and limitations of the current systems for detection of the transient signals associated with these two methods for introducing sample into the plasma.
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# Introduction and Instrument Descriptions

Multicollector ICPMS instruments have been on the commercial market since the early 1990s. The first instruments developed by VG Isotech and VG Elemental combined the ICP ion source developed for the early high resolution magnetic sector ICP-MS instruments with a multi-collector mass analyzer that had been developed for Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometry (TIMS). This first instrument, the “Plasma 54”, quickly demonstrated that high precision isotope ratio measurements could be performed despite the “noisy” ICP ion source. The enhanced ionization capabilities of the ICP allowed measurements to be made on a wide range of elements that had hitherto been impossible to measure by thermal ionization. In addition, the more robust ICP ion source offered the promise of allowing somewhat more relaxed sample preparation requirements that greatly shortened the analysis time compared to TIMS. Sample introduction of liquids and the use of laser ablation for direct solid sampling also extended the range of isotopic measurements that could be made for the first time. The early MC-ICP-MS instruments were not particularly sensitive ~10 V/ppm and mass bias effects were generally larger than those observed for TIMS. As the technique developed, isotope ratio precision and accuracy began to rival that of TIMS with precision better than 10 ppm achieved for elements like Sr. As a result, the sales of MC-ICP-MS instruments have grown rapidly in the two decades since its inception, displacing TIMS in many applications, although TIMS is still regarded as the “gold” standard in terms of precision and accuracy in many isotope ratio measurements.

|  |
| --- |
| Table 1: Comparison of MC-ICPMS Instrument Features.  \*\*NeptunePlus values listed are for the first commercial “Plus” instrument; delivered to PNNL in 2009. |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | Instrument Feature | **Nu Plasma 2** | **NeptunePlus** | | Sector configuration | E-B | E-B | | High voltage section | Ion Source | Mass Spectrometer | | Response: Li – Sr – U | 40 – 700 – 1000 V/ppm | 300-800-1250 V/ppm | | Detectors – Total | 22 | 16 (up to 19) | | Faraday cups\*\* | 16 | 11 | | Full Size EM\*\* | Up to 6 | 3 | | Compact EM\*\* | 0 | 2 | | Abundance Sens. Filters | Up to 5 | 2 | |

In 2008, we began thinking about new possibilities for a detector array specific for uranium measurements. In particular, we wanted an instrument that had the ideal detector available for each isotope (233U, 234U, 235U, 236U, and 238U) and for uranium enrichment ranging from depleted to highly enriched. ThermoFisher was the successful bidder and working further with Thermo’s staff in Bremen, Germany, we completed a conceptual design of the detector array. Other innovations in the prototype instrument included new cone designs in the ICP-to-vacuum interface and the use of all dry pumping during development, testing, and installation. The first NeptunePlus was delivered to PNNL in 2009. Since then Thermo has sold many additional “Plus configuration” instruments and extended this detector array design to their thermal ionization product, the “Triton”, now “TritonPlus”. Nu Instruments has also produced an advanced detector array version of their “NuPlasma”, the NuPlasma2. Together, these new instruments have dramatically changed the actinide isotope ratio measurement capability available. Some key features of these two instruments are listed in Table 1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **a)** | **b)**  C:\Users\duff594\Documents\Projects\fs-LA-MC-ICP-MS\Figures\2011\detectorarray.jpg |
| Figure 1. a) Schematic overview of the Neptune instrument. b) Schematic of the “L5” uranium detector array incorporated on the low mass side of the Neptune detector array. | |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 2. Schematic overview of the Nu Plasma2 layout. |

# NeptunePlus Performance Characteristics

## Solution Introduction

These instruments can be characterized by several performance metrics, but most of these metrics are the same as are relevant to predecessor MC-ICPMS instruments having multiple Faraday cups and a single pulse counting detector. We thus focus on just a few performance metrics unique to the new detector formats. These metrics include SEM gain stability and the precision of isotope ratio measurements made using combinations of full size SEM detectors and so-called “compact discrete dynode” detectors, and for uranium measurements, the ability to perform internal corrections for UH+ interferences, e.g., 235UH+ interference with 236U+.

The sensitivity of the NeptunePlus for uranium is approximately 80 V/ppm with an aqueous sample flow rate of 50 µL min−1 and high performance cones. This can be increased dramatically to 1250 V/ppm with a desolvating nebulizer for sample introduction and the use of Thermo’s “Jet/X” cones. The instrument blank signal on the faraday cups is usually less than 0.01 mV with a 4 s integration time. The uncertainty for measurement of an isotopically natural 235U/238U ratio for a 20 pg U/mL solution is typically 0.1 – 0.2% with the desolvator-Jet-X cones configuration, a flow rate of 50 µL min−1, a 4 s integration time per observation and 50 or more observations.

Figure 3 shows the stability of the NeptunePlus based on measurement of the 238U/235U ratio in a uranium standard (NBL U030, ~3% 235U). The measured ratio is stable over a period of 1 hour to 0.011% (1-sigma). Uranium measurements on the SEM/CDD detectors is impressive even for very small signals, e.g., the precision measured for NBL U015 235U/238U ratio was 0.1% at a 235U signal level of 10K cps.

Cross-talk between the electron multiplier detectors was a potential concern, but has been shown to be less than 0.1ppm (that is, less than 0.1 cps registered on adjacent SEMs or CDDs when a beam of ~1E6 cps impinges on a given EM detector). Abundance sensitivity is another key metric to characterize how well very small isotopic abundances can be measured. The “Plus” array has two retarding potential quadrupole (RPQ) equipped SEM detectors which enhance the abundance sensitivity for those two SEM detection channels by a factor of 10, from ~5ppm without the RPQ to ~0.5ppm with the RPQ operating, both measured as the 237/238 ratio in isotopically natural uranium.

The new “jet” cones used in the Neptune*Plus*TM instrument yield sensitivity in the range of 2% for uranium, i.e., 2 counts are registered for every 100 atoms removed from the solution.

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 3. Uranium isotope ratio stability test. Measure U030 on Faradays for one hour, 8 sec integrations, ~7 Volts 238U+; measured stability is 0.0114% (1-sigma). |

## Electrochemically Modulated Separations (EMS)

The new detector arrays and greater sample utilization efficiency of these instruments allow for ultra-trace measurements that were not practical with other instruments. With the aid of online electrochemical separations, we have used the NeptunePlus to make ultra-trace plutonium measurments.

Isolating U from Pu is generally regarded as essential for low level Pu analysis by mass spectrometry, either because of abundance sensitivity issues since U concentration is much greater than Pu in most samples, or for ICP-MS the formation of the 238U1H+ ion hinders the detection of 239Pu+. The conventional laboratory approach to the separation and isolation of these two elements from a sample matrix is usually based on ion exchange chromatography. Several different methods have been summarized in review articles.[1-4] In general ion exchange separation is usually a complex, multistep, time consuming process taking hours or even days, requiring samples to be prepared off-line prior to analysis hindering sample throughput.

EMS exploits the potential dependent and opposite affinities that U and Pu ions display in weak (0.1-5 %) nitric acid solutions towards the anodized surface of a glassy carbon electrode.. U accumulates on the electrode when the applied potential is -0.2 V (relative to an Ag/AgCl reference electrode), while Pu is either stripped from the electrode or displays no tendency to co-accumulate with U. When a positive potential +1.0 V is applied, U and Pu display the opposite behavior, U strips from the electrode while Pu accumulates on the surface. The whole process takes place in weak nitric acid, without the addition of any other chemicals, in a matrix that is ideally suited for direct ICP-MS sample introduction. The accumulation and stripping process is easily controlled, relatively fast and selective. In addition as EMS accumulates the target analyte from the sample solution the method offers the benefits of analyte pre-concentration that enhances low-level detection capabilities. Employing a single working electrode allows only one analyte to be accumulated from a sample per injection, but with dual working electrodes both U and Pu can be simultaneously isolated and then sequential stripped, simply by changing the voltages applied to the cell electrodes.

Figure 4 illustrates U and Pu behavior in a dual working electrode cell operated to selectively isolate both elements from a sample and then strip each selectively for analysis.

|  |
| --- |
| **Figure 3** |
| Figure 4. Simultaneous U and Pu isolation followed by sequential stripping from a dual working-electrode EMS cell. |

The design of the flow-through EMS cell used for U-Pu ICP-MS analysis is based on a modified commercial design.[5] The main modifications involved replacing the stainless steel components contacting the sample solution with the inert polymer PolyEther Ether Ketone (PEEK) and the platinum counter electrode with rhodium to eliminate the possible formation of platinum-argides in ICP-MS that overlap with uranium isotopes. Figure 5 highlights the layout of the system with the EMS cell operating in line to the ICP-MS for fixed volume, sequential sample introduction using a 6-port injection valve. The analysis steps include:

1. Set cell electrode potential to strip the target analyte, U or Pu.
2. Load the sample into the fixed volume injection loop.
3. Set the electrode potential to accumulate the target analyte, U or Pu.
4. Switch the injection valve in-line to the EMS cell.
5. Push the sample through the EMS cell using 2% HNO3 acid carrier.
6. Once the entire sample has been passed through the cell, switch the injection valve back to load.
7. This allows the EMS cell to be rinsed clean of the sample using the carrier.
8. Change the cell potential to strip the target analyte, U or Pu, for analysis.
9. Repeat steps 1-8 for the next sample.

|  |
| --- |
| **Figure 4** |
| Figure 5. Flow-through EMS system layout. |

In the configuration highlighted in Figure 5 the ICP-MS is always on-line to the EMS cell. This allows for constant monitoring of the separation-isolation process, although the sample could be directed to waste once processed if ICP-MS contamination was an issue. Coupled to a sensitive ICP-MS this approach requires very small samples, e.g., 25-50 µl, to make U or Pu detection or isotopic measurements at femtogram or attogram levels. Typical analysis times are 15 minutes for analyte accumulation, 10 minutes for the cell rinse, while the sample strip takes 1-2 seconds. By employing the shortest length possible of micro-bore tubing between the EMS cell and the sample introduction system of the ICP-MS the delay between analyte stripping and ICP-MS detection is <10 seconds. As both U and Pu are stripped rapidly back into a small carrier solution volume ~1 µl, the analyte pre-concentration factor is large and the precise timing of the transient strip peak ensures reliable detection, even when analyte concentrations are very low.

The EMS separation technique has been applied directly to solutions (leached or total HF dissolution) prepared from ashed swipes. The only preparation step required is to dilute the HNO3 acid concentration to ~2%. Both U and Pu could be isolated and analyzed in this matrix in less than 60 minutes using a single working electrode cell, with the potential for the dual working electrode cell to reduce isolation and analysis time for both U and Pu even further to <40 minutes. This short isolation and analysis time allows EMS to greatly improve the thru-put of swipe analysis without sacrificing sensitive detection. Figure 6a illustrates the low level Pu detection capabilities of EMS when coupled to a NeptunePlus, where 2.6 attograms or just 6600 atoms 239Pu produced a readily detectable strip peak in an ashed swipe matrix. The volume of sample injected was just 25 µl, while Figure 6b illustrates the corresponding behavior of the un-spiked ashed swipe blank, illustrating no discernible response for 239Pu. Even the presence of very high levels of U does not prevent reliable 239Pu measurement as the accumulation process is very selective as illustrated in Figure 7, where 26 attograms of 239Pu was readily detected in the presence of 1.25ng of U. A tiny amount of U appears to co-strip with the Pu but the equivalent UH+ contribution based on the hydride formation rate is <2cps.. The entire separation and detection was accomplished in just 25 minutes.

EMS offers a rapid separation of U and Pu for ICP-MS analysis, much faster than ion exchange methods. It is readily operated in-line to an ICP-MS as the whole separation process takes place in 2% HNO3 and is well suited to small samples 25-50 µl volume without compromising detection limits. Ion detection efficiencies for EMS-ICP-MS have been estimated to be ~1% for U and ~0.5% for Pu, where the slower washout of Pu is the main cause of its lower efficiency. Even shorter separation times could be accomplished using the dual working electrode EMS cell has been operationally proven, but requires some further development to reach the efficiency and selectively displayed by single working electrode cell.

|  |
| --- |
| a) b) |
| Figure 6. a) EMS MC-ICP-MS detection of 2.6 attograms (~6600 atoms) of 239Pu and 7.5 femtograms of 244Pu spiked into a leached ashed swipe matrix. b) 239Pu background obtained by EMS MC-ICP-MS from a blank leached ashed swipe solution matrix. |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 7. Detection of 26 attograms of 239Pu (~6.5x104 atoms) in the presence of 1.25 nanograms of 238U by in-line EMS U-Pu separation. |

## Laser Ablation Sampling

While modern MC-ICPMS instruments were designed for traditional nebulized liquid sample introduction, laser ablation sample introduction adds significant capability to these instruments, namely, direct solid sample introduction. For example, Lloyd et. al. used laser ablation to measure uranium isotope ratios of particulates collected around a uranium processing facility.[6] More recently, Bellucci et. al. laser sampled “Trinitite”, post-detonation debris from the first nuclear explosion, to measure uranium[7] and lead[8] isotope ratios. Kaiyun et. al. also used laser ablation to determine lead isotope ratios in a wide range of glass reference materials.[9] Schuessler et. al. employed fs-laser ablation to measure silicon isotope ratios in geologic samples[10] and Cagno et. al. used laser ablation to look at plutonium isotope ratios in environmental samples.[11] Finally, Resano et. al. coupled fs-laser ablation with MC-ICPMS to research disease identification via copper isotope ratios in dried urine.[12] These reports listed above are only a small sample of the application space made available by coupling a laser ablation system to a multicollector mass spectrometer.

Our own research group has employed fs-laser ablation coupled to the uranium detector array of a NeptunePlus ICPMS to extensively examine the uranium isotope values for NIST 61x (x=0, 2, 4, 6) glasses. Uranium isotopic ratios were collected by rastering the laser across the surface of the NIST glass wafers for ca. 60 seconds. The integrated signal for each raster is corrected against isotopic standards that were introduced as nebulized solutions before and after the glass analysis. Details of the experimental parameters are published elsewhere.[13, 14] The results of this research make an excellent case study to illustrate the advantages and limitations of laser ablation coupled to MC-ICPMS instruments.

The NIST 61x series of glasses are widely used as elemental standards for laser ablation. These glasses were made by diluting a spike of 61 elements in bulk glass material to make four glasses ranging in nominal concentration from 500 to 0.05 μg/g, respectively for NIST 610 to NIST 616. Most of the elements in these glasses are isotopically natural,[15] with uranium as the clear exception.[13] The uranium spike was isotopically depleted and thus, when mixed with the natural uranium in the matrix glass, resulted in each NIST glass having a unique isotopic content. Figure 8 shows this isotope mixing on a three-isotope plot (236U/238U plotted against 235U/238U). It is clear from the Figure that NIST 610 has the highest 236U content and as the spike becomes more dilute in the matrix glass, the 236U content moves towards the expected value of zero for natural uranium.

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 8. Three isotope plot of uranium (236U/238U vs 235U/238U) in NIST 61x glasses showing two component mixing between the depleted uranium spike and isotopically natural uranium in the matrix glass. |

What is not visualized in the data in Figure 8 is the impact of PtAr+ on uranium isotope ratio measurements. Since the NIST glasses were prepared in platinum melting crucibles[16] each glass has Pt contamination that is remarkably inhomogeneous and mixes with the argon in the ICP to interfere with the uranium signals. For the minor isotopes of lowest concentration glass, this interference produces a signal ca. 10 times larger than expected for uranium alone. The reason the minor isotopes ratios still fall on the expected mixing line, i.e. no evidence of PtAr+ interference, is the high resolving power of the NeptunePlus instrument. Using the medium resolution slits, providing a resolving power of ca. 4000 (M/M), is sufficient to mass resolve the polyatomic interference from the actual atomic U+ signal. Using the platinum inhomogeneity, it is possible to make a correction and measure the uranium in low resolution, but the accuracy and precision is dramatically improved with the interference removed. For example, the 234U/238U ratio for NIST 616 was measured at 49±2 ppm in low resolution and 44.3±0.6 ppm in medium resolution both compared with a value of 43.1±1.4 ppm obtained from dissolving NIST 616 glass, separating the uranium, and measuring ratios with thermal ionization mass spectroscopy. The lower uncertainty for the laser ablation value compared with the TIMS value is likely an artifact of the number of analyses. Hundreds of individual ablation tracks were averaged over multiple days to get the LA value whereas the TIMS value was based on a single solution loaded filament.

While medium resolution allows for the partial mass resolution of platinum argides from uranium, it also reduces the sensitivity due to significantly lower transmission of ions by the narrower slits required. Given the low concentration of uranium in NIST 616 and the signal loss due to the requirement of medium resolution, the isotopic ratios for this glass are only measureable because of the excellent beginning sensitivity of the NeptunePlus ICPMS. For solution introduction into the NeptunePlus the sample utilization efficiency (SUE), atoms in the nebulized solution compared to ions detected, has been measured at ca. 2%.[17] Typical quadrupole SUE is one to two orders of magnitude lower. The uranium concentration in NIST 616 is certified at 0.0721 ppm putting the 236U content at 0.7 ppt. At this concentration, each minute long raster would return tens of counts of 236U, well above the ca. 1 cpm dark noise of the detector. With typical “quadrupole sensitivity”, the 236U would have been unquantifiable above the noise.

The other major advantages to coupling fs-LA with MC-ICPMS for isotopic analysis are rapid analysis and high spatial resolution. Depending on the number of replicates, repeatedly rastering (10-30 lines) across each glass wafer with bracketing standards requires 4-6 hours or analysis time. Dissolving the glasses followed by chemical separation of uranium took a couple of days followed by a similar analysis time. In addition, the uranium chemical separation we employed was inadequate to completely separate the platinum, thus still requiring medium resolution for ICPMS analysis (although this was not a problem for TIMS). The high spatial resolution for fs-LA-MC-ICPMS comes from the small laser spot size. The diameter or width of the ablated features in our fs-LA system can be as small as 10 microns providing the ability to isotopically map sample surfaces. Figure 9 shows the results of rastering the laser across the surface of a NIST 616 wafer onto which six 20-30 micron NIST 610 particles were placed. These isotope intensity maps clearly show the location of each uranium particle. The apparent elongation of the images is due to washout of the LA gas cell.

For samples such as NIST glass, where the analyte of interest is only a fraction of a percent by mass, the transient signals that result from ablation result in transient signals that are easily measured on the proper detectors. However, when more concentrated samples are ablated the ablation aerosol can present an unruly transient signal that poses a problem for mass spectrometer detectors.

Figure 10 plots 234U and 235U signals measured on secondary electron multiplier (SEM) detectors following ablation of a concentrated uranium sample (“Schoepite” mineral particle). The 234U signal is scaled by the isotopic abundance to match the 235U signal. For most of the transient signal the two isotopes scale together, however at the large spike at ca. 380 s the 235U signal does not track the 234U. This spike is an example of an unruly transient signal that cannot be accurately measured with an SEM detector. The suspected cause of the unruly transient signals is that a larger aerosol particle traveling through the ICP results in a high count rate over a very short time window. Consequently, even though the expected count rate was about 100,000 cps the 235U ions arrived too close together to be properly counted with the SEM. This phenomenon has also been observed by researchers studying single particle detection via ICPMS. “Even small aerosol particles (1000 total counts) can produce transient signals with peak count rates of 107 – outside the typical operating range of SEM detectors.”[18] These unruly transient signals are a major weakness for LA-MC-ICPMS analysis and alternate detection strategies need to be developed to handle these types of signals.

In spite of drawback of occasional unruly transient signals, fs-LA-MC-ICPMS has proven to be a powerful tool for spatially resolved isotopic analysis.

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Figure 9. Uranium intensity maps for uranium isotopes showing the location of six 20-20 micron NIST 610 particles on top of a NIST 616 background. |

|  |
| --- |
| C:\Users\duff594\Documents\Projects\fs-LA-MC-ICP-MS\Figures\Spike2_2012-07-30.jpg |
| Figure 10. 235U and 234U (scaled by isotope abundance to match 235U) signals as a function of time. The 235U does not track the 234U signal across the largest transient spike. |

# Conclusion

A brief description was provided for two instruments in a new class of MC-ICPMS, instruments with custom, complex detector arrays purpose built for specialized analyses such as isotopic characterization of low level actinides. These instruments mark an important new step in the development of ICPMS, namely, the design of detector arrays where every isotope of interest is “collected” simultaneously, using the best available detector (and abundance filtering). With future improvements in SUE and Faraday Cup sensitivity, further combinations of Faradays, CDDs, and full size SEMs will likely occur. More work is needed to fully characterize the performance of these instruments, e.g., to measure precision and overall uncertainty as functions of uranium isotopic composition and sample amount available for analysis.
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