Progress of Indirect Drive Inertial Confinement Fusion in the US



This work represents a large cross laboratory team effort!

S. H. Batha,¹ L. R. Benedetti,² D. Bennett,² S. Bhandarkar,² L. F. Berzak Hopkins,² J. Biener,² M. M. Biener², R Bionta,² E. Bond,² D. Bradley,² P. A. Bradely,¹ T. Braun,² D. A. Callahan,²J. Caggiano,² T. Cardenas,¹ C. Cerjan,² B. Cagadas,²D. Clark,² C. Castro,² W. S. Daughton,¹ E. L. Dewald,² T. Döppner,² L. Divol,² E. S. Dodd,¹ R. Dylla-Spears,² M. Eckart,² D. Edgell,⁴ M. Farrell,³ J. Field,² F. Fierro,¹ D. N. Fittinghoff,² M. Gatu Johnson,⁵ S. Johnson,² G. Grim,² N. Guler,¹ S. Haan,² B. M. Haines,¹ C. E. Hamilton,¹ A. V. Hamza,² E P. Hartouni,² B. Haines,¹ R. Hatarik,² K. Henderson,¹ H.W. Herrmann,¹ D. Hinkel,² D. Ho,² M. Hohenberger,² D. Hoover,³ H. Huang,³ M. L. Hoppe,³ O. A. Hurricane,² N. Izumi,² O. S. Jones,² S. Khan,² B. J. Kozioziemski,² C. Kong,² J. Kroll,² G. A. Kyrala,¹ R. J. Leeper,¹ S. LePape,² E. Loomis,¹ T. Ma, ² A. J. Mackinnon,² A. G. MacPhee,² S. MacLaren,² L. Masse,² J. McNaney,² N. B. Meezan,² J. F. Merrill,¹ E. C. Merritt,¹ J. L. Milovich,² D. S. Montgomery,¹ J. Moody,² A. Nikroo,² J. Oertel,¹ R. E. Olson,¹ A. Pak,² S. Palaniyappan,¹ P. Patel,² B. M. Patterson,¹ T. S. Perry,¹ R. R. Peterson,¹ E. Piceno,² J. E. Ralph,² R. B. Randolph,¹ N. Rice,³H. F. Robey,² J. S. Ross,² J. R. Rygg,⁴ M. R. Sacks,¹ J. Sauppe,¹ J. Salmonson,² D. Sayre,² J. D. Sater,² J. Sauppe, M. Schneider,² M. Schoff,³ D. W. Schmidt,¹ S, Sepke,² R. Seugling,² R. C. Shah,⁴M Stadermann,² W. Stoeffl,² D. J. Strozzi,² R. Tipton,² C. Thomas,² RPJ Town,² P. L. Volegov,¹ C.Walters,² M. Wang,² C. Wilde, ¹ C. Wilson,¹ E Woerner,² C. Yeamans,² S. A. Yi,¹ B. Yoxall,² A. B. Zylstra,¹ J. Kilkenny,² O. L. Landen, ² W. Hsing, ² and M. J. Edwards²

¹Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA

²Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA

³General Atomics, San Diego, CA, USA

⁴Laboratory for Laser Energetics, Rochester, NY, USA

⁵Massechusettes Institute of Technology, Boston, MA, USA



Schafer



2/9/16 | 2



SENERAL ATOMICS

We've increased the energy delivery to the hot-spot by ~5x, stagnation pressures by ~3x, and fusion yields by ~21x since the National Ignition Campaign which ended in 2012

- Low gas filled hohlraums with low LPI use x ray drive more effectively: improved symmetry & more energy coupled to the hot spot
- 3D effects still significantly degrading performance: symmetry and hydroinstability
- We plan to use our advances in understanding to optimize performance both in implosion quality and increased scale





Low adiabat ($\alpha_{if} \sim 1.6$) implosions in high gas-fill hohlraums resulted in implosions far from the ignition regime



- Optimized high yield designs
- First attempts at ignition scale targets

PHIE

No validation data





Mid-adiabat ($\alpha_{if} \sim 1.8-2.8$) implosions approached the ignition regime, but symmetry control limited with high (and no) gas-fills



Low gas-fill hohlraum designs brought the ICF program closer to the ignition regime than any previous designs







🔸 GENERAL ATOMICS

Phir

Moving forward both implosion quality and increasing capsule size will be used to improve performance



Quality axis represents progress towards 1D like implosions for fixed laser conditions





Hydro-scaling axis simply increases size of capsule without improvements in quality

Hydrodynamic scaling





While symmetry control has much improved with low gas filled hohlraums, residual asymmetries still exists

Capsule shape measurements using different techniques under similar conditions





A comprehensive understanding of the shape of both the hot spot and cold fuel are needed



Phir

An empirical understanding consistent with simulations has been developed for low gas fill hohlraums¹





¹Callahan, D. A.; Hurricane, O. A.; Ralph, J. E.; et al. Exploring the limits of case-to-capsule ratio, pulse length, and picket energy for symmetric hohlraum drive on the National Ignition Facility Laser," Phys Plasmas 25, 056305 (2017)

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

GENERAL ATOMICS



Phir

Schafer

HDC capsule experiments demonstrated control of symmetry throughout the implosion



L. Divol, A. Pak, L. F. Berzak Hopkins, S. Le Pape, N. B. Meezan, Symmetry control of an indirectly driven highdensity-carbon implosion at high convergence and high velocity. *Physics of Plasmas* **24**, 056309 (2017).



GENERAL ATOMICS

PHIE

Examination of hot spot versus laser energy shows more efficient coupling for sub scale HDC capsules





PHIE

Efforts are underway to address remaining residual asymmetries

- Random P1 and semi-random m1 asymmetries persist
- Bulk hotspot flow (nToF), low fuel rr regions (FNADs and nToF) and fluence compensated downscattered neutron images all correlate in direction of mode 1
- Peak power laser imbalances
- SBS variations
- Bulk flow velocity sensitivity to drive mode 1 consistent with simulations, though much scatter
- Residual sensitivities to foot and Au bubble imbalances
- Maximum yield envelope follows expected bulk flow velocity sensitivity, and drops current yields up to 1.5x





Address hydrodynamic instabilities near stagnation

Feature driven mix



Feature driven Mix: Capsule support / fill tube, perforating shell, mix – needs to be better quantified



Native mix: Fuel appears less compressed than predicted by ~ 10-20% – not yet understood

Ice compression less than expected



Unknown preheat

Understand magnitude and impact of hydrodynamic instabilities near stagnation

Measurements show smaller fill tubes reduced perturbations and produced an increase in implosion performance







High Resolution Velocimetry (OHRV) measurements show velocity structure of the shock front released



HDC 10 Mbar*



 85 ± 11 m/s $\sim 4x$ expected



We want to maximize the energy available for heating and compressing the DT fuel

Three scaling Bigger Capsule in options current hohlraum **Bigger Capsule in** new hohlraum **Bigger Capsule in** bigger hohlraum

Increases in NIF power/energy



Advances in understanding optics damage and mitigation enable more energy/power

Demonstrated 2.15 MJ in July

Phir





Initial experiments with the I-raum¹ and variation of the gas fill pressure show promise



¹H. F. Robey, L. Berzak Hopkins, J. L. Milovich, N. B. Meezan, "The I-Raum: A new shaped hohlraum for improved inner beam propagation in indirectly-driven ICF implosions on the National Ignition Facility," Phys. Plasmas 25, 012711 (2018)



PHIE



SENERAL ATOMICS

Improving our modeling and uncertainty quantification requires advances in diagnostics capabilities

Area	Knowledge Gap	Diagnostic
Hot spot shape	Shape changes / fill tube jet	DIXI equatorial
	Time resolved Shape > 1e16n	Polar DIXI / Single line of sight
	Improved x-ray imaging	Penumbral imaging / KBO
	3D, n emitting shape	NIS-3 primary image
Fuel	Is fuel Isotropic	More real time NADS
	Fuel Shape vs time	Compton Imaging
	3D fuel shape	NIS-3 downscatter
Shell	Shape at Bangtime	γ imaging of 4.4MeV carbon
	Shape near Bangtime	CBI + SLOS
Hot Spot Te	Те	Penumbral + Edge filter / Conspec
	Te (t)	SPIDER with edge filters
	Te (r,t)	Toroidal / Wolter Crystal / SLOS
	Burn quenching	GCD + Dilation PMT
Hohlraum	Morphology of Au Bubble	Gated LEH imager
	Better Modeling of Hohlraums	Optical Thomson Scattering

Multi-LOS neutron imaging





LANL has invested in improvements to the xRage Eularian AMR code expanding simulations capabilities

3D simulations of the fill tube



Code comparison for fill tubes



xRAGE shows more HDC on axis and at slightly larger radius than HYDRA.

Multiple simulations tools provide insight using different computational algorithms helping to get to the underlying physics

Preview of IAEA FES 2020:

- Continued improvement in implosion performance
- Computational/empirical tools to quantify boundaries for low LPI
- Stagnation campaign to evaluate residual low/high mode asymmetries
- Next generation hohlraum designs to drive larger capsules
- Tools to assess hydro-instabilities at higher convergence
- Ranking of ablator performance
- Cross code comparisons with data to evaluate hydro stability

Stagnation



HYDR/

14115





KRAGE

We've increased the energy delivery to the hot-spot by ~5x, stagnation pressures by ~3x, and fusion yields by ~21x since the National Ignition Campaign which ended in 2012

- Low gas filled hohlraums with low LPI use x ray drive more effectively: improved symmetry & more energy coupled to the hot spot
- 3D effects still significantly degrading performance: symmetry and hydroinstability
- We plan to use our advances in understanding to optimize performance both in implosion quality and increased scale







2/9/16 | 22

Efforts are also underway to find alternatives to the tent

Alt-tent (tetra-cage from LEH)







NNS