Contribution ID: **603**   Type: **Oral**

L-H Transition Trigger Physics in ITER-Similar Plasmas with and without Applied n=3 Magnetic Perturbations

Wednesday, 24 October 2018 17:20 (20 minutes)

Quantitative proof is presented that the ion polarization current [1] dominates the evolution of the radial electric field E_r across the L-H transition, and needs to be properly taken into account in Ohm's law. This is an important step towards developing a physics-based reduced L-H transition model, which in addition needs to include at a minimum the poloidal momentum balance, and evolution equations for the turbulence intensity and the pressure gradient [2]. The observed isotope dependence of the threshold power P_{LH} in ITER-similar H, He and D plasmas [3] can then be qualitatively understood: in D and He, where the Reynolds stress [2-4] dominates the neoclassical bulk viscosity and thermal ion orbit loss, P_{LH} is relatively low. In hydrogen plasmas, where the Reynolds stress is marginal and comparable to the neoclassical bulk viscosity and thermal orbit loss current, P_{LH} is much higher. The observed increased transition time to full turbulence suppression in hydrogen plasmas can also be quantitatively understood using this model.

Resonant magnetic perturbations (RMP) may have to be applied before the L-H transition in ITER to safely suppress the first ELM. In ITER-similar plasmas in DIII-D the increase of P_{LH} with n=3 RMP is most pronounced with ECH, with P_{LH} increasing with decreasing plasma collisionality [$P_{LH}^{\sim}(nu\_star)^{\sim}-0.3$]. Two-fluid modeling with the M3D-C1 code [5] shows that the normalized L-mode radial density gradient a/L_n is toroidally modulated and periodically increased on the outboard midplane with applied RMP. Non-axisymmetric modifications with RMP include increased local long-wavelength turbulence (measured via BES) and reduction of the E_r well and ExB shear. We conjecture that the increase in threshold power with RMP results from locally enhanced instability drive (however without simultaneously increased Reynolds stress) and reduced ExB shear.

[1] K. Itoh, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 36 A307-A318 (1994).

[2] K. Miki, P.H. Diamond et al., Phys. Plasmas 19, 092306 (2012).

[3] Z.Yan et al. Nucl. Fusion, 57, 126015 (2017).

[4] L. Schmitz, Proc. 26th IAEA Fusion Energy Conf., Oct.17-22, 2016, Kyoto, Japan, paper EX-C P571.

[5] R.S. Wilcox et al. Nucl. Fusion 57 116003 (2017).

Country or International Organization

United States of America

Paper Number

EX/4-2

Primary author: Dr SCHMITZ, Lothar (University of California-Los Angeles)

Co-authors: Dr MARINONI, Alessandro (PSFC, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA); Dr PETTY, C. Craig (General Atomics); Dr PAZ-SOLDAN, Carlos (General Atomics); Dr MCKEE, George R. (University of Wisconsin-Madison); Dr ZENG, Lei (University of California Los Angeles, PO Box 957099, Los Angeles, CA 90095-7099); KRIETE, Matt (University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1500 Engineering Dr., Madison, WI 53706, USA); Dr GOHIL, Punit (General Atomics); WILCOX, Robert (Oak Ridge National Laboratory); Dr RHODES, Terry (UCLA); YAN, Zheng (University of Wisconsin- Madison)

Presenter: Dr SCHMITZ, Lothar (University of California-Los Angeles)

Session Classification: EX/4-TH/2 H-Mode & Pedestal