# Overview of Research Results from the Alcator C-Mod Tokamak\*

Earl Marmar on behalf of the Alcator C-Mod Team MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center and Collaborating Institutions

> OV/2-4, 27<sup>th</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Ahmedabad, India, October 22, 2018

\*Supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Fusion Energy Sciences



# Compact, High B Tokamak Physics

- C-Mod Completed Operations in 2016
  - 23 highly productive years
  - Many groundbreaking physics results
  - Analysis ongoing

- Pointing to a high field path for future
  - High Temperature, High Field Superconductors enable compact burning plasma and reactor concepts









Compact/High B: R=0.67m; a=0.22m; κ≤1.8; **B**₀≤8T

- All RF Auxiliary Heating & Current Drive
  - ICRF:  $P \le 6.5$  MW, 50 MHz to 80 MHz
  - LHCD: P≤1.5MW, 4.6 GHz

E. Marmar, IAEA FEC 2018 OV/2-4

- High Power Density:  $PB/R \sim 100 \text{ MW-T/m}$ ,  $\bullet$  $q_{II}$ ~3 GW/m<sup>2</sup>
- High-Z Plasma Facing Components
  - Vertical plate divertor

[1]Snyder, et al., IAEA FEC 2018 EX/2-4 3





# ELM Suppressed I-mode High Energy Confinement Regime: Operating Window Widens at High B

- I-mode, primarily studied on C-Mod, has many attractive features:
  - High energy confinement, low particle/impurity confinement
  - Weak confinement degradation with power
  - No ELMs to challenge the divertor
- May be particularly attractive for the high B approach to reactors
  - H-mode threshold increases with B, suppressing the I- to H- transition at high power
- Some of the remaining challenges
  - Power handling and robustness to detachment
  - Scaling to burning plasma conditions

E. Marmar, IAEA FEC 2018 OV/2-4



Also see Wilks, et al., IAEA FEC 2018, EX/P6-19; Happel, et al., EX/2-3



## Super H-Mode: C-Mod Extends Validation of Predictions to ITER Relevant Pedestal Pressure, in All-Metal Machine<sup>[1]</sup>

- Low  $v^*$ , high temperature peelinglimited pedestal region predicted by theory<sup>[2]</sup>, observed on DIII-D<sup>[3]</sup>
- Explored on C-Mod by transitioning to H-mode from low density, low impurity content I-mode target
- Excellent agreement between EPED predictions and experiment
  - Extended pedestal pressures to 80 kPa on final day of C-Mod operation;  $T_e$ -ped = 2 keV

[2]Snyder, et al., Nucl. Fusion 2015 [3]Solomon, et al., Phys. Plasmas 2016

E. Marmar, IAEA FEC 2018 OV/2-4



[1]Hughes, et al., Nucl. Fusion 2018; Snyder, et al., IAEA FEC 2018, EX/2-4





# Non-Inductive Lower Hybrid Current Drive is Challenging at High Density

- C-Mod results<sup>[1]</sup> show anomalously low current drive efficiency and sharply decreased production of fast electrons at high density, high Greenwald fraction (f<sub>G</sub>)
- Increasing  $I_p$  at fixed density (and thus lowering  $f_G$ ) reduces the anomaly
  - Current drive efficiency matches model



[1]Wallace, et al., Phys. Plasmas 2010



# Non-Inductive Lower Hybrid Current Drive is Challenging at High Density

- C-Mod results<sup>[1]</sup> show anomalously low current drive efficiency and sharply decreased production of fast electrons at high density/high Greenwald fraction (f<sub>G</sub>)
- Increasing I<sub>p</sub> at fixed density (and thus lowering  $f_{G}$ ) reduces the anomaly
- Directly correlates with reduction in edge turbulence driven particle flux at low  $f_{c}$

[1]Wallace, et al., Phys. Plasmas 2010



Baek, et al., IAEA FEC 2018, EX/P6-28



# Non-Inductive Lower Hybrid Current Drive is Challenging at High Density

- C-Mod results<sup>[1]</sup> show anomalously low current drive efficiency and sharply decreased production of fast electrons at high density/high Greenwald fraction ( $f_G$ )
- Increasing  $I_p$  at fixed density (and thus lowering  $f_G$ ) reduces the anomaly
- Directly correlates with reduction in edge turbulence driven particle flux at low  $f_G^{[2]}$

[1]Wallace, et al., Phys. Plasmas 2010

E. Marmar, IAEA FEC 2018 OV/2-4



[2] LaBombard, et al., Phys. Plasmas 2008



## **Direct Measurement of Lower Hybrid Wave Electric** Field Confirms Scattering near the Outboard Midplane<sup>[1]</sup>



Measurements of E<sub>LH</sub> using Polarization Spectroscopy of Stark-split D<sub>6</sub> spectrum confirm that LH waves are not being absorbed in the SOL



- The waves are scattered near outboard midplane
- scattering due to density fluctuations

E. Marmar, IAEA FEC 2018 OV/2-4

[1]Martin, et al., IAEA FEC 2018, EX/8-2



### SOL density fluctuations predict LH wave scattering

# Full-wave 3D modeling consistent with

Low Greenwald Fraction is not attractive for Power Reactors Possible Solution: High Field Side Launch





[1]Smick, et al., Nucl. Fusion 2013

E. Marmar, IAEA FEC 2018 OV/2-4

[2]Bonoli, et al., Nucl. Fusion, 2018 [3]Wukitch, et al., EPJ Web of Conf. 2017; Wallace et al., IAEA FEC 2018 FIP/3-3





# Wave accessibility and damping also expected to improved with HFS

Plan to test on DIII-D<sup>[3]</sup>



### C-Mod Results Show that "Eich" Scaling<sup>[1]</sup> for SOL Power Width Continues to the ITER Poloidal Field

 $\lambda_{\rm q}$  database Extended with Operation at 8 Tesla<sup>[2]</sup>



E. Marmar, IAEA FEC 2018 OV/2-4

[2]Brunner, et al., Nucl. Fusion 2018; Brunner, et al., IAEA FEC 2018, EX/P6-9 11





### Implies ITER will have $\lambda_{a}$ =0.5 mm

[1]Eich, et al., Nucl. Fusion 2013

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Original $\lambda_q$} \mbox{Scaling Developed for $H$-mode} \\ \mbox{C-Mod Results}^{[1]} \mbox{show $\lambda_q$} \propto \mbox{Pressure}^{-1/2} \mbox{ for $L$-mode, $I$-mode and $H$-mode} \\ \end{array}$ 

Each confinement regime gives  $\lambda_q \propto 1/B_p$ , but with different constants of proportionality  $\lambda_{q,cn}$  [mm]



Plasma Pressure unifies all the C-Mod results

 $\lambda_{q,cn}$  [mm]











## **Divertor Solutions Needed For Reactor Regimes**

- Current experiments (and ITER) push to the limits of conventional vertical plate divertor
- New solutions will be needed for the ~5x
  bigger challenge anticipated in reactors





Advanced Divertor Concepts are being Developed: Must be Tested at Ultra-High Power Density

Modeling shows great promise for the long-leg X-point target concept<sup>[1]</sup>

ADX is a DTT concept that can test many advanced divertor configurations<sup>[2]</sup>

 $P_{rad}$  and  $T_e$  contours with  $P_{sol}$  = 6 MW (PB/R = 70 MW-T/m)





[1]Umansky, et al., IAEA-FEC 2018 (TH/7-2) [2]LaBombard, et al., Nuclear Fusion 2015





[1]Umansky, et al., IAEA-FEC 2018 (TH/7-2) [2] Kuang, et al., Fus. Eng. Des. 2018



# REBCO High Temperature/High Field Superconductor: Game-Changer for High B/Compact Fusion Energy Path

- Conventional superconductor (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn) limits maximum on-axis B to about 5 tesla (R/a  $\sim$  3)
- Development of High-Temp Superconductors (HTS) opens the window for increased B
  - Field limit is no longer B at the coil, but engineering stresses instead
  - Higher T (~20 K) operation also has engineering advantages, and may allow for jointed coils





### SPARC: Concept for Compact, High-Field Burning **Illii PSFC** Plasma Facility

## **SPARC technical objectives:**

- Burn D-T fuel
- Q > 2 (with headroom)
- $P_{fusion} > 50MW$
- Pulsed with 10s flattop burn (about  $2 \mathrm{X} \tau_{\mathrm{CR}}$
- ~1,000 D-T pulses, >10,000 D-D full-power pulses
- ~1 hr D-T pulse repetition rate
- ~15 minutes between D-D shots

# Size of DIII-D/ASDEX-U, $B_0 = 12$ Tesla



**Desired Schedule:** 3 yrs R&D (already started) + 4 yrs construction





# **IVENTIFY and SET UP:** The H<sub>98</sub>=1 Confinement Projection Puts SPARC within the Footprint of the Existing Tokamak Database





# Compact High Field Pilot Plant Concept (ARC)<sup>[1]</sup> With Advanced Divertor<sup>[2]</sup>

- Recent design concept for a compact, high field, reactor using HTS magnets
  - incorporates long-leg X-point target divertor for power handling
- Joints in TF coil could dramatically ease maintainability
- About the size of JET, but at  $B_0 = 9.2 \text{ T}$ 
  - $-P_{fusion} \sim 525 \text{ MW}$
  - $P_{electric} \sim 200 \text{ MW}$



E. Marmar, IAEA FEC 2018 OV/2-4

[1]Sorbom, et al., Fus. Eng. Des. 2015 [2]Kuang, et al., Fus. Eng. Des. 2018





### Magnet and Fusion Technology, Burning Plasma





### **IIIII PSFC** Related Presentations at 2018 IAEA FEC

### **Tuesday Morning**

E. Tolman: Conceptual design study for heat exhaust management in the ARC fusion pilot plant, FIP/P1-22 •

### Wednesday Morning

P.B. Snyder: High Fusion Performance in Super H-Mode Experiments on Alcator C-Mod and DIII-D, EX/2-4

### Wednesday Afternoon

T. Tala: Core Density Peaking Experiments in JET, DIII-D and C-Mod in Various Operational Scenarios Driven by Fuelling or Transport, EX/4-4

### **Thursday Afternoon**

- D. Brunner/M.V. Umansky: Extending the Boundary Heat Flux Width Database to 1.3 Tesla Poloidal Magnetic Field in the ۲ Alcator C-Mod Tokamak, EX/P6-9
- T.M. Wilks: Access Requirements for Stationary ELM-Suppressed Pedestals in DIII-D and C-Mod Plasmas, EX/P6-19 •
- R.S. Granetz: Machine Learning for Disruption Warning on Alcator C-Mod, DIII-D, and EAST Tokamaks, EX/P6-20 ۲
- S.G. Baek: Observation of Efficient Lower Hybrid Current Drive at High Density on Alcator C-Mod, EX/P6-28  $\bullet$

### **Friday Morning**

M.R.K. Wigram: Performance assessment of tightly-baffled long-leg divertor geometries in the ARC reactor concept, TH/P7-20

### **Friday Afternoon**

- E.H. Martin/G.M. Wallace: Experimental Evidence of Lower Hybrid Wave Scattering in Alcator C-Mod Due to Scrape Off Layer  $\bullet$ Density Fluctuations, EX/8-2
- M.V. Umansky: Study of Passively Stable, Fully-Detached Divertor Plasma Regimes Attained in Innovative Long-Legged • Divertor Configurations, TH/7-2
- M.G. Dunne: Impact of Impurity Seeding on Pedestal Structure in ASDEX Upgrade and Alcator C-Mod, EX/P8-2  $\bullet$

