

Implications of JET-ILW L-H Transition Studies for ITER

27th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference Gandhinagar, India – October 22-27, 2018

Presented by

J.C. Hillesheim







This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

Contributors



J.C. Hillesheim¹ E. Delabie², E. Solano³, C.F. Maggi¹, H. Meyer¹, E. Belonohy^{4,1}, I. Carvalho⁵, E. de la Luna³, A. Drenik⁶, M. Gelfusa⁷, C. Giroud¹, J. Hobirk⁶, A.E. Hubbard⁸, A. Kappatou⁶, H.T. Kim⁹, A. Huber¹⁰, E. Lerche¹¹, B. Lomanowski¹², M. Mantsinen^{13,14}, S. Menmuir¹, I. Nunes⁵, E. Peluso⁷, F. Rimini¹, P.A. Schneider⁶, M. Stamp¹, G. Verdoolaege^{15,11}, P., Vincenzi¹⁶, C. Bourdelle¹⁷, A. Nielsen¹⁸, J.J. Rasmussen¹⁸, and JET Contributors^{*}

EUROfusion Consortium JET, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK

1 CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, UK, 2 Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA, 3 Laboratorio Nacional de Fusion, CIEMAT, Madrid, Spain, 4 JET Exploitation Unit, Abingdon, UK, 5 Instituto de Plasmas e Fusao Nuclear, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal, 6 Max-Planck-institut fur Plasmaphysik, Garching, Germany, 7 University of Rome, ``Tor Vergata'', Rome, Italy, 8 MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Cambridge, MA, USA, 9 EUROfusion PMU, Abingdon, UK, 10 Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany, 11 LPP-ERM/KMS, Association EUROFUSION-Belgian State, TEC partner, Brussels, Belgium, 12 Aalto University, Aalto, Finland, 13 Barcelona Supercomputing Center, Barcelona, Spain, 14 ICREA, Barcelona, Spain, 15 Ghent University, Belgium, 16 Consorzio RFX, Padova, Italy, 17 CEA,IRFM, Lez Durance, France, 18 Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark

*See the author list of X. Litaudon et al 2017 Nucl. Fusion 57 102001



Summary



- Extrapolating L-H transition threshold power remains a significant uncertainty for ITER
- Database of JET-ILW L-H power threshold measurements is consistent with the leading trends from multi-machine studies, but shows specific effects that may be important for ITER
- Experimental results and modelling for:
 - Effect of divertor configuration
 - Isotope effect
 - Ion and electron heat fluxes
 - Power threshold in mixed ion species plasmas



Measuring and quantifying P_{L-H}





- Slow power ramps of ICRH and/or NBI used to identify P_{L-H}
- Transition usually clear in D_{α} and interferometer density time traces
- Thermal loss power variation over an energy confinement time before transition used to determine uncertainty
 - Tomographic reconstruction completed for subset of data set, scales with direction estimate for P_{rad} , with a small offset

$$P_{\rm L} = P_{\rm OHM} + P_{\rm abs} - \mathrm{d}W/\mathrm{d}t - P_{\rm Floss}$$

 $P_{sep} = P_L - P_{rad}$

L-H Transition Database compiled including all JET-ILW P_{L-H} measurements





 $P_{L-H,2008} = 0.049 \ B_t^{0.80} < n_e >^{0.72} S^{0.94}$ Martin et al., Journal of Physics: Conference Series 123, 012033 (2008).

$$m_{eff} = 1, D$$

 $m_{eff} = 0.5, H$

(E)

About 200 L-H threshold measurements in JET-ILW •Hydrogen, deuterium, and mixed H/D plasmas •Nitrogen, neon, and helium seeding •B_t=1.8-3.4 T, I_p=1.7-3.2 MA, <ne>~1.5-5.0x10¹⁹ m⁻³ •HT, VT, and C/C divertor configurations •Mixes of ICRH and NBI heating Deuterium, high density branch data used for regression analysis to determine scaling law for JET-ILW





- Threshold reduced going from JET-C to JET-ILW for similar plasmas [Maggi et al., NF 54 023007 (2014)], but exponents for density and magnetic field are larger
- Introduction of *ad hoc* variable for strike point position necessary to capture divertor configuration effect



Using JET-ILW scaling law modifies interpretation of high performance plasmas





- Comparison of total input power to threshold power made over all deuterium pulses in JET-ILW pedestal database [Frassinetti EPS 2018]
- Note that in neither case is radiation subtracted, which would further reduce the power fraction
- Using JET scaling law changes high performance, high stored energy pulses from *P_{tot}/P_{L-H}* ~3-4 to ~1.5-2, mostly due to divertor configuration effect and stronger scaling to high density



Outline



- Experimental results and modelling for:
 - Effect of divertor configuration
 - Isotope effect
 - Ion and electron heat fluxes
 - Power threshold in mixed ion species plasmas



Langmuir probe measurements show difference in target temperature prior to L-H

transition



Distance from strike point mapped to OMP (m)

Measurements just prior to L-H

Chankin et al., PPCF 59, 045012 (2017) Moulton et al., NF 58, 096029 (2018)





probe measurements

JET





Edge fluid simulation with EDGE2D-EIRENE reproduce characteristics of Langmuir



probe measurements



Change in near-SOL Er could affect boundary condition for Er well, edge Er shear, and requirements for achieving shear suppression at L-H transition



Chankin et al., PPCF 59, 045012 (2017) Moulton et al., NF 58, 096029 (2018)

JET

Neutral pathways in divertor change flux tube averaged ionization source





due to neutrals from out. tar. that don't reflect off lower tiles due to neutrals from out. tar. that do reflect off lower tiles due to neutrals from inn. tar. that don't reflect off lower tiles due to neutrals from inn. tar. that do reflect off lower tiles due to neutrals from other locations

Flux tube averaged ionization source

Chankin et al., PPCF 59, 045012 (2017) Moulton et al., NF 58, 096029 (2018)

Outline



- Experimental results and modelling for:
 - Effect of divertor configuration
 - Isotope effect
 - Ion and electron heat fluxes
 - Power threshold in mixed ion species plasmas



Isotope effect in Corner and VT configuration consistent with $1/m_i$ scaling





- Isotope effect on L-H threshold consistent with inverse mass scaling seen on multiple experiments
 - Right et al., NF 39, 309 (1999)
 - Gohil et al., ITR/P1-16, IAEA 2012
 - Ryter et al, PPCF 58, (2016)
- High performance JET scenarios planned for DT campaign use Corner configuration

Fluid turbulence simulations based on JET input parameters reproduce

strong isotope scaling



- HESEL model*: energy conserving 4-field drift fluid model, slab geometry at outer midplane, connects confined plasma and SOL, with parallel losses in SOL, flux driven interchange turbulence
- Single ion species simulation with effective mass

*Nielsen et al., Phys. Lett A 79, 2097 (2015) Rasmussen et al., PPCF 58, 014031 (2016) Madsen et al., PoP 23, 0323006 (2016) Rasmussen TTF 2018 J.C. Hillesheim | IAEA FEC 2018 | Gandhinagar | October 24, 2018 | Page 15



Outline



- Experimental results and modelling for:
 - Effect of divertor configuration
 - Isotope effect
 - Ion and electron heat fluxes
 - Power threshold in mixed ion species plasmas



Strong dependence on heating source in hydrogen, but not deuterium for HT data





- Density minimum depends on isotope
- For ICRH heated plasmas, threshold in H about twice D, generally consistent with most past results
 - With NBI at low density, hydrogen can be as much as **4x** deuterium!
- Similar to DIII-D results [Gohil et al., NF 50, 064011 (2010)], threshold much higher in hydrogen with more input torque

Differences at low density raise question of role of ion and electron heat fluxes:

- Ryter et al, NF 53, 113003 (2013).
- Ryter et al, NF 54 083003 (2014).
- Ryter et al, PPCF 58, 014007 (2016).

Two datasets chosen for detailed investigation of heat fluxes





- P_{L-H} departs from high density Branch scaling and exhibits a minimum, or flattening, as density decreases below n_{e,min}
- In JET, both P_{L-H} and the value of n_{e,min} depend on plasma shape.
- We choose datasets at high field (3T) with NBI heating as the best case to study, because of the high threshold power and high fraction of ion heating



JETTO and Qualikiz used for modeling of ion and electron heat fluxes



- Ion temperature measurements in these plasmas not sufficient for interpretative transport calculations, so we use predictive JETTO+Qualikiz simulations:
 - First perform interpretative analysis assuming $T_i = T_e$
 - Using sources and sinks form interpretative run to perform predictive simulation of ion and electron profiles
 - On basis that predictive T_e profile matches experiment well, use predictive profiles for heat flux and energy exchange analysis
 - Since edge $T_e pprox T_i$, evaluate only up to ho = 0.85



JETTO and Qualikiz used for modeling of ion and electron heat fluxes





JET results compared to AUG and C-mod





Combination of AUG(ECH) and C-Mod data used to produce a scaling law for q_{ion LH}:

$$q_{\rm i, fit}^{\rm LH} = 0.0021 \bar{n}_{\rm e}^{1.07 \pm 0.09} B_{\rm T}^{0.76 \pm 0.2}$$

C-Mod and AUG(ECH) data from *M. Schmidtmayr et al* 2018 Nucl. Fusion **58** 056003, courtesy of *F. Ryter and J.* Hughes

- Divertor effect also present in ion heat flux analysis
- Departure from scaling in NBI heated plasmas may be related to rotation

Outline



- Experimental results and modelling for:
 - Effect of divertor configuration
 - Isotope effect
 - Ion and electron heat fluxes
 - Power threshold in mixed ion species plasmas



Non-linear dependence on concentration observed in mixed ion species plasmas



- Non-linear dependence observed in both high and low density branch, with relatively weak dependence over broad intermediate range of concentration values
- Reduction of threshold with helium in hydrogen plasmas provides route to lower threshold in pre-fusion power operational phase of ITER



Measured kinetic profiles just prior to L-H transition similar across H/(H+D) scan





- Electron temperature and density profiles similar prior to transition, implying difference in transport responsible for isotope effect
- Low electron temperature results is strong energy exchange
 - No ion temperature measurements available, but TRANSP simulations varying the T_i profile show $T_i \approx T_e$ within ~10% or energy exchange exceeds input power



ICRH power deposition analyzed with PION for high density branch H/(H+D) scan





- Electron heating non-monotonic at low hydrogen concentration due to fast ion population produced by ICRH
 - Variation of predicted fast ion energy consistent with measurements from a neutral particle analyzer
- No peak at in P_{L-H} low H/(H+D) in threshold
- Similar threshold at H/(H+D)~0.2 and 0.6 despite large difference in heating fractions

ICRH power deposition analyzed with PION for high density branch H/(H+D) scan







- No peak at in P_{L-H} low H/(H+D) in threshold Similar threshold at H/(H+D)~0.2 and 0.6 despite large difference in heating fractions
- Transport and heating calculations show energy exchange dominates over heat deposition, so dependence cannot be explained on basis of difference in ion and electron heat fluxes with current data

Conclusions



- Largest uncertainty for extrapolation of power threshold to ITER is effect of divertor configuration
 - Differences in target temperature, which could change radial electric field near separatrix, qualitatively reproduced with EDGE2D-EIRENE simulations
 - Different observations from different experiments on X-point position, effect of pumping
- Strong isotope effect reproduced with HESEL simulations for single ion species plasmas, but does not reproduce observation of non-linear dependence in mixtures
- Predictive transport modelling with JETTO and Qualikiz show ion heat flux does not depend linearly on density, consistent with AUG NBI-heated pulses, and also exhibits divertor effect
- Experimental non-linear dependence of P_{L-H} in mixed species plasmas clear and provides route to lowering threshold in non-active phase of ITER, but we cannot explain observations with available data on basis of transport and heating calculations

