S/1-2

## Summary of EX/D, EX/S and EX/W

- **EX**: Magnetic Confinement Experiments
  - D: Plasma-material interactions, Divertors, Limiters, Scrape-off layer
  - S: Stability

W: Wave-plasma interactions, Current drive, Heating, Energetic particles

- Thanks to IAEA, IPC and local organizers
- Acknowledgements to all contributors, in particular, OV speakers
- All my apologies for NON-thorough summary
  - → send your suggestions to hyamada@lhd.nifs.ac.jp to be included in the article of NF









H.Yamada National Institute for Fusion Science

24th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, San Diego, USA, 2012

#### **Terms of Reference to Summary Speakers**

- Capture the essence of the progress made
- What has the fusion community achieved over the past two years?
- Where does fusion R&D stand right now?
- Which critical issues, next steps and/or major challenges definitely need focused attention in the immediate future or medium term in order to ensure avoiding gaps and unnecessary delays/surprises on the way towards fusion demonstration ?

### Summary of the last FEC2010 at Dajeon by J.Jacquinot

# *"Particular attention has been given to issues in the critical path of ITER construction"*

- Urgent issue to impact the critical path of the ITER construction is becoming much clearer
- Request of more distinct materials to enable us to choose A before B
  - ✓ In-Vessel Coil in ITER: PA will start in Aug. in 2013
  - ✓ Full Tungsten Divertor in ITER: Strategy will be fixed in the fall in 2013 etc.

"The experimental results can be qualitatively understood but ...... The extrapolation to ITER requires a more accurate knowledge of the plasma...."

## **Statistics**



## **Highlighted Topics**

- 1. Pedestal Stability & Control (ELM mitigation/suppression, 3-D physics)
- 2. Reduction of Heat Load (Advanced divertor, Detach, Accurate evaluation)
- 3. Plasma Wall Interaction (ITER Like Wall)
- 4. Plasma Facing Materials (Wall conditioning, Materials)
- 5. Disruptions (Runaway electrons, Stabilization&Mitigation, Prediction)
- 6. Effect of Rotation on MHD 7. ST Startup
- 8. Plasma Scenario (Real time control of MHD, Heating, CD)
- 9. Waves & Energetic Particles

## 1. Pedestal Stability & Control

#### - ELM mitigation/suppression, Physics of 3-D magnetic field -

- ✓ ELM-free regime, pellet pace-making, SMBI
  - ➔ Discussed in EX/C

#### ✓ <u>Resonant Magnetic Perturbation (RMP) : 3-D</u>

- from DIII-D, MAST, JET, NSTX(trigger) in FEC 2010 at Daejon
- JJ: "need to describe accurately the response of the plasma to RMP" "require a more accurate knowledge of the plasma screening of RMP"

#### in this FEC2012

 New experimental results from in-vessel coils: DIII-D, AUG(EXC), MAST, KSTAR external coils: JET, LHD(EXC)



• Remarkable progress in study of 3-D magnetic fields MAST, KSTAR, DIII-D, LHD and

EX/P4-19: J.Levesque "*High Resolution Detection* and 3D Magnetic Control of the Helical Boundary of a Wall-Stabilized Tokamak Plasma (**HBT**)" EX/P4-24: S.Masamune "*Direct Observation* of Soft-X Ray Filament Structure and High Current Operation in Low-Aspect-Ratio RFP (**RELAX**)" EX/P6-01: B.Chapman "*Direct Diagnosis* and Parametric Dependence of 3D Helical Equilibrium in the **MST** RFP"



#### Sustained RMP ELM Suppression Extended to ITER Baseline Scenario

EX/3-1 M.Wade

- n=3 perturbation with internal coils
- Match ITER
  - Shape and I/aB
  - $-\beta_{N} = 1.8 \text{ and } v^{*} = 0.12$
- ELM suppression also shown in helium (n<sub>He</sub>/n<sub>e</sub>=0.25) plasmas

Identification of change of topology ⇔ Comparison with simulation Experiment: SXR data





ELM mitigation in MAST

ELM mitigation established on MAST using RMPs with n=3, 4 and 6

## Example of ELM mitigation with n=6 RMPs



ELM frequency increased by up to a factor of 5 with similar reduction in  $\Delta W_{ELM}$ 



X-point lobe structures are observed



structures if ideal

screening  $\Psi_{N} < 0.98$ 

6/38

## ELM suppression achievement by applying n=1 **RMP** at 90 phasing



KSTAR

#### EX/3-3 Y.-M. Jeon

- ELM was suppressed at n=1 JET mitigated ELM at n=1 DIII-D suppressed ELM at n=3
- No mitigation with non-resonant anti-phase
- **Density** pumped out initially (~10%)
- Stored energy drop (~8%) •
- **ECE imaging** at 4.3sec shows no edge filamentary structure (ELM suppressed)



# **EFFET**Mitigation of Type-I ELMs with *n* =2 Fields on JET EX/P4-23 Y.Liang

#### High collisionality $v_e^* = 2.0$ at the pedestal

Low collisionality  $v_e^* = 0.8$ 



JET #82469/82474 T<sub>e</sub> n<sub>e</sub> P<sub>NBI</sub> (keV) (10<sup>19</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>) (MW) Û. #82469 #82474 100 (kAt) 20 #82469 0 (a.u.) (a.u.) #82474 l<sub>Bel</sub> (a.u.) 100 **₩1** 50 13 13.5 14.5 15.5 16.5 14 15 16 Time (s)

Type-I ELM → Small and high frequency (few hundreds Hz) ELMs

LHD: EX/P4-11 (EXC) K.Toi

ELM frequency increases from 20 to 80 Hz → Saturation of effect

EX/P5-17 G.Fishpool EX/P7-23 M.Kočan Mitigation with resonance in stochastic layer

First diverotor ion temperature measurement in MAST and AUG

#### 3D plasma response to magnetic field structure in LHD



#### EX/8-1 Y.Suzuki

## Comparison between *E*<sub>r</sub> shear and 3D MHD equilibrium modeling



Transition point to strong  $E_r$  shear would be a good index of LCFS.

#### EX/P4-30 S.Sakakibara

RMP penetration depends on stability criteria (magnetic shear and/or magnetic well/hill)



Threshold of penetration linearly increases with magnetic shear

← Plasma flow is almost constant

EX/P4-31: R.J.Buttery "Addressing New Challenges for Error Field Correction (JET)" EX/P4-21: L. Frassinetti "Plasma Response to Applied Nonaxisymmetric External Magnetic Perturbations in EXTRAP T2R"

EX/P6-03: M.Garcia-Munoz "Fast-ion Redistribution and Loss due to Edge Perturbations in the AUG, DIII-D and KSTAR" 9/38

Edge recycling, neutral pressure, and pressure peaking decrease, while confinement and edge stability increase with increasing pre-discharge lithium in **NSTX** 

#### EX/11-2 R.Maingi



- **Edge transport declines** 
  - □ As lithium evaporation increases, transport barrier widens, pedestal-top  $\chi_e$  reduced

**OPPPL** 

CAK RIDGE

NSTX



## 2. Reduction of Heat Load

#### (Advanced divertor, Detach, Accurate evaluation)

#### **Advanced Divertor Concept**

EX/P5-17: G.Fishpool "*Super-X* in MAST" EX/P5-21: V. Soukhanovski "*Snowflake* in NSTX" EX/P5-22: W.A.J.Vijvers "*Snowflake* in TCV" PD /1-2: S.L.Allen "*Snowflake* in DIII-D" EX/P5-29: T.Morisaki "*Closed Helical Divertor* in LHD"

#### Challenge to Reduce Heat Load



EX/4-4: M.Koyabashi "Stabilization of Radiative Divertor by RMP in LHD"
EX/P5-12: T.W.Petie "Effect of Changes in Separatrix in DIII-D"
EX/P5-24: P.Mertens "Power Handling of the Tungsten Divertor in JET"
EX/P5-30: C.Giroud "Nitrogen Seeding for Heat Load Control in JET"
EX/P5-33: J.-W Ahn "Heat Deposition during the ELM and 3-D Field Application in NSTX"
EX/P5-34: M.Wischmeier "Divertor Detachment in ASDEX Upgrade"
(EXC) EX/6-2 Pellets in DIII-D

#### **Towards Accurate Evaluation of Heat Load**

EX/P5-13: L.Wang "Characterization of Particle and Power Loads in **EAST**" EX/P5-16: M.A.Makowski "Scaling of the Divertor Heat Flux Width in the DIII-D" EX/P7-23: M.Kočan "Intermittent Transport across the Scrape-off Layer: **ASDEX Upgrade**" EX/P8-02: N. Vianello "3D Effects on **RFX-mod** Helical Boundary Region "

#### Suppression of Impurity Contamination

EX/P5-18: S.Morita "Low Concentration of Iron in LHD Plasmas with Edge Ergodic Layer" 11/38

#### Snowflake divertor to mitigate divertor heat flux

- Divertor heat flux width strongly decreases as I<sub>p</sub> increases in NSTX, DIII-D, C-Mod
- Snowflake divertor experiments in NSTX ( $P_{NBI}$ =4 MW,  $P_{SOL}$ =3 MW)
- Significant reduction in divertor heat flux (from 3-7 to 0.5-1 MW/m<sup>2</sup>)



- Power redistribution to secondary strike points investigated as shape transitions from SN to SF
- Peak ELM heat load on primary strike point reduced by factor 3.5



#### q<sub>min</sub>≈1.5 Scenario Appears Compatible With Radiating Mantle for Divertor Heat Flux Reduction

 Snowflake Divertor Configuration Strongly Reduces Peak Divertor Heat Flux and Increases Radiative Volume
 EX/1-5 C.Holcomb



#### Influence of perturbation coils on divertor heat load





ILW = 2880 installable items, 15828 tiles (~2 tonnes Be, ~2 tonnes W) 15/38

JET-ILW: Low Long Term Fuel

EX/4-1 S.Brezinsek





#### EX/P5-07 E.Tsitrone

From multi machine scaling, retention rate during shot expected to range from ~1g of T/hour (full tungsten machine) up to ~100 g of T/hour (full carbon machine) for a nominal ITER shot.

- <u>Retention with JET-ILW is</u> reduced by about one order
- Main mechanism is codeposition: <u>Be-layers have</u> <u>lower fuel content than C-</u> <u>layers</u>
- <u>Retention reduction is in line</u> with predictions for ITER from <u>C to Be/W walls (Roth et al.)</u>

#### Full characterization of breakdowns has been carried out for operations with carbon PFCs (JET-C) and the ITER-like wall (JET-ILW) EX/4-2 P.de Varies

- Non assisted breakdown demonsus. J.35V/m (ITER value). Lower radiation level at higher density achieved (0.4 making the breakdown more robust. ^-wall, no de-conditioning event the ILW. No need for -tion





#### EX/P5-04 J.W.Coenen

Edge carbon drops by a factor of ×20 JET-C vs JET-ILW (ILW 0.1% C, 2-3% Be)

## **4. Plasma Facing Materials** (Wall Conditioning, Basic Research of Materials)

#### Wall Conditioning

#### EX/P5-09 D.Douai

#### International Research on Ion Cyclotron Wall Conditioning

- Integrated into the ITER baseline using the ITER ICRF heating system
- Experimental and modeling efforts coordinated by ITPA DivSOL



#### Lithium

- EX/P5-25: S.Mirnov "Recent Achievements of the T-11M Lithium Program"
- EX/P5-27: T.Gray "The Effects of Increasing Lithium Deposition on the Power Exhaust Channel in **NSTX**"
- EX/P5-31: M.Jaworski "Liquid Lithium Divertor Characteristics and Plasma-Material Interactions in **NSTX** High-Performance Plasmas"

EX/P5-36: F.Tabares "Studies of Plasma-Lithium Interactions in TJ-II" (EXC) EX/P5-01 RFX, EX/P5-02 EAST

#### **Basic Characterization of Materials**



Tungsten Nano-tendril Growth in the Alcator C-Mod Divertor Arcing on Carbon and Tungsten in **JT-60U**, **LHD**, and **NAGDIS-II** 

2 mm

EX/P5-08 S.Kajita



*"Provides confidence that key growth parameters, from smaller devices, can be used for prediction in future devices"* 

#### Tungsten

EX/P5-05: G. van Rooji "Characterization of Tungsten Sputtering in the **JET** Divertor" EX/P5-06: S.Takamura "Cooling Characteristics of He-Defected Tungsten with Nanostructure" **Erosion** EX/P5-11: D.L. Rudakov "Measurements of Erosion of Molybdenum Divertor Surface in **DIII-D**"

Dust : still remains a Cinderella issue

EX/P5-32: K.Koga "Control of Dust Flux in LHD and in a Divertor Simulator"

#### In-situ Measurements of PWI

beyond postmortem analysis



LIDS : Laser Induced fuel Desorption by spot laser heating (1ms,  $\approx 0.1 \text{ cm}^2$  (TEXTOR), 1cm<sup>2</sup> (ITER)) + Spectroscopic H (D) detection in edge plasma  $\rightarrow$  Quantitative local fuel retention

Laser Induced fuel Ablation by spot laser heating + Spectroscopic material and H (D) detection in edge plasma

 $\rightarrow$  Quantitative composition and fuel retention



1 MeV deuteron ion beam installed for first time-resolved, *in-situ* measurements of plasma-wall interactions reactions exploited:

 $D + B^{11} \Rightarrow P + B^{12} + g$  Measures boron coating  $D + D \Rightarrow He^3 + n$  Measures fuel retention



## **5. Disruptions**

#### (Runaway Electrons, Stabilization & Mitigation, Prediction)

#### **Runaway Electrons**

EX/9-2: E.M.Hollmann "Control and Dissipation of *Runaway Electron* in DIII-D"
EX/P8-05: V.V.Plyusnin "*Runaway Electrons* in JET"
EX/P8-06: F. Saint-Laurent "*Runaway Electrons* Control and Mitigation on Tore Supra"
EX/P8-12: G.Pautasso "Density Required for *Runaway* Electron Suppression in ITER (AUG)"
EX/P8-15: Y.Zhang "*Runaway Electron* Generation in Synergetic ECRH & SMBI in HL-2A"
OV/2-4: J.-G.Kwak "KSTAR "

#### Stabilization & Mitigation

 EX/9-1 M.Lehnen "Impact and Mitigation of Disruptions with the ITER-like Wall in JET"
 EX/P8-07: J.Berkery "Global Mode Control and Stabilization for Disruption Avoidance in High-Beta NSTX Plasmas"

EX/P8-08: F.A.Volpe "Stabilization of Disruptive Locked Modes by Magnetic Perturbations and Electron Cyclotron Current Drive at **DIII-D**"

EX/P8-09: R.Granetz "Disruption Mitigation Experiments with Two Gas Jets on Alcator C-Mod"

#### Prediction

EX/9-3: S.P.Gerhardt "Disruptions in the High-beta NSTX"
 EX/P8-04: A.Murari"Latest Developments in Data Analysis Tools for *Disruption Prediction* and for the Exploration of Multimachine Operational Spaces"
 EX/P4-34: P.Savrukhin "Fast-scale Magnetic Perturbations and Onset of the Energy Quench during Disruption Instability in the T-10 tokamak"

#### DIII-D experiments provide the physics basis for Runaway Electron control in ITER EX/9-2 E.M.Hollmann





- The dynamics of disruptions are very different with the ILW
  - → Higher plasma purity → lower radiation during disruption → ....
- Massive Gas Injection as a disruption mitigation tool is now mandatory
- With the mitigation by MGI, the forces and power loads resulting from disruptions are returned to the level with C wall
- NO runaway electron formation in ILW



# Disruptivity Studies and Warning Analysis of NSTX database are Being Conducted for Disruption Avoidance in NSTX-U



## 6. Effect of Flows on MHD instability

EX/P2-07: Y.-S.Park "Plasma Rotation Alteration and MHD Stability in H-mode of KSTAR" EX/P4-22: Y.Lin "Neo-classical Tearing Modes in I-Mode Plasmas with ICRF Flow Drive on Alcator C-Mod"

EX/P4-26: Z.Ji "Modification of Toroidal Flow in the STOR-M Tokamak"
 EX/P4-32: E. Lazzaro "Effect of "Natural" Rotation on Neoclassical Tearing Modes in TCV"
 EX/P8-11: J.-C. Seol "Effects of RF-Heating Induced MHD Activities on the Toroidal Rotation in KSTAR"

EX/P8-14: J.Kim "The Effect of Toroidal Rotation on Sawtooth Activity in KSTAR"

## 7. ST Start-up

- EX/8-2: Y.Ono "High Power Heating of Magnetic Reconnection for High-Beta ST formation in **TS-3** and **UTST** ST Merging Experiments"
- EX/8-3: V.K.Gusev "From Globus-M Results Toward Compact Spherical Tokamak with Enhanced Parameters"
- EX/P2-10: R.Raman "Non-inductive Plasma Start-up in NSTX Using Transient CHI"
- EX/P2-11: Y.Takase "Non-inductive Plasma Initiation and Plasma Current Ramp-up on the TST-2"
- EX/P2-14: H.Zushi "Non-inductive Current Start-up by Means of Electron Cyclotron Waves in **QUEST**"
- EX/P4-36: A.Redd "Local Helicity Injection Startup in the Pegasus"
- EX/P6-18: M.Uchida "Noninductive Formation of Spherical Tokamak at 7 Times the Plasma Cutoff Density by Electron Bernstein Wave on LATE"

#### Investigation of Plasma Rotation Alteration and MHD Stability in the Expanded H-mode Operation of KSTAR

EX/P2-07 Y.-S.Park



- H-mode operation of KSTAR has been expanded toward higher  $\beta_N$  and lower  $I_i$
- 3/2 & 2/1 tearing modes are characterized with their dependence on plasma rotation
- Alteration of rotation profile by applied n = 1, 2 non-axisymmetric fields initially demonstrated

**ST merging** produces bi-directional outflows, causing high-power~5MW reconnection heating. It transforms low- $\beta$  STs into a high- $\beta$ (~40%) ST with absolute min-B profile.

TS-3 Merging Experiment (U. Tokyo) R~0.2m, a~0.15m,  $n_e$ ~1-5x10<sup>19</sup>m<sup>-3</sup> B~0.05T,  $T_i$ ~10-250eV,  $T_e$ ~10-20eV



To be applied to MAST



Include the focal point of Globus-M2 spherical tokamak programme



**Globus-M** (0.4 T)

non-inductive LHCD experiment (f =900 MHz, P<sub>RF</sub>=60 kW)

Left – measured and reconstructed plasma currents

Right – magnetic reconstruction of LCFS

1.4 ms

2.5 ms

28/38



L-mode discharge ramping to 1MA requires 35% less inductive flux when coaxial helicity injection (CHI) is used





## 8. Plasma Scenario (Real time control of MHD, Heating, CD)

#### **Real Time Control of MHD Modes**

#### High Power Heating in Metallic Wall

#### Steady State Operation (SSO) Scenario Off-Axis NBI CD

EX/P2-06: X.Gong "Integrated Operation Scenarios for Long Pulse Discharges in EAST" EX/P2-08: G.L.Jackson "Long-pulse Stability Limits of ITER Baseline Scenario in DIII-D" EX/P2-12: T.Mutoh "Steady State Operation Using ICH and ECH in LHD" EX/P2-16: S.Shiraiwa "Progress toward Steady-state Regimes in Alcator C-Mod" EX/P5-15: H.Guo "Steady-State Advanced Divertor Operations on EAST"

#### Phase-control ICRF in LHD

# <image>

← Dipole field: request to reduce RF sheath potentials

#### EX/P5-40 R.J.Perkins



RF edge losses suggest FW propagation in SOL 29/38 EPFL-CRPP, Switzerland

FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNI



#### Real time ELM, NTM and Sawtooth control on TCV



For a given trigger sequence, the resulting ELM sequences are highly reproducible

- NTM control with ECH: Long sawtooth period NTM stimulation
- ➔ Pre-emptive synchronous "healing"

Real-Time ECRH/ECCD system to control NTM as well as sawtooth pacing with pulsed ECH also reported from **FTU** 

#### PD/1-1 E.Kolemen

- Real-time control of EC power and mirror steering provides complete stabilization of m/n=2/1 NTM
- Advanced control (MSE detection etc.) saves time and power



# A safe application of the heating power and high performance scenario development in a metallic wall



#### with the JET Metallic Wall Neutral beam Injection

Upgraded to increase power, pulse length & reliability → 25.7MW
 record power; 15s pulse → 34MW planned

EX/4-3 M.-L. Mayoral

31/38

On the Challenge of Plasma Heating

- Ion Cyclotron Resonance Heating
- − Private & surrounding limiters changed to Be → 4MW in H-mode
- Bulk radiation higher than for NBI  $\rightarrow$  mainly due to higher W &

Ni levels (80% & 20% of additional radiation)

Higher W concentration observed with ICRH but sources not yet identified

EX/P5-19 V.Bobkov



#### High performance discharges with P/R = 14 MW/m in AUG

- NBI+ECRH+ICRH of 23 MW with nitrogen puff to control divertor radiation
- Total radiated power: 20 MW Divertor radiation: 9 MW
- Divertor heat load < 5 MW/m<sup>2</sup> at H  $\approx$  1;  $\beta_{\text{N}}\approx~2.8$
- 4 MW ICRH: progress on ICRH compatibility with the W wall

# DIII-D is Using Off-Axis Neutral Beam Injection to Develop & Test Scenarios for High $\beta_N$ , Steady State Operation



## 9. Waves & Energetic particles

#### EX/P6-22 W.W.Heidbrink



## **DIII-D: Off-Axis NBI** allows variation of Alfvén Eigenmode drive and tests of stability models

#### EX/P6-06 M.Turnyasnkiy

#### **Off-axis NBCD in MAST**

- close to classical
- broadens fast ion distribution, reducing fishbone modes and reducing redistribution of fast ions

#### PD/P8-09 Y.Liang

## Edge magnetic topology change induced by LHCD in EAST

Helical Radiation Belts lead to the splitting of divertor strike points with similar effects to RMP.

#### EXP/P4-33 J.-K.Park

**NSTX**: Optimized HHFW can drive EHOs with larger displacements, which can be used to control ELMs



## Waves & Energetic particles (continued)

#### **Energetic Particle Driven Mode**

EX/P6-02: N.Croker "CAEs and GAEs in **NSTX**" EX/P6-05: E.Fredrickson "Fast-ion Energy Loss during TAE Avalanches in **NSTX**" EX/P6-07: Z.Guimaraes Filho "Electron Fishbones in LHCD Plasmas on **FTU** and **Tore Supra**" EX/P6-09: M.J.Hole "Analysis of Alfvén Wave Activity in **KSTAR**" EX/P6-14: L.Yu, "E-Fishbone during High Power ECRH on **HL-2A**" EX/P6-15: X.Ding "Alfvénic Modes Induced by Energetic Electrons with ECRH on **HL-2A**" EX/P6-23: B.Blackwell "MHD Activity in the Alfvén Range of Frequencies in **H-1NF** Heliac"

#### **Electron Bernstein Wave**

EX/P6-16: Y.Yoshimura "EBW Heating in LHD" EX/P6-17: H.Idei "EBW Heating and Current Drive Effects in QUEST" EX/P6-18: M.Uchida "Noninductive Formation of ST by EBW on LATE"

#### Lower Hybrid Wave

EX/P6-21: B.Ding "LHW-plasma Coupling and Current Drive in H-mode Experiments in **EAST**" EX/P6-24: J.Hillairet "Lower Hybrid Current Drive and Implications for ITER (**ToreSupra**)" EX/P6-29: R.Cesario "Lower Hybrid Current Drive in High Density Tokamak Plasmas (**FTU**)"

#### Dynamics of Energetic Particle driven Modes in wall-stabilized high beta plasmas on JT-60U and DIII-D

EX/5-1 G.Matsunaga



ELM triggering by EP driven modes is observed in JT-60U and DIII-D



- ELM triggering by EPdMs occurs with strong distortion (n≠1) amplitude,
- EP transport to edge by EPdMs affects edge ELM stability.



Studies of Energetic-ion-driven MHD Instabilities in Helical Plasmas with Low Magnetic Shear



EX/5-2 S.Yamamoto

- In order to clarify the energetic-ion-driven MHD instabilities in *helical plasmas* with low magnetic shear : Heliotron J with low iota and TJ-II with high iota.
- Results of iota scan experiments in both devices indicate that **GAEs are mainly** destabilized in low shear helical plasma and HAEs are destabilized in high iota configuration.



r/ı\*(dı/dr) at r/a=0.6

36/38



LHD

#### Multi-mode Co-existence of e-BAE



#### EX/5-3 W.Chen

A new mode is excited during strong TMs+BAEs with GAM in Ohmic plasmas. Its mode-numbers are m/n=2/0, and  $f\sim=f_BAE$ .

The GAM is localized in the core, and is different from one excited by the drift-wave turbulence in the edge plasma.

#### Energy transfer between ions and the GAM is observed

• During the burst of the GAM, the energetic ions lose the energy, and the ions in the lower energy range gain the energy.

PD/P8-16 T.Ido

• The GAM can become an efficient energy channel from EPs to bulk ions



## **Concluding Remarks**

JJ in 2010 "The experimental results can be qualitatively understood but ...... The extrapolation to ITER requires a more accurate knowledge of the plasma...."

- Understanding of experimental results has remarkably progressed, in particular, in RMP (3-D plasma response) and ILW
   PWI used to be out of scope, but now it is a super-critical topic
- Still need tenacious efforts to get a more accurate and comprehensive knowledge to extrapolate to ITER and beyond.

*"ITER relevant" could be lighthearted wording. Backcast how to resolve issues. Identification of the bridging mechanism between the actuator and the consequence, otherwise, no reliable application to ITER/DEMO* 

✓ New interesting findings (GAM channeling ?, Edge modification of LHW, etc.)

Fusion community has to prepare resolutions in time to lead ITER to success. Any single experiment cannot resolve the issue. Rally all kindred to resolve critical issues → reconstruct categories in IAEA-FEC

## **Concluding Remarks**

JJ in 2010 "The experimental results can be qualitatively understood but ...... The extrapolation to ITER requires a more accurate knowledge of the plasma...."

- Understanding of experimental results has remarkably progressed, in particular, in RMP (3-D plasma response) and ILW
   PWI used to be out of scope, but now it is a super-critical topic
- Still need tenacious efforts to get a more accurate and comprehensive knowledge to extrapolate to ITER and beyond.

*"ITER relevant" could be lighthearted wording. Backcast how to resolve issues. Identification of the bridging mechanism between the actuator and the consequence, otherwise, no reliable application to ITER/DEMO* 

✓ New interesting findings (GAM channeling ?, Edge modification of LHW, etc.)

Fusion community has to prepare resolutions in time to lead ITER to success. Any single experiment cannot resolve the issue. Rally all kindred to resolve critical issues → reconstruct categories of IAEA-FEC

Science & Technology is an eternal challenge to approach the truth & the perfect. *A chance would arrive at the most prepared one !*