24th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, October 8-13, 20

## Bifurcated Helical Core Equilibrium States in Tokamaks

W. A. Cooper<sup>1</sup>, J. P. Graves<sup>1</sup>, H. Reimerdes<sup>1</sup>, O. Sauter<sup>1</sup>, M. Albergante<sup>1</sup>,
D. Brunetti<sup>1</sup>, F. Halpern<sup>1</sup>, D. Pfefferlé<sup>1</sup>, T. M. Tran<sup>1</sup>, J. Rossel <sup>1</sup>, S. Coda<sup>1</sup>,
B. P. Duval<sup>1</sup>, A. Pochelon <sup>1</sup>, B. Labit<sup>1</sup>, O. Schmitz<sup>2</sup>, I. T. Chapman<sup>3</sup>,
A. D. Turnbull<sup>4</sup>, T. E. Evans<sup>4</sup>, L. Lao<sup>4</sup>, R. Buttery<sup>4</sup>, J. R. Ferron<sup>4</sup>, E. Hollman<sup>4</sup>,
C. Petty<sup>4</sup>, M. van Zeeland<sup>4</sup>, E. A. Lazarus<sup>5</sup>, F. Turco<sup>6</sup>, J. Hanson<sup>6</sup>
M. E. Fenstermacher<sup>7</sup>, M. J. Lanctot<sup>7</sup>, A. J. Cole<sup>8</sup>, S. C. Jardin<sup>9</sup>, B. J. Tobias<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Association EURATOM-Confédération Suisse, Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas, CH1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Forschungzentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

<sup>4</sup>General Atomics, San Diego, USA

CRPP

<sup>6</sup>Columbia University, New York, USA

<sup>8</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

<sup>3</sup>CCFE, Abingdon, UK

<sup>5</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, USA

<sup>7</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

<sup>9</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, USA

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE



ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

- Toroidal Magnetic Field Ripple Periodicity  $\propto$  Number of toroidal coils
- Test Blanket Modules, Ferritic Inserts, Toroidal Coil Quench Periodicity typically n=1
- ELM Control RMP Coils Periodicity typically n = 3 4
- Spontaneous Internal Helical Structure Formation typically 'Snakes'
   Periodicity m = 1

Periodicity n = 1





- MAST Long Lived Mode resembles n=m=1 saturated helical mode •
  - As q<sub>min</sub> approaches unity, LLM appears and fast ions are expelled from the plasma core (fast ions distribution represented by neutron emissivity)



W. Anthony Cooper, CRPP/EPFL; 24th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, October 8-13 2012

MAST neutron emissivity





- Investigate 3D helical distortions.
- Long-Lived Modes in hybrid scenarios.
- Use MHD equilibrium approach. Compare with standard initial value nonlinear stability.
- Free boundary calculations to include RMP and ripple effects.
- Fast particle confinement in static 3D equilibrium fields.
- 3D distortion in tokamak similar to SHAx in RFP.



### **Equilibrium Description**



(1) Assume standard tokamak coils (almost axisymmetric boundary)

(2) Solve for internal flux surfaces in equilibrium:

$$\rho \frac{dV}{dt} = \underline{J} \times \underline{B} - \underline{\nabla}P$$

- Relax axisymmetry constraint in the vacuum and plasma



• Two solutions possible. One axisymmetric, the other is helical, with displacement amplitude  ${\rm d}_{\rm H}=\sqrt{R_{01}^2(s=0)+Z_{01}^2(s=0)}/a$ 



- Impose nested magnetic surfaces and single magnetic axis
- Minimise energy of the system

$$W = \int \int \int d^3x \left(\frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} + \frac{p_{\parallel}(s,B)}{\Gamma-1}\right)$$

Solve inverse equilibrium problem : R = R(s, u, v) , Z = Z(s, u, v).

Variation of the energy

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = - \int \int \int ds du dv \left[ F_R \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} + F_Z \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} + F_\lambda \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \right] - \int \int_{s=1}^{\infty} du dv \left[ R \left( p_\perp + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial u} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial Z}{\partial u} \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} \right) \right]$$

 $\triangleright$  Use Fourier decomposition in the periodic angular variables u and v and a special finite difference scheme for the radial discretisation. Implemented in the VMEC/ANIMEC codes.



0.1

0.94

0.96

0.98

 $\mathbf{q}_{\min}$ 

1

#### **Fixed Boundary DIII-D Computations**





W. Anthony Cooper, CRPP/EPFL; 24th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, October 8-13 2012

0.1

0 0.4

0.6

0.8

β<sub>N</sub>

1

1.4

1.45

2π**J (MA)** 

TH/7-1 7

1.5





- TCV coil system
- Toroidal coils modelled with 4 filaments carrying a total of 358kA
- There are 16 poloidal field coils that typically allow up to 238kA



# **TCV Profiles and Axis Excursion versus** $q_{min}$

• Pressure profile prescribed as  $p(s) = p(0)(1-s)(1-s^4)$ 



• Large helical core for  $0.96 < q_{min} < 1.01$  for  $\langle \beta \rangle > 0.6\%$ 





• MAST coil system — 4 filaments per coil







**MAST** profiles



• MAST profiles: pressure (p), toroidal current  $(\langle j \cdot \nabla v \rangle)$  and q versus  $\sqrt{s}$ .



**MAST** pressure distribution at the midplane





- Boundary modulation due to core snake structure is weak.
- External perturbation does not disturb helical core.

CRPP





 Comparison of the magnetic axis displacement between the ANIMEC 3D helical branch equilibrium solution and the nonlinear saturated state evolved with the XTOR initial value stability code (H. Lütjens and J. F. Luciani, J. Comput. Phys. 227 (2008) 6944) of the axisymmetric branch equilibrium solution in a fixed boundary ITER simulation.



D. Brunetti et al., 2012 Varenna-Lausanne Theory of Fusion Plasmas Workshop





 Coordinate independent noncanonical phase space Lagrangian formulation of guiding centre orbit theory (Littlejohn, J. Plasma Phys. 29 (1983) 111) implemented in the VENUS-LEVIS code.



# D. Pfefferlé et al., Varenna-Lausanne International Workshop on Theory of Fusion Plasmas, 2012.

W. Anthony Cooper, CRPP/EPFL; 24th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, October 8-13 2012



### **TCV Boozer mesh grid**



 Boozer coordinate mesh grid shows distortions at the interface of the helical core and the axisymmetric mantle









- Axisymmetric tokamak systems: 2 solutions
  - 2D axisymmetric branch
  - 3D helical core branch
- Helical core predicted for
  - hybrid scenario.
  - standard scenario before first sawtooth crash (MAST).
- Reversed magnetic shear with  $q_{min} \sim 1$  off-axis can trigger a core helical structure solution similar to a snake.
- The predictions are relevant for the ITER hybrid scenario operation.





- Internal helical structures weakly modulate plasma-vacuum interface.
- External perturbations do not alter 3D helical core.
- Standard nonlinear stability calculation consistent with 3D helical core equilibrium states.
- Helical core degrades fast ion confinement.